STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF HAWAIIAN HOME LANDS

January 19-20, 2021

To:	Chairman and Members, Hawaiian Homes Commission
From:	Andrew H. Choy, Acting Planning Program Manager
Subject:	For Information Only - DHHL 2020 Beneficiary Study
Recommend	led Action
For	information only. No action required.

Purpose

The purpose of this informational submittal is to present the findings of the DHHL 2020 Beneficiary Study to the Hawaiian Homes Commission.

Background

In 2020, DHHL conducted a study among all of its beneficiaries, current lessees and waitlist applicants for homestead awards. The purpose of the study was to assess the current condition and needs of DHHL beneficiaries. The study was designed to be consistent and similar to previous studies conducted in 1995, 2003, 2008, and 2014. DHHL commissioned SMS Research to complete the 2020 Beneficiary Study.

Method

There were two major surveys involved in this study, one focused on lessees and one centered on applicants. The Lessee Survey was designed to provide large-sample, statistically reliable data on all Lessees registered as of August 2020. For the purposes of this study, the 9,761 Lessees were divided into two groups: Traditional Lessees (8,959) and Undivided Interest Lessees (802). The Lessee Survey was a self-administered mail survey designed to provide extensive coverage of the Lessee groups. The Traditional Lessee survey instrument included items that were directly comparable with the 2008 and 2014 surveys, as well as new items designed to assess areas of interest for 2020. The response rate for traditional lessees was 29.7 percent and Undivided Interest Lessees 26.6 percent. The sample error was +/- 1.7 percentage points for Traditional Lessees and +/- 6.8 percentage points for Undivided Interest Lessees.

The Applicant Survey was designed to provide a largesample, statistically reliable data on all applicants who were on the DHHL beneficiary database of August 2020. Two related survey methods were conducted to accomplish the task. The first survey was a self-administered mail survey designed to provide very broad coverage of the applicant group. The survey instrument was relatively brief to maximize response rates and designed to include most of the items that were directly comparable with the 1995, 2003, 2008, and 2014 surveys. Individual waitlist applicants who are also current homestead lessees were not mailed a survey. Applicants were also given the option of taking the same survey online. A total of 23,425 surveys were mailed to DHHL waitlist applicants with a response rate of 21.2 percent. The sample error for the mail and online survey was +/- 1.4 percentage points.

The second survey method was a telephone survey conducted among a sample of applicants who did not complete a survey by mail or online and for whom there was a telephone number. The purpose of the telephone survey is to enable SMS to verify if there is a bias in the responses to the mail survey. The survey instrument contained the same questions that were included in the mail survey. A total of 317 telephone interviews were completed.

Brief Summary of Findings

The complete findings of these studies can be found for both Lessees and Applicants in Exhibits A and B respectively of this submittal. Below is a brief summary of key findings.

The Lessee Study (Exhibit A) found that the demographic has remained relatively consistent since the last study. The age distribution among Lessees, as well as the median age of 62 years, remained the same in 2020 as in

ITEM NO. G-3

2014. Similarly, the average household size has been at four persons for more than a decade. The median household income among Lessee households has increased significantly, climbing from \$48,731 in 2008 to \$59,600 in 2014 to \$74,954 in 2020. In line with this increase in median household income, the present study found that a slightly smaller proportion of Lessees are currently below the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) 80 percent of Area Median Income (AMI) guide (56.8 percent) than in 2014 (58.8 percent).

The Lessee survey also evaluated residents' perceptions concerning community safety, community involvement, and future plans. The results showed that Lessees generally feel safe in their Homestead communities and feel a sense of unity with other Lessees. Homestead community members typically rate their communities favorably and do not consider moving away. In fact, many hope that future generations continue living on homestead land. Responses did reveal a potential opportunity to increase community involvement among Lessees.

The Applicant Study (Exhibit B) found that the increase of unduplicated applicants has increased by nine percent since the last iteration of this study in 2014. The list has grown much faster than DHHL's ability to provide homestead awards.

Based on applicants' indicated preferences, most are looking to DHHL to provide them with a single-family dwelling that is move-in ready. The challenge is that many applicants may not be able to qualify financially to purchase this type of award, even at the lower price of a DHHL award. Oahu continues to be the most sought-after location for applicants, with over fifty percent listing Oahu as their first choice for a Homestead Award. The percentage of DHHL applicants earning less than 80 percent of the HUD AMI each year increased in 2020. In 2014, 45 percent of applicants were classified as below the 80 percent HUD AMI, in 2020 this has increased to 51 percent. This increase is a significant indicator that half of applicants may not be able to qualify for a turn-key housing unit.



Established 1960

Database Marketing

Economic & Social Impact Studies

Evaluations

Research

Modeling/Forecasting

SMS

1042 Fort Street Mall Suite 200 Honolulu, HI 96813 Ph: (808) 537-3356 Toll Free (877) 535-5767 E-mail: info@smshawaii.com Website: www.smshawaii.com Beyond Information. Intelligence.

DHHL BENEFICIARIES STUDY LESSEE REPORT, 2020



Prepared December 30, 2020

EXHIBIT "A"

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
INTRODUCTION2
Background2
Objectives
Method
LESSEE PROFILES
Demographic Characteristics
Age
Household Size
Children
Employment Status
Household Income
HUD Median Income
LESSEE HOUSING UNITS
Unit Size
Condition of Unit
Affordability of Needed Repairs
Home-Related Insurance
Plans for the Future
COMMUNITY CONDITIONS AND PREFERENCES
Community Evaluation
Community well-being
Community Safety
Community Involvement

Future Generations	
Native Hawaiian Service Organizations	
Land Use Preferences	
Rating of DHHL Interactions	
Demographics	
Lot Preferences and Availability	
Financial Qualification	
Understanding the Undivided Interest Award	
COMPARISONS BETWEEN TRADITIONAL LESSEES AND UNDIVIDED	INTEREST LESSEES 27
Demographic Characteristics	
Age	
Homeownership	
Types of Units	
Economic situations	
Household Income	
Savings	
Affordable Mortgage or Loan	
	32
Perspective of current residence	
Perspective of current residence Participation in Community Activities	
Participation in Community Activities	
Participation in Community Activities Willingness to Organize Homestead Activities	
Participation in Community Activities Willingness to Organize Homestead Activities Sharing of Hawaiian Cultural Values APPENDICES	
Participation in Community Activities Willingness to Organize Homestead Activities Sharing of Hawaiian Cultural Values APPENDICES Appendix A: Survey InstrumentS	32 33 34 34 35 35
Participation in Community Activities Willingness to Organize Homestead Activities Sharing of Hawaiian Cultural Values APPENDICES Appendix A: Survey InstrumentS Appendix B: Data Tabulations by County.	
Participation in Community Activities Willingness to Organize Homestead Activities Sharing of Hawaiian Cultural Values	32 33 34 34 35 36

ppendix F:	: Undivided Interest Beneficiaries	

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2: ADDITIONAL TYPES OF REPAIRS DESIDED, 2020. 12 TABLE 3: TYPES OF REPAIRS NEEDED, 2020. 13 TABLE 4: ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY LEVEL OF REPAIRS, 2020. 14 TABLE 5: ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY LOW INCOME LEVEL, 2020. 15 TABLE 6: CONDITION OF UNIT AND ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY HUD INCOME LEVEL, 2020. 16 TABLE 7: ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDE REPAIRS BY COUNTY, 2008, 2014, AND 2020. 16 TABLE 8: HOTHER RELATED INSURANCE COVERAGE, 2020. 18 TABLE 9: FUTURE PLANS FOR HOMESTEAD LAND AND HOME, 2020. 18 TABLE 10: SENSE OF COMMUNITY WELL-BEING, 2020. 25 TABLE 11: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020. 26 TABLE 11: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020. 46 TABLE 8-1. RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020. 47 TABLE 8-2. LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2020. 47 TABLE 8-4. LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2020. 48 TABLE 8-5. LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2020. 50 TABLE 8-6. LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2020. 50 TABLE 8-6. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020. 51 TABLE 8-6. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020. 52 TABLE 8-7.	TABLE 1: OVERVIEW OF LESSEE SURVEY MAILING AND COMPLETION, 2020	3
TABLE 4: ÁBILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY LEVEL OF REPAIRS, 2020	TABLE 2: ADDITIONAL TYPES OF ROOMS DESIRED, 2020	
TABLE 5: ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY SUMMARY HUD INCOME LEVEL, 2020.15TABLE 6: CONDITION OF UNIT AND ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY HUD INCOME, 2014.16TABLE 7: ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY COUNTY, 2008, 2014, AND 2020.16TABLE 8: HOME-RELATED INSURANCE COVERAGE, 2020.18TABLE 9: FUTURE PLANS FOR HOMESTEAD LAND AND HOME, 2020.18TABLE 10: SENSE OF COMMUNITY WELL-BEING, 2020.21TABLE 11: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.25TABLE 12: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.26TABLE 8-1. RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.46TABLE 8-2. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.47TABLE 8-3. LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.47TABLE 8-4. LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.50TABLE 8-5. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD ANNUAL INCOME, 2020.50TABLE 8-6. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 2020.51TABLE 8-7. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 2020.52TABLE 8-8. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 2020.53TABLE 8-9. LESSEE TYPE AND CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION, 2020.54TABLE 8-10. HOUSING UNIT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.55TABLE 8-11. PREVIOUS ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 2020.56TABLE 8-12. HOUSING UNIT CONDITION AND REPAIRS AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT, MANGN LESSE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT, AMONG LESSEE POPULA	TABLE 3: TYPES OF REPAIRS NEEDED, 2020	
TABLE 6: CONDITION OF UNIT AND ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY HUD INCOME, 201416TABLE 7: ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY COUNTY, 2008, 2014, AND 202016TABLE 8: HOME-RELATED INSURANCE COVERAGE, 202018TABLE 9: FUTURE PLANS FOR HOMESTEAD LAND AND HOME, 202018TABLE 10: SENSE OF COMMUNITY WELL-BEING, 202021TABLE 11: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 202025TABLE 12: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 202026TABLE 13: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 202046TABLE 8-1RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 202047TABLE 8-2. LESSE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 2020, 2003, 2008, 2014, AND 202048TABLE 8-3. LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 1995, 2003, 2008, 2014, AND 202048TABLE 8-4. LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 202050TABLE 8-5. LESSE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 202051TABLE 8-6. LESSEE DEMOSGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 202052TABLE 8-7. EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULT LESSEES, 202052TABLE 8-8. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 202053TABLE 8-9. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 202055TABLE 8-11. PREVIOUS ADDITION SOR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 202056TABLE 8-13. DESIRED ADDITION SOR OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 202056TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202058TABLE 8-15. NATIVE HAWAILAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 202058TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202058TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY	TABLE 4: ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY LEVEL OF REPAIRS, 2020	14
TABLE 6: CONDITION OF UNIT AND ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY HUD INCOME, 201416TABLE 7: ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY COUNTY, 2008, 2014, AND 202016TABLE 8: HOME-RELATED INSURANCE COVERAGE, 202018TABLE 9: FUTURE PLANS FOR HOMESTEAD LAND AND HOME, 202018TABLE 10: SENSE OF COMMUNITY WELL-BEING, 202021TABLE 11: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 202025TABLE 12: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 202026TABLE 13: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 202046TABLE 8-1RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 202047TABLE 8-2. LESSE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 2020, 2003, 2008, 2014, AND 202048TABLE 8-3. LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 1995, 2003, 2008, 2014, AND 202048TABLE 8-4. LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 202050TABLE 8-5. LESSE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 202051TABLE 8-6. LESSEE DEMOSGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 202052TABLE 8-7. EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULT LESSEES, 202052TABLE 8-8. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 202053TABLE 8-9. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 202055TABLE 8-11. PREVIOUS ADDITION SOR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 202056TABLE 8-13. DESIRED ADDITION SOR OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 202056TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202058TABLE 8-15. NATIVE HAWAILAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 202058TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202058TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY	TABLE 5: ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY SUMMARY HUD INCOME LEVEL, 2020	15
TABLE 8: HOME-RELATED INSURANCE COVERAGE, 202018TABLE 9: FUTURE PLANS FOR HOMESTEAD LAND AND HOME, 2020.18TABLE 10: SENSE OF COMMUNITY WELL-BEING, 2020.21TABLE 11: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.25TABLE 12: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.26TABLE 81: RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.46TABLE 8-1. RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.47TABLE 8-2. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.47TABLE 8-3. LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.48TABLE 8-4. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD FINARCTERISTICS, 2020.49TABLE 8-5. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.50TABLE 8-5. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.50TABLE 8-6. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.51TABLE 8-7. EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULT LESSEES, 2020.52TABLE 8-8. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 2020.53TABLE 8-9. LESSEE TYPE AND CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION, 2020.54TABLE 8-10. HOUSING UNIT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.55TABLE 8-11. PREVIOUS ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 2020.56TABLE 8-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 2020.57TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-13. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE C-14. LESSEE HOUSING		
TABLE 9: FUTURE PLANS FOR HOMESTEAD LAND AND HOME, 2020.18TABLE 10: SENSE OF COMMUNITY WELL-BEING, 2020.21TABLE 11: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.25TABLE 12: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.26TABLE 12: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.26TABLE 91: RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.46TABLE 82: LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.47TABLE 83: LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 1995, 2003, 2008, 2014, AND 2020.48TABLE 8-4: LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 1995, 2003, 2008, 2014, 2020.49TABLE 8-5. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD ANNUAL INCOME, 2020.50TABLE 8-6. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.51TABLE 8-7. EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULT LESSEE, 2020.53TABLE 8-8. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 2020.53TABLE 8-9. LESSEE TYPE AND CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION, 2020.54TABLE 8-10. HOUSING UNIT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.55TABLE 8-11. PREVIOUS ADDITION SOR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 2020.56TABLE 8-12. HOUSING UNIT CONDITION AND REPAIRS AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-15. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 2020.58TABLE 6-14. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED).64TABLE C-3. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED).64TABLE C-4. LESS	TABLE 7: ABILITY TO PAY FOR NEEDED REPAIRS BY COUNTY, 2008, 2014, AND 2020	16
TABLE 10: SENSE OF COMMUNITY WELL-BEING, 202021TABLE 11: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.25TABLE 12: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.26TABLE 12: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.46TABLE 8-1. RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.46TABLE 8-2. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 1995, 2003, 2008, 2014, AND 2020.48TABLE 8-3: LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.49TABLE 8-4: LESSEE HOUSEHOLD ANNUAL INCOME, 2020.50TABLE 8-5. LESSE HOUSEHOLD ANNUAL INCOME, 2020.50TABLE 8-6. LESSE HOUSEHOLD ANNUAL INCOME, 2020.50TABLE 8-7. EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULT LESSEES, 2020.51TABLE 8-8. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 2020.52TABLE 8-9. LESSEE TYPE AND CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION, 2020.54TABLE 8-10. HOUSING UNIT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.56TABLE 8-11. PREVIOUS ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 2020.56TABLE 8-12. HOUSING UNIT CONDITION AND REPAIRS AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.59TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-15. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 2020.60TABLE 6-1. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED).66TABLE C-2. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED).66TABLE C-3. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED).66TABLE C-4. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CO		
TABLE 11: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.25TABLE 12: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020.26TABLE 14: RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.46TABLE 8-1. RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.47TABLE 8-2. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.47TABLE 8-3: LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2003, 2008, 2014, AND 2020.48TABLE 8-4: LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2003, 2008, 2014, 2020.49TABLE 8-5. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD ANNUAL INCOME, 2020.50TABLE 8-6. LESSE HOUSEHOLD ANNUAL INCOME, 2020.50TABLE 8-7. EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULT LESSES, 2020.51TABLE 8-8. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 2020.52TABLE 8-9. LESSEE TYPE AND CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION, 2020.54TABLE 8-10. HOUSING UNIT CHARACTERISTICS, 202055TABLE 8-11. PREVIOUS ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 2020.56TABLE 8-12. HOUSING UNIT CONDITION AND REPAIRS AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.57TABLE 8-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020.58TABLE 8-15. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 2020.60TABLE C-1. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED).64TABLE C-3. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED).66TABLE C-4. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED).66TABLE C-4. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED).69TABLE C-5. LESSEE HINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS,	TABLE 9: FUTURE PLANS FOR HOMESTEAD LAND AND HOME, 2020	18
TABLE 12: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020	TABLE 10: SENSE OF COMMUNITY WELL-BEING, 2020	21
TABLE B-1.RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020	TABLE 11: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020	25
TABLE B-1.RESPONDENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020	TABLE 12: PERCEPTIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES, 2020	
TABLE B-3:LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 1995, 2003, 2008, 2014, AND 202048TABLE B-4:LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2003, 2008, 2014, 202049TABLE B-5.LESSEE HOUSEHOLD ANNUAL INCOME, 202050TABLE B-6.LESSEE HOUSEHOLD FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 202051TABLE B-7.EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULT LESSEES, 202052TABLE B-8.LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 202053TABLE B-9.LESSEE TYPE AND CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION, 202054TABLE B-10.HOUSING UNIT CHARACTERISTICS, 202055TABLE B-11.PREVIOUS ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 202056TABLE B-12.HOUSING UNIT CONDITION AND REPAIRS AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202057TABLE B-13.DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202058TABLE B-14.COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202059TABLE B-15.NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 202060TABLE C-2.LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 202063TABLE C-3.LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)64TABLE C-4.LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-5.LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-6.CROWDING AND UNIT PREFERENCES, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-7.LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-8.LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9.LESSEE FINANCIAL CHAR		
TABLE B-3:LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 1995, 2003, 2008, 2014, AND 202048TABLE B-4:LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2003, 2008, 2014, 202049TABLE B-5.LESSEE HOUSEHOLD ANNUAL INCOME, 202050TABLE B-6.LESSEE HOUSEHOLD FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 202051TABLE B-7.EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULT LESSEES, 202052TABLE B-8.LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 202053TABLE B-9.LESSEE TYPE AND CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION, 202054TABLE B-10.HOUSING UNIT CHARACTERISTICS, 202055TABLE B-11.PREVIOUS ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 202056TABLE B-12.HOUSING UNIT CONDITION AND REPAIRS AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202057TABLE B-13.DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202058TABLE B-14.COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202059TABLE B-15.NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 202060TABLE C-2.LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 202063TABLE C-3.LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)64TABLE C-4.LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-5.LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-6.CROWDING AND UNIT PREFERENCES, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-7.LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-8.LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9.LESSEE FINANCIAL CHAR	TABLE B-2. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 2020	
TABLE B-4: LESSEE DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS, 2003, 2008, 2014, 2020		
TABLE B-5. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD ANNUAL INCOME, 202050TABLE B-6. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 202051TABLE B-7. EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULT LESSEES, 202052TABLE B-8. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 202053TABLE B-9. LESSEE TYPE AND CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION, 202054TABLE B-9. LESSEE TYPE AND CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION, 202055TABLE B-10. HOUSING UNIT CHARACTERISTICS, 202055TABLE B-11. PREVIOUS ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 202056TABLE B-12. HOUSING UNIT CONDITION AND REPAIRS AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202057TABLE B-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202058TABLE B-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202059TABLE B-15. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 202060TABLE C-1. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)64TABLE C-2. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)64TABLE C-3. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-4. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-5. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-6. CROWDING AND UNIT PREFERENCES, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-8. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9. LESSEE EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERIST		
TABLE B-7. EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULT LESSEES, 2020		
TABLE B-7. EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULT LESSEES, 2020	TABLE B-6. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020	
TABLE B-9. LESSEE TYPE AND CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION, 202054TABLE B-10. HOUSING UNIT CHARACTERISTICS, 202055TABLE B-11. PREVIOUS ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 202056TABLE B-12. HOUSING UNIT CONDITION AND REPAIRS AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202057TABLE B-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202058TABLE B-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202059TABLE B-15. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 202060TABLE C-1. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 202063TABLE C-2. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)64TABLE C-3. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)65TABLE C-4. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-5. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-6. CROWDING AND UNIT PREFERENCES, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)67TABLE C-8. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)70TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)71		
TABLE B-10. HOUSING UNIT CHARACTERISTICS, 202055TABLE B-11. PREVIOUS ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 202056TABLE B-12. HOUSING UNIT CONDITION AND REPAIRS AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202057TABLE B-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202058TABLE B-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202059TABLE B-15. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 202060TABLE C-1. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 202062TABLE C-2. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)63TABLE C-3. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)64TABLE C-4. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)65TABLE C-5. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-6. CROWDING AND UNIT PREFERENCES, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)68TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)70TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)71	TABLE B-8. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD INTERNET ACCESS, 2020	53
TABLE B-11. PREVIOUS ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 2020	TABLE B-9. LESSEE TYPE AND CURRENT HOUSING SITUATION, 2020	
TABLE B-12. HOUSING UNIT CONDITION AND REPAIRS AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202057TABLE B-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202058TABLE B-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202059TABLE B-15. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 202060TABLE C-1. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 202062TABLE C-2. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 202063TABLE C-3. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)64TABLE C-4. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)65TABLE C-5. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-5. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)67TABLE C-6. CROWDING AND UNIT PREFERENCES, 202067TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)68TABLE C-8. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9. LESSEE EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 202070	TABLE B-10. HOUSING UNIT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020	55
TABLE B-12. HOUSING UNIT CONDITION AND REPAIRS AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202057TABLE B-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202058TABLE B-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 202059TABLE B-15. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 202060TABLE C-1. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 202062TABLE C-2. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 202063TABLE C-3. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)64TABLE C-4. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)65TABLE C-5. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-5. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)67TABLE C-6. CROWDING AND UNIT PREFERENCES, 202067TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)68TABLE C-8. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9. LESSEE EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 202070	TABLE B-11. PREVIOUS ADDITIONS OR RENOVATIONS TO THE HOUSING UNIT, 2020	
TABLE B-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020		
TABLE B-15. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 2020	TABLE B-13. DESIRED ADDITIONS TO CURRENT HOUSING UNIT AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020	58
TABLE C-1. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 2020	TABLE B-14. COMMUNITY PERCEPTION AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020	
TABLE C-1. LESSEE HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS, 2020	TABLE B-15. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 2020	60
TABLE C-3. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)64TABLE C-4. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)65TABLE C-5. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-6. CROWDING AND UNIT PREFERENCES, 202067TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.68TABLE C-8. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9. LESSEE EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.70TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.71		
TABLE C-4. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)65TABLE C-5. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)66TABLE C-6. CROWDING AND UNIT PREFERENCES, 202067TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.68TABLE C-8. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9. LESSEE EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.70TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 202071	TABLE C-2. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020	63
TABLE C-5. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)	TABLE C-3. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)	
TABLE C-6. CROWDING AND UNIT PREFERENCES, 202067TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.68TABLE C-8. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9. LESSEE EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.70TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 202071	TABLE C-4. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)	65
TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.68TABLE C-8. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)69TABLE C-9. LESSEE EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020.70TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 202071	TABLE C-5. LESSEE HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED)	66
TABLE C-8. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED) 69 TABLE C-9. LESSEE EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 70 TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 71	TABLE C-6. CROWDING AND UNIT PREFERENCES, 2020	
TABLE C-8. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 (CONTINUED) 69 TABLE C-9. LESSEE EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 70 TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 2020 71	TABLE C-7. LESSEE FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS, 2020	68
TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 202071		
TABLE C-10. LESSEE TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS, 202071	이 가장 것은 좀 잘 잘 했는 것은 것을 수 있는 것 같은 것 같은 것을 잘 할 수 있었다. 여행은 가격에 가지 않는 것이라. 그 같은 것 같은	
TABLE C. 11. NATIVE HAMANAN SERVICE ORCHWEITERIG LAND HEF, MR. DUUL COMMUNICATION, 2020		
TABLE C-11. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 2020	TABLE C-11. NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS, LAND USE, AND DHHL COMMUNICATION, 2020	

TABLE E-1.	COMMUNITY ISSUES BY HOMESTEAD COMMUNITY, 2020	79
TABLE E-2.	COMMUNITY ISSUES BY HOMESTEAD COMMUNITY, 2020 (CONTINUED)	80
	COMMUNITY ISSUES BY HOMESTEAD COMMUNITY, 2020 (CONTINUED)	
TABLE E-4.	COMMUNITY ISSUES BY HOMESTEAD COMMUNITY, 2020 (CONTINUED)	82
TABLE E-5.	COMMUNITY ISSUES BY HOMESTEAD COMMUNITY, 2020 (CONTINUED)	83
TABLE E-6.	COMMUNITY ISSUES BY HOMESTEAD COMMUNITY, 2020 (CONTINUED)	84
TABLE F-1.	DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES, 2020	86
TABLE F-2.	EMPLOYMENT CHARACTERISTICS OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES, 2020	87
TABLE F-3.	FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES, 2020	88
TABLE F-4.	HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES, 2020	89
TABLE F-5.	MONTHLY HOUSING PAYMENT AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES, 2020	90
TABLE F-6.	PREFERRED AWARD TYPE AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES, 2020	9:
TABLE F-7.	PREFERRED UNIT AMENITIES AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES, 2020	92
TABLE F-8.	FINANCIAL QUALIFICATIONS AMONG UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES, 2020	93
TABLE F-9.	TECHNOLOGY CHARACTERISTICS OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES, 2020	94
TABLE F-10). HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES BY HUD INCOME CATEGORIES, 2020	9
TABLE F-11	L. HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES BY HUD INCOME CATEGORIES, 2020	9
TABLE F-12	2. HOUSEHOLD INCOME OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES BY HUD INCOME CATEGORIES, 2020	9
TABLE F-13	3. FINANCIAL QUALIFICATIONS OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES BY HUD INCOME CATEGORIES, 2020	9
TABLE F-14	 HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES BY HUD INCOME CATEGORIES, 2020 	9
TABLE F-15	5. AWARD TYPE PREFERENCES OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES BY HUD INCOME CATEGORIES, 2020	100
TABLE F-16	5. HOUSING UNIT PREFERENCES OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES BY HUD INCOME CATEGORIES, 2020	10
TABLE F-17	7. PERSPECTIVES OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES BY HUD INCOME CATEGORIES, 2020	10
TABLE F-18	3. PERSPECTIVES OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES BY HUD INCOME CATEGORIES, 2020	103
TABLE F-19	9. TECHNOLOGY USAGE OF UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES BY HUD INCOME CATEGORIES, 2020	104
TABLE F-20). INTERACTIONS WITH NATIVE HAWAIIAN ORGANIZATIONS AND FUTURE LAND USE BY HUD INCOME	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1. AGE DISTRIBUTION AMONG LESSEE ADULT POPULATION, 2020
FIGURE 2. HOUSEHOLD SIZE AMONG LESSEE POPULATION, 2020
FIGURE 3. CHILDREN IN LESSEE HOUSEHOLDS, 2020
FIGURE 4. EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULTS EMPLOYED FULL-TIME IN LESSEE HOUSEHOLDS, 2020
FIGURE 5. EMPLOYMENT INDUSTRY AMONG ADULTS EMPLOYED PART-TIME IN LESSEE HOUSEHOLDS, 2020
FIGURE 6: HOUSEHOLD INCOME DISTRIBUTION AMONG LESSEES, NATIVE HAWAIIANS, AND STATE OF HAWAI'I RESIDENTS,
2020
FIGURE 7: HOUSEHOLD DISTRIBUTION BY HUD ADJUST MEDIAN INCOME, 2008, 2014 AND 2020
FIGURE 8: AWARENESS OF HOME REPAIR ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS, 2020
FIGURE 9. LESSEE EVALUATION OF NEIGHBORHOOD HOMESTEAD, 2003, 2008, 2014, AND 2020
FIGURE 10. COMPARISONS OF AGE, 2020
FIGURE 11. COMPARISONS OF HOMEOWNERSHIP, 2020
FIGURE 12. COMPARISONS OF TYPES OF UNITS, 2020
FIGURE 13. COMPARISONS OF HOUSEHOLD INCOME, 2020
FIGURE 14. COMPARISONS OF SAVINGS, 2020
FIGURE 15. COMPARISONS OF AFFORDABLE MORTGAGE OR LOAN, 2020
FIGURE 16. COMPARISONS OF COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES PARTICIPATION, 2020
FIGURE 17. COMPARISONS OF WILLINGNESS TO ORGANIZE HOMESTEAD ACTIVITIES, 2020
FIGURE 18. COMPARISONS OF SHARING HAWAIIAN CULTURAL VALUES, 2020
FIGURE D-1. HAWAIIAN HOMESTEAD LANDS - O'AHU
FIGURE D-2. HAWAIIAN HOMESTEAD LANDS – BIG ISLAND
FIGURE D-3. HAWAIIAN HOMESTEAD LANDS – KAUA'I
FIGURE D-4. HAWAIIAN HOMESTEAD LANDS – MAUI

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department of Hawaiian Homelands (DHHL) conducted a Beneficiary Needs Survey in 1995, 2003, 2008, 2014, and their latest update in December 2020. Over the past six years, the number of Hawaiian Homestead Lessees has increased 1.1 percent from 9,654 to 9,761.

While the total number of Lessees has grown, the demographic composition has remained relatively consistent since the last study. The age distribution among Lessees, as well as the median age of 62 years, remained the same in 2020 as in 2014. Similarly, the average household size has been at four persons for more than a decade.

The median household income among Lessee households has increased significantly, climbing from \$48,731 in 2008 to \$59,600 in 2014 to \$74,954 in 2020. In line with this increase in median household income, the present study found that a slightly smaller proportion of Lessees are currently below the Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD) 80 percent of Area Median Income (AMI) guide (56.8%) compared to 2014 (58.8%).

Slightly less than half of all Lessees (48.3%) reported the need for one or more types of repair to their current housing unit, which is similar to the need for repairs identified in 2014. Among those in need of repair, about two-thirds of the units require relatively minor repairs (66%). However, the remaining units need more extensive repair work to correct problems with foundations, roofs, walls, plumbing, and electrical work. The need for repair is directly related to the age of the unit (the older the unit, the greater the need for repair). The need for repair is also directly correlated to lower incomes and the lack of financial resources.

The Lessee survey also evaluated residents' perceptions concerning community safety, community involvement, and future plans. The results showed that Lessees generally feel safe in their Homestead communities and feel a sense of unity with other Lessees. Homestead community members typically rate their communities favorably and do not consider moving away. In fact, many hope that future generations continue living on homestead land. Responses did reveal a potential opportunity to increase community involvement among Lessees.

DHHL has done an exemplary job in expanding the number of Homesteads and strives to identify solutions that will allow the number of awards to increase, while maintaining full support of the current Lessees.

INTRODUCTION

The Hawaiian Home Lands program and the Hawaiian Home Lands trust were created out of congressional legislation in 1921. The program was then turned over to the State of Hawai'i in 1959 and the Hawaii State Legislature created the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (DHHL) the following year. The mission of the DHHL is to manage effectively, develop raw land for use by qualified applicants, facilitate land leases, and develop and maintain self-sufficient and healthy communities on homestead land. To ensure that Departmental strategies and services are aligned with the interests of Lessees, DHHL has periodically commissioned surveys to evaluate their needs and preferences.

BACKGROUND

In 2020, the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands authorized a study among of its current Lessees. The purpose of the study was to replicate similar research conducted in 1995, 2003, 2008, and 2014 to enhance the understanding of Lessees' current conditions and needs. DHHL needed information suited to the Department's relationship with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (NAHASDA). HUD programs are designed to facilitate housing production and community development among qualified population segments.

OBJECTIVES

The overall goal of the project was to provide DHHL with a comprehensive body of information to support planning and community development among Homestead communities. Specific objectives for the Lessee Survey included:

- To update Lessee profiles.
- To profile the Lessee HUD income levels.
- To measure the condition of homestead housing units and estimate any needed repairs or refurbishment; and
- To gather Lessee impressions of current community conditions and needed improvements.

METHOD

The Lessee Survey was designed to provide large-sample, statistically reliable data on all Lessees registered as of August 2020. For purposes of this study, the 9,761 Lessees were divided into two groups: Traditional Lessees (8,959) and Undivided Interest (UI) Lessees (802). The original list was evaluated for valid addresses, which resulted in eliminating a few cases from the sample.

As shown in the table below, the response rate was 29.7 percent for Traditional Lessees and 26.6 percent for Undivided Interest Lessees. The sample error was ± 1.7 percentage points for the Traditional Lessee survey and ± 6.8 percentage points for the Undivided Interest Lessee survey at the 95 percent confidence level.

	1	DHHL L	essees	
	Trad	itional		ivided erest
	Count	%	Count	%
Total names received from DHHL	8,959	100.0%	802	100.0%
Total mailed	8,698	97.1%	775	96.6%
Total likely received by Lessees	8,289	92.5%	622	77.6%
Completed surveys returned	2,469	29.7%%	166	26.6%
By mail	2,008	81.3%	112	67.5%
Online	361	14.6%	54	32.5%
Phone	100	5.0%	N/A	N/A

Table 1: Overview of Lessee Survey Mailing and Completion, 2020

The survey was a self-administered mail survey designed to provide extensive coverage of the Lessee groups. The Traditional Lessee survey instrument, developed in conjunction with DHHL, included items that were similar with the 2008 and 2014 surveys, as well as new items designed to assess areas of interest for 2020. The survey instrument was mailed to all DHHL Lessees with valid current addresses in the DHHL database.

The survey for Undivided Interest Lessees was updated for this iteration to address questions posed by DHHL staff related to future housing preferences and the ability to finance an award. This survey was sent to 775 UI Lessees.

LESSEE PROFILES

From 2003 to 2008, the number of Hawaiian Homestead Lessees increased 28 percent from 7,192 to 9,236 leases. From 2008 to 2014, the number of Lessees increased by 4.5 percent to 9,654 leases. The 9,761 Lessees in 2020 represents a 1.1 percent increase since 2014. Of the 9,671 Lessees, the 802 classified as Undivided Interest beneficiaries will be discussed in detail in the section beginning on page 24.

Based on survey results, within the group of 8,959 Traditional Lessees, 85.9 percent are Residential Lessees. Approximately one in ten are Lessee households with Agricultural awards (9.7%) and the remaining Lessee households have Pastoral awards (4.3%). These findings were nearly identical to the results from the 2014 study. The overwhelming majority of Lessees currently reside in Hawaiian Homestead communities across the State, with less than one percent reporting out of state residences (20 households). Just over half of Lessees live on O'ahu (50.2%), while the Island of Hawai'i is home to roughly one-quarter of all Lessees (23.4%). The remaining Lessees are divided between Maui County (18.5%) and Kaua'i (6.9%).

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

Age

Over 86 percent of the Lessees statewide were age 45 or older (86.3%). Among those, 35.3 percent were between the ages of 45 and 64, and 64.7 percent were age 65 or older (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Age Distribution among Lessee Adult Population, 2020

Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020.

Until the present study, the median age among DHHL leaseholders had been steadily increasing since 1995¹. Between 2014 and 2020, however, the median age remained constant at 62 years. The lower median age may be due to some homesteads being handed down to younger successor Lessees, bringing the age of Lessees down overall.

Household Size

The average household size among Lessee households is 4.2 persons, with the majority of Lessee households consisting of 3 to 7 members (69.8%). The number of smaller Lessee households, those with one to two members, had been steadily increasing over the past decade. In 2003, only 23 percent of Lessee households included fewer than three members. This increased to 29 percent in 2008 and rose to just over 30 percent in 2014. In 2020, the percentage of Lessee households with one or two members remained the same at 30.2 percent.



Figure 2. Household Size among Lessee Population, 2020

Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020.

Children

Previous iterations of the Lessee study have evidenced fluctuations in the percentage of households that include members under age 18. In 2003, 63 percent of Lessee households included children. The percentage of households with children dropped to 53 percent in 2008 before climbing to 59 percent in 2014. At present, 55.1 percent of Lessees have children under age 18 in their household.

¹ Median age of 46.4 years in 1995, 50.4 years in 2003, 55.6 years in 2008, and 62 years in 2014.

About 37 percent of all Lessee households have one or two children (36.6%), which has remained relatively unchanged since 2003. An additional 9.6 percent of households have three children, and 8.9 percent have four or more children.





Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020.

Employment Status

Nearly nine out of ten Lessee households (88.1%) include one or more adults currently employed full-time. This is an increase from 82 percent in the 2008 study and 85 percent in 2014, nearly reaching a peak of 89 percent of households with one or more adults employed full-time identified in the 2003 study. Adult Lessees employed full-time most often work in Construction, Other services, Public administration/Government, or Educational services.

Over half of all Lessee households also include adults who work part-time (51%). Typically, there are one or two adults employed in a part-time position. Part-time employment among Lessees is most often in the Other services, Hotel, accommodations, & food services, Retail trade, and Educational services industries.



Figure 4. Employment Industry Among Adults Employed Full-Time in Lessee Households, 2020

Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020. Note. Total sums to >100% due to multiple response.

Figure 5. Employment Industry among Adults Employed Part-Time in Lessee Households, 2020



Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020. Note. Total sums to >100% due to multiple response.

Household Income

The annual household income for Lessee households in the State of Hawai'i is distributed across the entire range of income categories, with the largest percentage concentrated in the \$50,000 to \$74,999 income range. The median household income among Lessees in 2020 was \$74,954. This represents a 25.8 percent increase over the median household income of \$59,600 in 2014 and is consistent with the increase in median household income seen across each iteration of the Lessee study. The median household income among Lessees in 2008 was \$48,731, up from \$41,947 in 2003.

While Lessees' income has increased significantly over the past 15 to 20 years, it is still lower than the State's median household income of \$83,102². At \$63,236, the median income among households statewide classified as Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone is 15.6 percent lower than the median among Lessees.³



Figure 6: Household Income Distribution among Lessees, Native Hawaiians, and State of Hawai'i Residents, 2020

Source. American Community Survey, 2019.

³ American Community Survey 2019, Table B19013E.

² American Community Survey 2019, Table S1901.

HUD Median Income

While the median household income has consistently increased, the percentage of Lessee households classified as earning 80 percent or less of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Area Median Income (AMI) has risen. In 2008, 46 percent of Lessee households were considered low income according to the HUD guidelines. By 2014, this had increased to 55 percent. The percentage of Lessee households considered low income stayed about the same at 56 percent in 2020.



Figure 7: Household Distribution by HUD Adjust Median Income, 2008, 2014 and 2020

HUD classifications are computed using both the household size and income, which are then compared to tiers established for each County. Therefore, a household with a high income and a large number of household members may be in a low HUD category. Similarly, a household with a more modest income but only a few household members may be assigned to a much higher HUD category.

HUD classifications are also highly dependent upon the limits established for each County annually. Because the way limits are calculated tends to vary somewhat, different computations can result in larger numbers of households assigned to categories at the upper or lower ends of the range.

Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020

LESSEE HOUSING UNITS

Numerous native Hawaiian families have benefitted from the housing provided on DHHL Homestead Lands over the years. Because these housing units tend to be somewhat older and often more crowded than non-Homestead homes, one of the objectives of the present study was to investigate the extent to which existing homes may need to be expanded or refurbished.

The present study found that nine out of ten current Lessees statewide have a home on their DHHL homestead land (91.4%). The percentages vary slightly between the counties, with the largest percentage of Lessees having a home on their lot on Kaua'i (97.6%) and O'ahu (94.6%). Lessees are slightly less likely to have a home on their lot in the Counties of Maui (91.1%) and Hawai'i (87.5%).

For those with a house on their Homestead lot, 67.5 percent carry a mortgage on that home. Another 31.8 percent of Lessee homeowners have already paid off their mortgage, up from 27.5 percent in 2014. The remaining Lessee homeowners reported that their children or someone else was responsible for the mortgage on their home.

UNIT SIZE

Approximately one out of every five Lessee homeowners has added rooms to their homes since they first received or built the house (18.2%), which is similar to the 19.7 percent found in 2014. Roughly 62 percent of Lessees have made other types of improvements to the home or land in the time since they acquired it (62.3%).

When asked if the size of their current home is adequate for their families' needs, seven out of ten Lessee households indicated that the size is sufficient for their needs (70%), which is slightly lower than the 72.9 percent who reported that their home was an adequate size in 2014. In general, homes constructed on DHHL Homestead Lands are bigger than average single-family homes throughout Hawai'i. Over 44 percent of Lessee homes are three-bedroom units (44.2%) and 42.6 percent have four or more bedrooms.

Despite the unusually spacious nature of these homes, close to 30 percent of Lessee households felt they needed additional square footage in their homes to house their families comfortably (28.9%). The need for additional space was reported by 25.7 percent of Lessees in 2014. This need is likely due to the higher-than-average household size among Lessee households. As noted above, Lessees have an average of 4.2 persons per household while non-Lessee owner households average 3.1 members per household⁴.

⁴ American Community Survey 2019, Table DP04.

When Lessees were asked how many additional rooms of various types they would choose to add to their existing home, over one-third of respondents indicated that they would not add any additional rooms to their homes (34.3%).

Among those Lessees who would like to make changes to their current home, just over half would choose to add one or two extra bedrooms (53.8%) and 43.7 percent would like to have an additional bathroom. Finally, four out of ten Lessees who would like to expand their current residence would choose to add one other type of room, such as a living room or den (40.1%).

Table 2: Additional Types of Rooms Desired, 2020

						Col	County of Residence	Reside	nce				
		Honolulu	olulu	Ma	Maui	Hawai'i	/ai'i	Kai	Kaua'i	Out of State	State	Total	tal
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Would like	Changes	2,744	67.4%	987	66.5%	1,055	62.0%	374	63.6%	34	57.1%	5,194	65.7%
cnanges to existing house	No Changes	1,325	32.6%	497	33.5%	647	38.0%	214	36.4%	26	42.9%	2,710	34.3%
Additional	One bedroom	751	27.4%	295	29.8%	336	31.8%	116	31.1%	17	50.3%	1,514	29.2%
hodrooms vou	Two bedrooms	772	28.1%	247	25.0%	197	18.7%	65	17.5%	0	0.0%	1,282	24.7%
mentolitic to	Three bedrooms	226	8.2%	92	9.3%	59	5.6%	40	10.7%	0	0.0%	417	8.0%
would like to	Four bedrooms	194	7.1%	100	10.2%	93	8.9%	36	9.7%	0	0.0%	424	8.2%
	Five plus bedrooms	291	10.6%	51	5.2%	80	7.5%	25	6.8%	0	0.0%	447	8.6%
Additional	One bathooms	1,192	43.5%	426	43.2%	478	45.3%	174	46.6%	0	0.0%	2,270	43.7%
hadroome vou	Two bathrooms	456	16.6%	199	20.1%	156	14.8%	54	14.6%	17	50.3%	882	17.0%
manifed life to	Three bathrooms	323	11.8%	89	9.1%	121	11.5%	40	10.7%	0	0.0%	574	11.0%
home interio	Four bathrooms	97	3.5%	33	3.3%	28	2.6%	7	1.9%	0	0.0%	165	3.2%
	Five plus bathrooms	40	1.4%	0	0.0%	10	1.0%	4	1.0%	0	0.0%	54	1.0%
Additional	One other room	1,103	40.2%	406	41.2%	391	37.1%	167	44.6%	17	50.3%	2,084	40.1%
other rooms	Two other rooms	295	10.7%	66	10.0%	06	8.5%	33	8.7%	0	0.0%	516	9.9%
vali would like	Three other rooms	40	1.4%	20	2.0%	3	0.3%	7	1.9%	0	0.0%	70	1.3%
to home	Four other rooms	7	0.3%	4	0.4%	3	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14	0.3%
	Five plus other rooms	14	0.5%	4	0.4%	7	0.7%	4	1.0%	0	0.0%	29	0.6%

Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020

CONDITION OF UNIT

Among Lessee homeowners across the State, one in four reported that their home is in excellent condition at the present time (27.9%). An additional 28.1 percent of respondents evaluated their home's current condition as not quite excellent, but OK. Just over one-third of Lessee homeowners noted that their home needed minor repairs (30.8%). The remaining 17.7 percent stated that major repairs to their homes were necessary.

Overall, 52.2 percent of all Lessees reported the need for some type of repairs to their current units. The need for repairs has increased from 46 percent of Lessees in 2014 and 27 percent with repairs needed in 2008. The need for repairs and the extent of the repairs required was essentially the same across all islands. As was found in previous studies, the older the age of the housing unit, the greater the number and severity of the repairs required.

Among Lessee homeowners who reported the need for at least one type of repair, the type of repair work most frequently required was exterior work, such as painting, siding, and gutters (67.7%). This category was also the most likely to be classified as a major repair (81.5%). The need for minor repairs throughout the home was reported by six out of ten Lessee homeowners statewide (60%), while having windows that needed to be replaced was mentioned by 47.7 percent of Lessees whose homes required repairs.

	Current	Condition	n of Hous	sing Unit	-	
		Minor Dairs		Major Dairs	Тс	otal
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Total Lessee Households	2,519	100.0%	1,295	100.0%	3,814	100.0%
Exterior work	1,526	60.6%	1,056	81.5%	2,583	67.7%
Needs minor repairs throughout	1,636	64.9%	651	50.3%	2,287	60.0%
Windows need replacing	909	36.1%	909	70.2%	1,818	47.7%
Plumbing problems	718	28.5%	830	64.1%	1,549	40.6%
Electrical problems	606	24.0%	822	63.5%	1,428	37.4%
Walls	535	21.2%	841	64.9%	1,376	36.1%
Roof	531	21.1%	757	58.4%	1,288	33.8%
Structural	497	19.7%	742	57.3%	1,239	32.5%
Sewage problems	152	6.0%	349	26.9%	501	13.1%

Table 3: Types of Repairs Needed, 2020

Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020. Note. Total sums to >100% due to multiple response.

AFFORDABILITY OF NEEDED REPAIRS

For Lessee homeowners, the need to make repairs and the ability to pay for those are two very different issues. For Lessees whose homes require major repairs, more than 70 percent cannot afford to do so (71.8%). For those who could pay for the necessary major repairs, less than three percent have sufficient cash to cover the cost of repairs (2.7%) -- most would have to take out a bank loan (15.7%) or obtain the funds through some other means (e.g., borrow money from family; 7%).

Lessee homeowners whose homes need minor repairs were only slightly more able to cover the costs associated with making those repairs. Approximately 45 percent of these homeowners indicated that they could pay for the necessary repairs (45.7%). Only 12.8 percent of these homeowners have sufficient cash to cover the cost of the necessary repairs, while an additional 19.5 percent would have to take out a bank loan to obtain the funds to cover the costs.

		Current	Conditio	n of Hous	ing Unit		
		1000	Minor Dairs		Major Dairs	Тс	otal
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
1	Yes, with cash	322	12.8%	35	2.7%	358	9.4%
Will you be able	Yes, with a bank loan	491	19.5%	203	15.7%	694	18.2%
to pay for the	Yes, by other means	338	13.4%	91	7.0%	429	11.2%
necessary repairs for your house?	No, cannot afford to pay for repairs	1,289	51.2%	930	71.8%	2,219	58.2%
	Not Sure	79	3.1%	37	2.8%	115	3.0%
	Total	2,519	100.0%	1,295	100.0%	3,814	100.0%

Table 4: Ability to Pay for Needed Repairs by Level of Repairs, 2020

Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020.

Not only is the severity of the repairs related to Lessees' ability to pay for the repair work, the HUD income category in which Lessee households fall is directly related to their ability to cover repair expenses. As shown in the table below, two-thirds of Lessee households with an annual household income of 80 percent of the HUD median or less are unable to pay for necessary home repairs. In comparison, 42.9 percent of those in the higher HUD categories reported an inability to pay. Furthermore, Lessee homeowners earning at least 180 percent of HUD median are less likely to need major repairs but far more likely to have the cash to pay for any needed repairs than are those households in the lowest HUD ranges.

Lessees' ability to pay for necessary home repairs has been steadily decreasing since 2008. In 2008, 55 percent of Lessee homeowners whose housing units needed repairs could not cover the cost. In 2014, this percentage increased to 58 percent before climbing to 60 percent in 2020.

		H	JD Incom	e Catego	y		
		Up to 80 Al		Over 80		То	tal
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
	Yes, with cash	828	32.6%	1,208	94.7%	2,036	53.4%
Will you be able	Yes, with a bank loan	1,064	41.9%	988	77.4%	2,051	53.8%
to pay for the necessary repairs	Yes, by other means	1,651	65.1%	868	68.0%	2,519	66.0%
for your house?	No, cannot afford to	887	35.0%	408	32.0%	1,295	34.0%
for your nouse.	Not Sure	170	6.7%	118	9.3%	288	7.6%
Tota		4,600	100.0%	3,590	100.0%	8,190	214.7%

Table F. Ability to Da	for Mondad Danaira	ALLIN COMMON AND AND	Income Level 2020
Table 5: Ability to Pa	y for Needed Repairs	by Summary HUD	income Level, 2020

Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020.

HUD Income Level Table 6: Condition of Unit and Ability to Pay for Needed Repairs by HUD Income, 2014

			Less ti	han 30%		50%	50-	%09	60-1	80%	80-1	20%	120-1	40%	140-1	80%	More 18	than 0%	To	tal
ellent 189 12.5% 235 18.9% 151 24.4% 252 20.6% 331 27.2% 236 33.6% 317 31.6% 317 31.6% 317 31.6% 317 31.6% 317 31.6% 317.6% 21.0% 20.05% 20.05% 31.6% 31.6% 31.7% 31.6% 21.7% 20.05% 20.05% 31.6% 31.7% 21.6% 20.1 25.3% 20.05% 20.05% 31.6% 21.7% 21.6% 20.1 25.3% 20.05% 20.05% 31.6% 21.7% 21.6% 21.7% 20.05% 21.6% 21.7% 20.05% 21.7% 20.05% 20.0		and a second sec	Count		Count	%	Count		Count	%	Count		Count	%	Count		Count	%	Count	%
		Excellent	189	12.5%	235	18.9%	151	24.4%	252	20.6%	331	27.2%	259	33.6%	304	37.4%	315	39.8%	2,036	24.9%
dds minor repairs 600 39.6% 432 34.8% 221 35.7% 398 32.5% 327 26.9% 185 24.1% 164 20.2% 192 24.3% 2.513 dds major repairs 408 26.9% 118.4% 111 17.9% 140 11.5% 204 167% 72 9.3% 82 10.1% 51 6.4% 12.95 ure 47 3.1% 44 3.5% 11 1.7% 68 5.6% 39 3.2% 15 9.9% 37 4.9% 36 32 with abank loan 81 61 9.3% 32 4.7% 24 49 20.0% 36 429 33 4.2% 36 with abank loan 108 10.7% 11 1.7% 68 56% 39 27.1% 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	Currently, what	OK	271	17.9%	303	24.4%	125	20.2%	364	29.8%	316	26.0%	240	31.1%	231	28.5%	201	25.3%	2,051	25.0%
408 26.9% 13.4% 111 17.9% 14.0 11.5% 20.4 16.7% 72 9.3% 82 10.1% 51 6.4% 1.295 47 3.1% 44 3.5% 11 17.9% 68 5.6% 39 3.2% 15 19% 31 3.9% 33 4.2% 288 81 8.1% 63 5.6% 39 3.2% 15 19% 31 3.9% 33 4.2% 288 10 10.7% 61 9.3% 31 10.4% 13.0% 86 4.1% 26.5% 62 24.1% 69 27.9% 36 429 35.4% 35 10 10.5% 33 10.1% 66 12.2% 92 17.4% 29 11.1% 47 196% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35.4% 35	is the condition	Needs minor repairs	600	39.6%	432	34.8%	221	35.7%	398	32.5%	327	26.9%	185	24.1%	164	20.2%	192	24.3%	2,519	30.8%
47 3.1% 44 3.5% 11 1.7% 68 5.6% 39 3.2% 15 1.9% 31 3.9% 33 4.2% 288 81 8.1% 61 9.3% 37 11.2% 43 8.1% 25 4.7% 24 9.5% 49 20.0% 36 14.9% 358 108 10.7% 105 15.9% 43 8.1% 25 4.7% 28 11.1% 79 265 69 79.6 351 14.9% 354% 694 108 10.7% 33 10.1% 86 16.0% 140 26.5% 62 24.1% 69 27.9% 81 33.4% 694 82 8.1% 53 10.1% 86 12.2% 92 17.4% 29 11.7% 47 196% 219 20.5% 221 10.5% 221 231.4% 196% 231 20.9% 21.1.7% 20.9% 11.5%	of your house?			26.9%	228	18.4%	111	17.9%	140	11.5%	204	16.7%	72	9.3%	82	10.1%	51	6.4%	1,295	15.8%
81 8.1% 61 9.3% 37 11.2% 4.3 8.1% 25 4.7% 24 9.5% 49 20.0% 36 14.9% 358 10.8 10.7% 105 15.9% 43 18.0% 140 26.5% 62 24.1% 69 27.9% 81 33.4% 694 82 8.1% 51 7.7% 33 10.1% 66 12.2% 92 17.4% 69 27.9% 81 33.4% 694 708 70.2% 429 64.9% 231 10.1% 66 12.2% 92 17.4% 29 11.1% 7 196% 72.9% 429 708 70.2% 429 61.7% 237 44.8% 135 52.6% 92 71.4% 7 29.6% 71 30.6% 2.719 20.6% 7 7 2.9% 2.219 2.219 2.219 2.219 2.21% 2.216% 2.2 10.6% 2		Unsure	47	3.1%	44	3.5%	11	1.7%	68	5.6%	39	3.2%	15	1.9%	31	3.9%	33	4.2%	288	3.5%
108 10.7% 105 15.9% 43 13.0% 86 16.0% 140 26.5% 62 24.1% 69 27.9% 81 33.4% 694 82 8.1% 51 7.7% 33 10.1% 66 12.2% 92 17.4% 28 11.1% 29 17.7% 47 19.6% 429 708 70.2% 429 64.9% 211 63.6% 332 61.7% 237 44.8% 135 52.6% 92 71.7% 70 30.6% 2.219 30 2.9% 11 2.0% 332 61.7% 237 44.8% 135 52.6% 92 71.4% 70 30.6% 2.219 30 2.9% 11 2.0% 332 61.7% 237 44.8% 135 52.6% 92 74.4% 74 76.6% 2.219 30 2.9% 11 2.0% 35 6.7% 7 2.7% 7	Will you be able	100	81	8.1%	61	9.3%	37	11.2%	43	8.1%	25	4.7%	24	9.5%	49	20.0%	36	14.9%	358	9.4%
82 81.1% 51 7.7% 33 10.1% 66 12.2% 92 17.4% 28 11.1% 29 11.7% 47 19.6% 429 708 70.2% 429 64.9% 211 63.6% 332 61.7% 237 44.8% 135 52.6% 92 74 30.6% 22.19 30 2.9% 14 2.2% 11 2.0% 36 6.7% 7 2.7% 7 30.6% 2.219 30 2.9% 14 2.2% 11 2.0% 36 6.7% 7 2.7% 7 30.6% 2.16 15.16 100.0% 619 100.0% 1,221 100.0% 1,216 100.0% 813 100.0% 791 100.0% 8.190	to pay for the		-	10.7%	105	15.9%	43	13.0%	86	16.0%	140	26.5%	62	24.1%	69	27.9%	81	33.4%	694	18.2%
708 70.2% 429 64.9% 211 63.6% 332 61.7% 237 44.8% 135 52.6% 92 37.4% 74 30.6% 2.219 30 2.9% 14 2.2% 11 2.0% 36 5.7% 7 2.9% 4 1.5% 1.5 310 2.9% 14 2.2% 11 2.0% 36 6.7% 7 2.7% 7 2.9% 4 1.5% 115 1516 100.0% 112 100.0% 1,218 100.0% 770 100.0% 813 100.0% 791 100.0% 8.190	necessary	Yes, by other means		8.1%	51	7.7%	33	10.1%	99	12.2%	92	17.4%	28	11.1%	29	11.7%	47	19.6%	429	11.2%
Unsure 30 2.9% 14 2.2% 7 2.2% 11 2.0% 36 6.7% 7 2.7% 7 2.9% 4 1.5% 115% 7 2.9% 7 2.9% 7 2.9% 7 1.5% 115 Total 1,516 100.0% 1,243 100.0% 619 100.0% 1,222 100.0% 1,216 100.0% 770 100.0% 813 100.0% 791 100.0% 8,190	repairs for your	No, can't afford to pay		70.2%	429	64.9%	211	63.6%	332	61.7%	237	44.8%	135	52.6%	92	37.4%	74	30.6%	2,219	58.2%
1,243 100.0% 619 100.0% 1,222 100.0% 1,216 100.0% 770 100.0% 813 100.0% 791 100.0% 8,190	i asnou	Unsure	30	2.9%	14	2.2%	7	2.2%	ŧ	2.0%	36	6.7%	7	2.7%	7	2.9%	4	1.5%	115	3.0%
		Total	1,516	100.0%	1,243	100.0%	619	-	1,222	100.0%		100.0%	-	100.0%		100.0%	1.1	100.0%		100.0%

Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020.

Table 7: Ability to Pay for Needed Repairs by County, 2008, 2014, and 2020

							County	Inty								
		Ĩ	Honolulu	n	-	Hawaii			Kauai			Maui			Total	
		2008	2014	2020	2008	2014	2020	2008	2014	2020	2008	2014	2020	2008 2014 2020 2008 2014 2020 2008 2014 2020 2008 2014 2020 2008 2014	2014	2020
1	Yes	45%	41%	39%	44%	35%	39%	51%	49%	46%	42%	48%	38%	45%	42%	40%
will you be	Yes, with cash	13%	11%	%6	16%	14%	10%	20%	13%	11%	12%	10%	%6	14%	12%	10%
the neressant	Yes, with a bank loan	20%	17%	20%	15%	13%	19%	24%	24%	25%	14%	20%	12%	18%	17%	19%
repairs for your	Yes, by other means	12%	13%	10%	13%	8%	10%	7%	13%	11%	16%	18%	16%	13%	13%	12%
house?	No, can't afford to pay	10/	1007	C10/	200/		-	100/	C10/	E 40/	C 00/	200/	/000	_	C 00/	2007
	for repairs	0/CC	0/60	%T0	%/OC	0/00	0/10	0/DC	0/TC	%+C	0/00	0/70	0/70	0/00	0/00	0/00

a show in

Page 16 December 2020

DHHL Lessee homeowners were also asked if they were aware of the programs to assist them in financing any necessary home repairs. Less than one-quarter of respondents indicated that they are aware of these programs (Strongly Agree-4.6%; Agree-19.1%). The majority of Lessees reported that they were not aware or were unsure about the assistance offered by these programs.



Figure 8: Awareness of Home Repair Assistance Programs, 2020

HOME-RELATED INSURANCE

Approximately 77 percent of DHHL Lessees have Homeowner's Insurance (77.2%), which is very similar to the 79.2 percent who did so in 2014. In 2020, only 6.2 percent of Lessee homeowners reported having flood insurance, approximately one-quarter of the number who had flood insurance in 2014.

Regarding home-related insurance coverages, there were slight variations between the counties. Lessees on O'ahu are more likely than residents of the other islands to have a homeowner's insurance policy in effect. While the percentage of Lessees is significantly smaller, those living on the Island of Hawai'i are also most likely to have an insurance policy to cover flood damage (8.8%).

Around six percent of Lessees statewide indicated that they could not afford homeowner's or flood insurance coverage (6.2%), compared to 12 percent in 2014. Lessees residing in Hawai'i County were most likely to cite affordability as their reason for not having a home-related insurance policy (8.8%).

Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020.

Table 8: Home-Related Insurance Coverage, 2020

		1		Co	unty of	Reside	nce		_		-	
	Hone	olulu	M	aui	Hav	vaii	Ka	uai	Out of	State	То	tal
and the second	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Yes, Homeowners insurance	3,603	85.4%	1,222	79.5%	1,405	79.5%	494	81.9%	43	71.4%	6,766	77.2%
Yes, Flood insurance	233	5.5%	115	7.4%	156	8.8%	44	7.2%	0	0.0%	547	6.2%
No, can't afford to pay for insurance	233	5.5%	115	7.4%	156	8.8%	44	7.2%	0	0.0%	547	6.2%
No, the property can't be insured	72	1.7%	54	3.5%	73	4.1%	7	1.2%	0	0.0%	206	2.4%
Unsure	327	7.7%	155	10.1%	152	8.6%	58	9.6%	17	28.6%	709	8.1%

PLANS FOR THE FUTURE

Nearly nine out of ten current Lessees plan to pass their Homestead lot and house on to their children or other relatives (89%). This is slightly lower than the 98 percent who indicated their intention to pass their Homestead lot and house to their children or relatives in 2014.

Because of the requirements associated with ownership of property on DHHL Homelands, the blood quantum of the intended heir is an essential consideration for these families is who plan to pass their lot on to their children or relatives. More than half of the Lessee respondents indicated that the intended heir is 25 to 49 percent Hawaiian (55.1%), while just over 30 percent reported that the intended heir is 50 percent or more Hawaiian (30.4%), this is significantly lower than the 35.9 percent reported in 2014. Close to 11 percent of Lessees stated that their intended heir was less than 25 percent Native Hawaiian (10.5%), a little higher than in 2014.

			(County			
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawai'i	Kaua'i	Out of State	Total
	Pass it on to my children or relatives	89.9%	92.2%	90.6%	90.4%	71.4%	89.0%
	Return it back to DHHL	.1%	0.0%	.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
What do you intend	Sell it to someone else	3.5%	1.9%	1.8%	3.0%	14.3%	2.8%
to do with the house	Transfer it to someone else	1.3%	.3%	2.3%	1.2%	0.0%	1.3%
or land in the future?	Just hold on to it	2.0%	2.5%	1.8%	1.8%	0.0%	2.0%
	Not Sure	4.4%	3.4%	3.9%	3.0%	14.3%	4.0%
and the second second	Other	.8%	.9%	.6%	.6%	0.0%	0.7%
What percent Native	Less than 12½%	2.7%	2.3%	2.6%	1.3%	0.0%	2.5%
Hawaiian is the child	12½ to 24%	7.4%	9.4%	8.6%	7.3%	0.0%	8.0%
or relative that you	25 to 49%	54.3%	53.4%	56.2%	58.0%	60.0%	55.1%
intend to leave your	50% or more	31.1%	31.3%	27.4%	28.0%	40.0%	30.4%
house to?	Not Sure	3.9%	3.4%	4.1%	5.3%	0.0%	4.0%

Table 9: Future Plans for Homestead Land and Home, 2020

COMMUNITY CONDITIONS AND PREFERENCES

There are currently about 75 DHHL Homestead communities throughout the State of Hawai'i. Homestead Lands are located on five islands in Hawai'i, as shown in Appendix D Figures 1 through 4.

COMMUNITY EVALUATION

The majority of Lessees have a very positive view of their Homestead community. Over twothirds reported that their communities were a "great place to live" (67.2%), while only four percent provided an unfavorable community rating. These results were entirely consistent with the findings from previous iterations of the DHHL Lessee study.





Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020.

Another indicator of Lessees' positive impression of their Homestead community is the threequarters of respondents who said that, if given the opportunity, they would elect to stay in their current neighborhood (74.7%). While still relatively high, the percentage of Lessees who would choose to remain in their current location has been trending downward since 2003. In 2003, nine out of ten Lessees said they would opt to remain in their current neighborhood. This percentage fell to 80 percent in 2008, 79.5 percent in 2014, and is now 74.7 percent.

COMMUNITY WELL-BEING

In 2020, Lessees were presented with ten statements regarding a sense of well-being and personal responsibility within the Homestead communities. They were then asked to indicate how strongly they agreed or disagreed with each statement.

Community Safety

The responses shown in the table below suggest that residents of the DHHL Homestead communities generally know and look out for one another and want to remain in their neighborhood. Close to eight out of ten residents reported feeling safe in their community day and night.

Community Involvement

While four out of five Lessees agreed that communities work better when there is strong participation in the community association (79.4%), only 33.5 percent indicated that they regularly participate in Homestead community activities. Roughly the same number, 34.2 percent of Lessees, indicated their willingness to take a leadership role within the community.

These findings suggest a lesser commitment to community participation than was found six years ago.⁵ Although 60 to 70 percent of Lessees have indicated that they are willing to participate in community activities in previous years, the slight change in wording to State that the Lessee regularly participates in activities yielded a remarkably lower percentage (33.5%). Active participation by community members is a vital element in addressing any issues that exist in Homestead communities throughout the State. Therefore, it may be beneficial to explore why residents who are willing to participate are not actually taking steps to get involved. Removing any barriers to participation, whether actual or perceived, could result in greater participation across all Homestead communities.

Future Generations

As indicated in the satisfaction portion of this study, Lessees do not want to move away from their communities. Most Lessees (88.1%) hope that future generations of their families will continue to live in their community. Whether this will become a reality for many families depends, in part, on the blood quantum requirements for property inheritance.

It was interesting to note that, although everyone in the Homestead communities is presumably Native Hawaiian or Part-Hawaiian, only two-thirds of respondents agreed that residents in their community share Hawaiian cultural values.

⁵ Note that the wording to the statement regarding active participation in community activities was changed from "willing to actively participate" to "regularly participate in" Homestead community activities, which yielded different and informative results.

Table 10: Sense of Community Well-Being, 2020

	200	3	200	B	2014	4	202	0
	Agre	e	Agre	e	Agre	e	Agre	e
Homestead Community Issues	Strongly	Total	Strongly	Total	Strongly	Total	Strongly	Total
My hope is that my family lives in this community for generations			53%	88%	50%	87%	48%	88%
I know and trust my neighbors			34%	81%	35%	84%	30%	83%
In our community, we look out for each other			33%	84%	34%	83%	30%	84%
I feel safe in my Homestead Community walking around in the day and night			30%	76%	31%	80%	24%	76%
Communities work better with strong community participation in the Community Association	43%	89%	42%	87%	25%	75%	26%	79%
Residents in my community share Hawaiian cultural values			22%	66%	24%	67%	21%	67%
I am willing to actively participate in my community association - by at least attending regular meetings	20%	76%	21%	72%	14%	62%		
I am aware of the programs to assist me in financing home repairs					7%	29%	5%	24%
I am willing to take a leadership role in my community	11%	38%	10%	36%	7%	27%	5%	34%
I regularly participate in my Homestead community activities.							5%	34%
I like living in a Homestead community with established rules that everyone follows (a DCCR community).	an n						20%	62%

Source. DHHL Lessee Survey, 2020.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS AND LAND USE PREFERENCES

Two new topics were included in the 2020 DHHL Lessee survey to provide DHHL with insight into emerging issues. The first asked respondents to report on their interactions with Native Hawaiian organizations. The second topic targeted Lessees' perspective on the best use of DHHL lands that are not suitable for housing.

NATIVE HAWAIIAN SERVICE ORGANIZATIONS

In order to determine the extent to which Lessees seek out and receive services from various Native Hawaiian organizations, the following question was included in the 2020 DHHL Lessee survey:

In the past five years, have you or a member of your household applied and/or received a service from a Native Hawaiian organization? Please indicate which organization provided that service. (Check all that apply)

Ten organizations were listed as potential responses in the survey. In addition, Lessees had the option to fill in the names of other organizations. Kamehameha Schools was mentioned most often as an organization from which Lessees sought or received services within the past five years (16.1%). The Office of Hawaiian Affairs (OHA) and Alu Like were mentioned by 5.7 and 5.2 percent of respondents, respectively. However, nearly six out of ten Lessees reported that they had not sought or received services from any Native Hawaiian organizations within the last five years (58.8%).

LAND USE PREFERENCES

The second new topic covered in the present study solicited Lessees' option as to the optimal use of DHHL land that is not suitable for residential development. The following question was posed to survey respondents:

For lands that are not suitable for housing, which of the following uses should DHHL consider for that land? (Check all that apply)

Lessees were given a list of six potential uses, along with the option to write in any additional suggestions. Four land use options were identified most often as the best use of DHHL lands not ideal for residential development: Cultural Activities (43.3%), Family Gathering Spaces (42.8%), Community Gardens (41.9%), and Mālama' Āina (natural resource managed area; 41.8%). Commercial and light industrial uses were not widely supported (16.1% and 11%, respectively).

RATING OF DHHL INTERACTIONS

Lessees were asked "which statement best describes your interaction with DHHL in the past year?" Lessees appear to have had more communication with DHHL in recent years than in the past. In 2020, about 37 percent of Lessees reported that they either definitely had not or were not sure if they had communicated with DHHL in the past year. This compares with 50 percent not having any interaction with DHHL in 2014.

While more Lessees are communicating with DHHL in 2020 than in 2014, only about half as many rate those interactions as excellent (8% in 2020 vs 15 percent in 2014). Twenty-one percent said the interaction they had with DHHL was good, up from 15 percent in 2014. Twenty-two percent of current Lessees rated their interaction as fair, and 12 percent indicated that it was poor.

UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES

A separate survey was conducted among the 802 Undivided Interest beneficiaries to evaluate their unique circumstances and needs. Of these 622 surveys were mailed and 168 (27%) completed surveys were returned. This segment was evaluated separately from the DHHL Traditional Lessee population primarily because they had not yet moved into a community and could not answer questions about their home or community⁶.

DEMOGRAPHICS

The majority of Lessees with an Undivided Interest award are married (68.2%), unchanged from 2014. The median age of the Undivided Interest Lessees has increased from 55 years old to 57 years old, which indicates the aging of the Lessees in the pool. Lessees that fall into the age category of 35 to 54 and 65 to 74 have increased by 3.1 and 5.2 percentage points, respectively. In contrast, the Lessees in the age category of 55 to 64 have dropped by 6.9 percent in 2020.

Undivided Interest Lessees have an annual household income of between \$71,666 and \$100,000, depending on the County in which they reside. The median annual income for these households statewide is \$83,819. Compared to 2014, the median annual income has elevated by \$18,886, or 29.1 percent in 2020. The median household income is 41.7 percent higher for mainland and international at around \$118,749.

Slightly more than half of the Undivided Interest Lessees are homeowners (50.9%), while 37.5 percent are currently renting their residence. In the current study, the ratio of homeowners to renters has changed significantly from the previous iteration. There are about 13.1 percentage points more homeowners and 12 percentage points fewer renters in 2020. Of all the Undivided Interest Lessees, three out of four live in a single-family dwelling (75.1%), slightly higher than in 2014 (68.6%). Townhomes, duplex, apartments, and condominiums are the current unit types for another one-quarter of these beneficiaries (23.5%). Only as few as 1.3 percent of Undivided Interest Lessees currently live in Public Assisted housing. Approximately 85.3 percent of homeowners own a single-family dwelling.

LOT PREFERENCES AND AVAILABILITY

When Undivided Interest Lessees were asked to indicate their first, second, and third choices for the type of land award they would receive, a turn-key lot was the top choice among more than half of respondents (50.8%). A lot with basic improvements (sewer and water) but no house was the second most frequently mentioned top choice (40.1%). A condominium apartment, condo, or townhouse rental unit with the option to buy, an apartment suited for senior citizens, and a townhouse in a duplex or four-plex were the least popular among the choices.

⁶ For detailed descriptions of the survey responses provided by Undivided Interest Lessees, refer to Appendix E.

Overall, as many as 90.5 percent of Undivided Interest Lessees would prefer a turn-key lot. A popular alternative would be a Lot with essential utilities, but no house. Approximately three-fourths of the Undivided Interest Lessees preferred this option (74.3%). A single-family-house to rent with the option to buy was the third most popular preference. About six in ten Lessees preferred to receive this type of property.

	1st Che	bice	2nd C	hoice	3rd Ch	oice	0	/erall
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it)	407	50.8%	322	40.1%	57	7.1%	726	90.5%
Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	322	40.1%	165	20.6%	119	14.8%	596	74.3%
Single-family house to rent with option to buy	37	4.6%	195	24.3%	261	32.6%	492	61.4%
An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list	16	2.0%	17	2.1%	109	13.6%	142	17.8%
Don't know/Refused	16	2.0%	46	5.8%	96	12.0%	101	12.6%
Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	4	0.5%	11	1.3%	42	5.3%	57	7.1%
Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex	0	0.0%	16	1.9%	51	6.4%	67	8.3%
Condominium apartment (Multi-family building)	0	0.0%	15	1.9%	17	2.2%	33	4.1%
Apartment suited for senior citizens	0	0.0%	16	2.0%	49	6.1%	65	8.1%
Total	802	100.0%	802	100.0%	802	100.0%	802	100.0%

Table 11: Perceptions among Undivided Interest Beneficiaries, 2020

Source: DHHL Undivided Interest Lessees Survey 2020

For Undivided Interest Lessees whose first choice was Turn-key (51%), their second choice would be Single-family rent with option to buy (44%) and Lot with utilities but n house (34%). Those whose first choice was Lot with utilities but no house (40%), their second choice was a Turn-key house (88%).

Three-quarters of Undivided Interest Lessees expect to move onto Homestead Land within the next two years (73.1%), compared to just over half of the Undivided Interest households who held that expectation in 2014 (50.3%). This difference indicates that the Undivided Interest households are better prepared to accept a Homestead lease than they were previously. An additional 20.6 percent of Undivided Interest households anticipate having a lot available within three to five years.

FINANCIAL QUALIFICATION

When asked if they would be financially prepared to qualify for their award when their lot became available, 80.2 percent on Undivided Interest Lessees responded positively. Compared to just 60.8 percent of Lessees who felt financially prepared about their qualifications in 2014, about 20 percent more Lessees felt confident in terms of their financial preparedness in 2020.

An additional 18.8 percent of Lessees indicated that they were unsure if they would be financially prepared to receive a lot. The remaining one percent felt that they would not be fully prepared. Lessees who claimed not sure or unprepared financially are mostly households with an annual median income of \$63,172, much below the median household income level for those who felt financially prepared at \$99,313. They are also the groups less ready to accept a Homestead lease within the next year than those who affirmatively claim they were financially prepared (25.9% vs. 61.6%).

UNDERSTANDING THE UNDIVIDED INTEREST AWARD

Among the Undivided Interest beneficiaries, three out of ten said they fully understand their award and do not require any further information (33.7%). Six out of ten reported that they had a reasonable understanding of their award but would like to obtain additional information (57%). Only 8.5 percent of these households indicated that they genuinely did not understand their award at all.

It appears that slightly more Lessees fully understand their award details than in 2014 (+2.3%). However, the increase does not offset those who either partially understand their award or do not understand at all (-3.1%). There seems to be a need to enhance the understanding of Undivided Interest Lessees regarding their award details.

	Honolulu	County	Maul	County	Hawaii	County	Kauai	County	Main		Тс	otal
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.
Expect Lot to be available												
Less than 1 year	37	16.3%	18	10.4%	23	16.7%	0	0.0%	13	13.6%	90	12.9%
One year	95	41.9%	89	52.1%	69	50.0%	18	25.0%	30	31.8%	300	42.9%
Two years	42	18.6%	21	12.5%	17	12,5%	27	37.5%	17	18.2%	124	17.8%
Three years	27	11.6%	14	8.3%	0	0.0%	9	12.5%	8	9.1%	58	8.3%
Four to five years	16	7.0%	21	12.5%	11	8.3%	18	25.0%	21	22.7%	88	12.5%
Six to eight years	11	4.7%	4	2.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	14	2.0%
More than 8 years	0	0.0%	4	2.1%	17	12.5%	0	0.0%	4	4.5%	25	3.6%
Total	228	100.0%	170	100.0%	138	100.0%	71	100.0%	93	100.0%	700	100.0%
Will you be prepared financially to qualify whether the second se	nen your lo	t is avail	able?						-			
Yes	191	72.0%	153	81.1%	149	86.7%	62	87.5%	89	84.0%	644	80.2%
No	0	0.0%	4	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	4.0%	8	1.0%
Don't know/Refused	74	28.0%	32	17.0%	23	13.3%	9	12.5%	13	12.0%	151	18.8%
Total	265	100.0%	188	100.0%	172	100.0%	71	100.0%	106	100.0%	802	100.0%
Understanding of undivided interest details				1.1			-					
Fully understand, and no other information is needed	95	36.0%	74	39,6%	57	33.3%	18	25.0%	25	24.0%	270	33.7%
Somewhat understand, but more information is needed	143	54.0%	82	43.4%	103	60.0%	53	75.0%	76	72.0%	457	57.0%
Do not understand at all	27	10.0%	32	17.0%	6	3.3%	0	0.0%	4	4.0%	68	8.5%
Don't know/Refused	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	.7%
Total	265	100.0%	188	100.0%	172	100.0%	71	100.0%	106	100.0%	802	100.0%
What do you intend to do with the award in t	he future?											
Pass it on to my children or relatives	201	76.0%	160	84.9%	161	93.3%	62	87.5%	85	80.0%	668	83.4%
Return it back to DHHL	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	4.0%	4	.5%
Sell it to someone else	5	2.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	.7%
Just hold on to it	37	14.0%	14	7.5%	6	3.3%	9	12.5%	13	12.0%	79	9.8%
Don't know/Refused	16	6.0%	11	5.7%	6	3.3%	0	0.0%	4	4.0%	37	4.6%
Other	5	2.0%	4	1.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	1.1%
Total	265	100.0%	188	100.0%	172	100.0%	71	100.0%	106	100.0%	802	100.0%

Table 12: Perceptions among Undivided Interest Beneficiaries, 2020

Source: DHHL Undivided Interest Lessees Survey 2020

RATING OF DHHL INTERACTIONS

Undivided Interest Lessees were asked "which statement best describes your interaction with DHHL in the past year?" About 47 percent of Lessees responded they had not spoken with DHHL in the past year or "don't know/refused." Fifteen percent of respondents said "excellent, they really try to help." Fourteen percent said "good, they do their jobs pretty well." Fifteen percent said "fair, they don't go out of their way to help.". There was nine percent that said "poor, they don't care about my problems."
COMPARISONS BETWEEN TRADITIONAL LESSEES AND UNDIVIDED INTEREST LESSEES

While there are many commonalities between the Traditional and Undivided Interest Lessees, there are areas in which their characteristics are unique. This section examines both groups' demographic characteristics, economic situations, and perspectives regarding their current residence.

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

Age

Figure 10 shows the age distribution of Traditional and Undivided Interest Lessees. The Traditional Lessees tend to be older than the Undivided Interest Lessees. Traditional Lessees' median age is estimated to be 62 years old, while the median age of Undivided Interest Lessees is 57 years. The most considerable differences lie in the 45 to 54 and 65 to 74 age groups. Among 45 to 54 year olds, there are nearly 10 percentage points more Undivided Interest Lessees than Traditional Lessees. The opposite is true for the 65 to 74 age range, with 5.2 percent more Traditional Lessees.



Figure 10. Comparisons of Age, 2020

Types of Units

Most Traditional Lessees live in single-family homes (93.6%), while only three-quarters of Undivided Interest Lessees live in single-family accommodations (75.1%). Undivided Interest Lessees are more likely to live in multi-family housing units like townhomes, apartments, condominiums, and publicly assisted housing than Traditional Lessees.



Figure 12. Comparisons of Types of Units, 2020

ECONOMIC SITUATIONS

Household Income

Household income also differs significantly among the two Lessee groups. As shown in Figure 13, roughly one-third of Traditional Lessees earn less than \$50,000, while only one-fifth of Undivided Interest Lessees are in these lower income ranges. The percentage of Undivided Interest Lessees with household incomes of \$150,000 or more is nearly twice that of Traditional Lessees (18.1% and 9.8%, respectively).

The median household income for the Traditional Lessees is estimated at \$74,954. The median household income for the Undivided Interest Lessees is \$89,154, nearly 20 percent greater than for Traditional Lessees.



Figure 13. Comparisons of Household Income, 2020

Savings

Both groups of Lessees were asked about the amount they have in savings. Close to 15 percent of Traditional Lessees' households reported that they have no savings (14.8%). Only 7.6 percent of Undivided Interest Lessees stated that they have no savings at all. While significantly more Traditional Lessee households have savings of \$5,000 to \$24,999, a larger percentage of Undivided Interest Lessees have savings of \$50,000 or more. The median savings for Traditional Lessees' households is only around \$4,039. The Undivided Interest Lessees' households, on the other hand, have as much as three times more savings than the Traditional Lessees (\$13,167).

An unusually high percentage of Traditional Lessees reported that they were not sure how much money they had in savings (27.9%; vs. 8.9% of UI Lessees). If those Lessee households who were unsure are eliminated from the analysis, the comparison is even more dramatic. Twenty-one percent of Traditional Lessees, versus eight percent of Undivided Interest Lessees, reported zero savings. Similarly, 12 percent of Traditional households have \$50,000 or more in savings compared to 21 percent of Undivided Interest households.





Affordable Mortgage or Loan

Figure 15 compares the mortgage or loan payment deemed affordable by Lessee households if they were to build, buy, or repair a home in the next four years. About 14 percent of Traditional Lessees stated that they could only afford to pay less than \$200. In contrast, less than 3 percent of Undivided Interest Lessees think they could afford the same amount. The most significant differences among the two lessee groups lie in the higher range of affordable mortgages or loans between \$1,100 to \$1,999 and more than \$2,000. There are close to three times as many Undivided Interest Lessees than Traditional Lessees who can afford to pay mortgage between \$1,100 to \$1,999 and four times as many Undivided Interest Lessees who can afford to pay more than \$2,000 each month. The median affordable mortgage or loan amount is about \$578 for Traditional Lessees and \$1,286 for Undivided Interest Lessees.



Figure 15. Comparisons of Affordable Mortgage or Loan, 2020

PERSPECTIVE OF CURRENT RESIDENCE

Participation in Community Activities

Traditional Lessees and Undivided Interest Lessees have a different perspective regarding community activities participation. More than half of the Undivided Interest Lessees reported they regularly participate in their community activities (57.3%). Only about one-third of Traditional Lessees regularly engage in their Homestead activities (32.6%).



Figure 16. Comparisons of Community Activities Participation, 2020

Source: DHHL Lessees Survey and Undivided Interest Lessees Survey 2020

Willingness to Organize Homestead Activities

When asked about their willingness to help organize Homestead activities, Undivided Interest Lessees were twice and likely to help out than Traditional Lessees. Only around three in ten Traditional Lessees reported that they would be willing to assist. From the survey results, it appears that the Undivided Interest Lessees are quite enthusiastic about taking a leadership role within the Homestead community.



Figure 17. Comparisons of Willingness to Organize Homestead Activities, 2020

Source: DHHL Lessees Survey and Undivided Interest Lessees Survey 2020

Sharing of Hawaiian Cultural Values

Only slightly more than half of the Undivided Interest Lessees agreed that the residents in their community share Hawaiian cultural values (51.4%), as opposed to 65.6 percent for Traditional Lessees. The result is not surprising as the Traditional Lessees are living in communities where majorities are Hawaiian. In contrast, the Undivided Interest Lessees live in communities where the residents are a mix of different ethnicities. Therefore, the sharing of Hawaiian cultural may not be as popular as in the Traditional Lessees' communities. About the same number of Lessees were unsure about this among the two groups.



Figure 18. Comparisons of Sharing Hawaiian Cultural Values, 2020

Source: DHHL Lessees Survey and Undivided Interest Lessees Survey 2020

Overall, the Undivided Interest Lessees are quite different from the Traditional Lessees. They appear to be slightly younger and wealthier than the Traditional Lessees. Traditional Lessees, on the other hand, have more homeowners and are mostly living in single-family houses. Undivided Interest Lessees are more engaged in community activities.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: SURVEY INSTRUMENTS



ï

2020 DHHL LESSEE SURVEY

ed this lease were you an t Lessee? 0 have a house on your (TINUE) 0 ortgage on the house now? 2 ady 0 ove the mortgage 0
o ed this lease were you an t Lessee? 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
t Lessee? O O nave a house on your (TINUE) O ortgage on the house now? Q ady O ve the mortgage O
t Lessee? O O nave a house on your (TINUE) O ortgage on the house now? Q ady o ve the mortgage O
O nave a house on your ITINUE)
ITINUE)
nave a house on your
vrtgage on the house now?
ortgage on the house now?
ortgage on the house now?
adyQ ve the mortgageO
adyO ve the mortgageO
ve the mortgage O
-
has the mortgage O
eived/built the house, have oms?
0
ny other improvements on ?
0
ight size for you and your
ger0
allerO
the condition of your house?
Q11)0
0
s0
s0
airs are required? (CHECK)
dation cracked) O
sagging) O amage) O
acing 0
0
0
0
painting, siding, gutters)O

10.	Will you be able to pay for the necessary repairs for your house? Yes, with cash 0 Yes, with a bank loan 0 Yes, by other means 0 No, can't afford to pay for repairs 0
11.	Do you have the following types of home- related insurance? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
	Yes, Homeowners insurance
	we would like to ask you about the Homestead munity where your award land is located
12.	Looking around at your neighbors' houses,
	would you say that most of them are
	In the same shape as your house
	In better shape than your house
	In worse shape than your houseO In much worse than your houseO
	Don't know/Refused
13.	Would you say that your Homestead neighborhood is a
	Great place to live
	Just like any other neighborhoodO Not a good place to liveO
14.	Everything considered, if you had a chance, would you
	Stay in this neighborhoodO
	Move away from this neighborhoodO
	Not sureO
15.	Are you currently living in the house on your Homestead lot?
	Yes0
	No, my kids live thereO
	No, someone else lives there O
	No, I rent it to othersO
	No one lives there
16.	What do you intend to do with the house or land in the future?
	Pass it on to my children or relatives
	Return it back to DHHL (SKIP TO Q18) O
	Sell it to someone else (SKIP TO Q18) O
	Transfer it to someone else (SKIP TO Q18) O
	Just hold on to it (SKIP TO Q18)
	Don't know/Refused (SKIP TO Q18) O
	Other (specify) (SKIP TO Q18) O

17. What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to?

Less than 12 1/2%	0
12 1/2 to 24%	
25 to 49%	
50% or more	
Don't know/Refused	
Other (specify)	0

18. The following statements relate to you and your Homestead community, where your award land is located. Please mark how strongly you agree with the statements below: "Strongly Agree," "Agree," "Disagree," "Strongly Disagree," or "Don't Know."

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't know
I am aware of the programs to assist me in financing home repairs.	0	0	0	0	0
Homestead communities work better with strong resident participation	0	0	0	0	0
I regularly participate in my Homestead community activities.	0	0	0	0	0
I do/will help organize Homestead community activities.	0	0	o	0	0
I like living in a Homestead community with established rules that everyone follows (a DCCR community).	0	0	0	0	0
I feel well connected with my Homestead community association(s).	0	0	0	0	0
feel safe in my Homestead community walking around in the day and night.	0	0	0	0	0
know and trust my neighbors.	0	0	0	0	0
In our Homestead community we look out for each other.	0	0	0	0	0
My hope is that my family lives in this Homestead community for generations.	0	0	0	٥	0
The residents in my Homestead community share Mawaiian cultural values.	0	0	0	0	0

Po	or, they don't care about my problems O	
	ven't spoken with DHHL in past year O	
	't know/RefusedO	

Now we would like to ask you about the house you live in now, whether it is on Homestead land or not

20.	Do you own or rent the house in which you live?
	Own
	RentO
	Sharing with others, no rent
	Live alone without rent payment O

21. What kind of home do you live in now?

Single-family houseO
Townhouse, duplex, multiplexO
ApartmentO
CondominiumO
Public assisted housingO
Other (specify)O

22. How many rooms are there in your house? (PLEASE WRITE THE NUMBER IN THE BOXES PROVIDED BELOW)

bedrooms
bathrooms

- 23. If you could change your existing house, how many additional rooms would you like to have?
 - ____ bedrooms
 - ____ bathrooms

____ other rooms

No changes to existing houseO

24.	In the past five years, have you or a member of your household applied and/or received a service from a Native Hawaiian organization? Please indicate which organization provided that service. (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
	Office of Hawaiian AffairsO
	Kamehameha SchoolsO
	Queen Lili'uokalani Trust
	Native Hawaiian Chamber of Commerce
	Native Hawaiian Healthcare Centers
	Alu Like
	Lucalilo Trust
	A Hawaiian Civic ClubO
	Hawaiian focused Charter School
	Hawaiian language program O
	Other (specify) O
	Did not apply or receive any service
	Don't know/RefusedO

 For lands that are not suitable for housing, which of the following uses should DHHL consider for that land? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

Mālama 'Āina (natural resource managed area), O
Cultural Activities
Community Garden
Commercial Uses
Family Gathering SpacesO
Light IndustrialO
Other (specify) O
None of these
Don't know/RefusedO

In this survey we define "Homestead Family" as all the people who live with you on your Awarded Homestead land

26.	What is your gender?	
	Male	. 0
	Female	.0
	Gender, non-conforming	. 0
	Prefer not to answer	0
27.	What is your current marital status?	
	Single, never married	. 0
	Married	.0
	Living with Partner	
	Separated/Divorced	
	Widowed	. 0
	Prefer not to answer	.0
28.	What was your age on your last birthday?	
	years old	
29.	How many people including yourself are part of your Homestead family?	

____ people

30. How many members in your Homestead family are under 18 or over 70 years of age?

 1 1	anonle under ano	10
	people under sge	10

people over sge 70

 How many adults in your Homestead family are employed? (IF NO ONE IS EMPLOYED, PLEASE SKIP TO Q33.)

 For each adult in your Homestead family employed part-time or full-time, please indicate the industry they work in. (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY).

	Adults working full-time	Aduits working part-time
Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting	0	0
Construction	0	0
Retail trade	0	0
Transportation, warehousing, and utilities	0	0
Finance and insurance, real estate, rental and leasing	0	0
Professional, scientific, management, and administrative,	0	0
Educational services	0	0
Health care and social assistance	0	0
Hotel, accommodations, and food services	0	0
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	0	0
Public administration/Government	0	0
Other services (specify)	0	0

33. Do you or any members of your Homestead family own any real estate other than your Homestead award land?

Yes0	č.
NoO	
Don't know/Refused	è

34. About how much does your Homestead family have in savings right now?

None)
Less than \$5,000)
\$5,000 to \$24,999)
\$25,000 to \$49,999)
\$50,000 or more	
Don't know/Refused	3

_____ adults employed full-time

_____ adults employed part-time

35. If you were to build, buy, or repair a home in the next four years, about how much do you think you could afford to pay each month for your mortgage or loan?

Less than \$200	
\$200 to \$499O	
\$500 to \$799O	
\$800 to \$1,099O	
\$1,100 to \$1,999O	
\$2,000 or more	
Don't know/RefusedO	

36. In 2019, what was the total income of all the people in your Homestead family?

37. Are you current on your property taxes?

Yes	
No	
I don't pay property faxesO	
Don't Know/Refused	

Do you or other members of your household 38. use a device to regularly send e-mails or access websites on the Internet?

Me alone	0
Me and others	0
Others, not me	
No one	0
Don't Know/Refused	

What type of devices are being used? (CHECK 39. ALL THAT APPLY)

Desktop computer O	
SmartphoneO	
Tablet	
Other specify O	
Don't Know/RefusedO	

40. What is your current e-mail address? (This will only be used to update the DHHL Beneficiary Database and future research.)

Can DHHL follow up with you for additional 41. research and information?

Yes	0
No	0

42. What is the Zip Code where you currently live?

_____ zip code

Mahalo for participating in our survey! Please use the pre-paid reply envelope provided and return the completed survey by October 23, 2020.



2020 DHHL UNDIVIDED INTEREST SURVEY

Based on our files you currently have an undivided interest award. How many years from now will you be ready to accept a
Homestead lease?
years
Will you be prepared financially to qualify when the Homestead lease is offered?
Yes
No O Don't know/Refused O
How would you rate your level of understanding on the details of your undivided interest award?
Fully understand, and no other information is neededO
Somewhat understand, but more information is
neededO Do not understand at allO
What do you intend to do with the award in the future?
Pass it on to my children or relatives 0 Return it to DHHL (SKIP TO Q6) 0
Sell it to someone else (SKIP TO Q6) O
Transfer it to someone else (SKIP TO Q6) O
Just hold on to it (SKIP TO Q6)
Will not accept lot (SKIP TO Q6)
Other (specify below) (SKIP TO Q6)

5. What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to?

Less than 12 1/2%	b
12 1/2 to 24%	5
25 to 49%	
50% or more	С
Don't know/Refused	С
Other (specify) C	D

6. If you were to be offered a Homestead lease in 2021, which of the following types of property would you most like to receive? (DARKEN THE CIRCLE NEXT TO YOUR FIRST CHOICE [1]. THEN DARKEN YOUR SECOND CHOICE [2] IN THE SECOND COLUMN. THEN DARKEN YOUR THIRD CHOICE [3] IN THE THIRD COLUMN.)

	1 st Choice	2 nd Choice	3 rd Choice
Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	0	0	0
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it)	0	0	0
Single-family house to rent with option to buy	0	0	0
Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex	0	0	0
Condominium apartment (Multi-family building)	0	0	0
Condo or Townhouse rental unit with option to buy	o	0	0
Apartment suited for senior citizens	0	0	0
An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list	0	0	0

7. If you could not qualify to finance your first choice, how likely would you be to accept your second or third choice if available?

(and Shah)	0
/ery likely	
Somewhat likely	0
Somewhat unlikely	0
/ery unlikely	
Not sure	
Don't know/Refused	0

 When considering accepting that lease, which of the following is the most important to you? (CHOOSE JUST ONE)

The location of the community O
The price of the unitO
Size of lotO
Ability to qualify to finance the house O
Proximity to jobs and/or schoolsO
The community amenities nearbyO
The community is a DCCR community (has a
homeowner association that enforces
guidelines)O
Type of housing unit (Single-family or
Multi-family)O

If you had your choice, in which area of Hawai'i would you like to live?

10. Where would be your second choice?

11. The following statements relate to you and where you live now. Please mark how strongly you agree with the statements below. "Strongly agree," "Agree," "Disagree," "Strongly Disagree," or "Don't Know."

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't know
Communities work better with strong resident participation	0	Ő	0	0	0
I regularly participate in my community activities.	0	0	0	0	0
The residents in my community share Hawaiian cultural values.	0	0	0	0	0
I feel safe in my community walking around in the day and night.	0	0	0	0	0
I know and trust my neighbors.	0	0	0	0	0
In our community we look out for each other.	0	0	0	0	0
I am aware of the programs to assist me in financing a house on DHHL land.	0	0	0	0	0
My hope is that my family lives in my future Homestead award for generations.	0	0	0	0	0
I do/will help organize my future Nomestead community activities.	0	0	0	0	0
I would like to live in a Homestead community with established rules that everyone follows (a DCCR community).	0	0	0	0	0

12. What is your gender?

Asle	0
Female	0
Sender, non-conforming	0
Prefer not to answer	0

13. What is your current marital status?

Single, never married O
MarriedO
Living with Partner O
Separated/Divorced O
Widowed O
Prefer not to answer

14. What was your age on your last birthday?

years old

In this survey we define "Homestead family" as all the people who will move with you to your future Homestead land

15. How many people <u>including yourself</u> are part of your Homestead family?

_____ people

16. How many members in your homestead family are under 18 or over 70 years of age?

____ people under age 18

17. How many bedrooms and bathrooms will you need in your new home?

|____| bedrooms

 How many adults in your Homestead family are employed? (IF NO ONE IS EMPLOYED, PLEASE SKIP TO Q20)

adults employed full-time

adults employed part-time

 For adults in your homestead family employed fulltime or part-time, please indicate the industry they work in. (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY).

Aduite working full-time	Adulte working part-time
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
0	0
	working full-time 0

20.	Do you or any member of your Homestead family receive any of the following types of assistance? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
	Section 8O
	Rental Assistance O
	Public Assistance (TANF) O
	SNAP/Food StampsO
	WIC O None of these O
	None of these
	Don't know Reidsed
21.	About how much does your Homestead
	family have in savings right now?
	NothingO
	Less than \$5,000 O
	\$5,000 to \$24,999O
	\$25,000 to \$49,999
	\$50,000 or more
22.	If you were to build, buy, or repair a home in the next four years, about how much do you
	think you could afford to pay each month for
	your mortgage or loan?
	Less than \$200 O
	\$200 to \$499O
	\$500 to \$799 O
	\$800 to \$1,099O
	\$1,100 to \$1,999O
	\$2,000 or moreO Don't know/RefusedO
	Don concerned and a second and a second
23.	In 2019, what was the total income of all the
	people in your Homestead family?
	Less than \$15,000 O
	\$15,000 to \$19,999O
	\$20,000 to \$24,999O \$25,000 to \$29,999O
	\$30,000 to \$34,999O
	\$35,000 to \$39,999O
	\$40,000 to \$44,999O
	\$45,000 to \$49,999O
	\$50,000 to \$54,999O
	\$55,000 to \$59,999O
	\$60,000 to \$64,999
	\$65,000 to \$69,999O \$70,000 to \$74,999O
	\$75,000 to \$79,999
	\$80,000 to \$89,999O
	\$90,000 to \$99,999O
	\$100,000 to \$124,999O
	\$125,000 to \$149,999O
	\$150,000 to \$199,999O
	\$200,000 or more
	Don't know/refusedO

Now we would like to ask you about the house you currently live in.

24. Do you own or rent the house in which you live?

Own
RentO
Sharing with others, no rentO
Live slone without rent paymentsO

- 25. What kind of home do you live in now? Single-family house......O Townhouse, duplex, multiplex....O Apartment...O Condominium...O Public assisted housing...O Other (specify below)...O
- 26. What is the total monthly payment for rent or mortgage for this housing unit?

Home paid for, or no rent paid	ł.
Less than \$300C	
\$300 to \$499C	
\$500 to \$899C	¥
\$700 to \$999	2
\$1,000 to \$1,199 C	ÿ.
\$1,200 to \$1,499	2
\$1,500 to \$1,699 C	þ.
\$1,700 to \$1,899 C	þ.
\$1,900 to \$2,099	۶.
\$2,100 to \$2,299	ġ.
\$2,300 to \$2,499 C	۶.
\$2,500 or more	5
Don't know/Refused O)

27. What is the Zip Code where you currently live?

				_ zip	code
--	--	--	--	-------	------

28. Including yourself, how many people live in your household including children?

____ people

29. How many people in your household are related to you by birth, marriage, or adoption (hānai)?

_____ people

30. How many families live in your household?

_____ families

31.	How many years have you lived in your housing unit?	
-----	--	--

1	Lunna.
	 years

l

32. How many bedrooms and bathrooms are in your home?

bedrooms
bathrooms

33. In the past five years have you or a member of your household applied and/or received a service from a Native Hawaiian organization? Please indicate which organization provided that service. (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

Office of Hawaiian Affairs	0
Kamehameha Schools	0
Lili'uokalani Trust	0
Native Hawaiian Chamber of Commerce	0
Native Hawaiian Healthcare Centers	0
Alu Like	0
Lunalilo Trust	0
A Hawaiian Civic Club	0
Hawaiian focused Charter School	0
Hawaiian language program	0
Other (specify)	0
Did not apply or receive any service	0
Don't know/refused	0

 For lands that are not suitable for housing, which of the following uses should DHHL consider for that land? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

Málama 'Áina (natural resource managed area) O
Cultural Activities
Community Garden
Commercial Uses
Family Gathering Spaces
Light Industrial O
Other (specify) O
None of these
Don't know/RefusedO

The following questions relate specifically to DHHL. 35. Considering all your interactions with DHHL in the last year, which statement below best describes your communications with them? Excellent, they really try to help......O Good, they do their jobs pretty wellO Fair, they don't go out of their way to helpO Poor, they don't care about my problems......O Haven't spoken with DHHL in past yearO Don't know/Refused......O Do you or other members of your household 36. use a device to regularly send e-mails or access websites on the Internet? Me alone.....O Me and others O Others, not me..... O No one.....O Don't Know/Refused......O 37. What type of devices are being used? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY) Desktop computer O Smartphone......O TabletO Other specify O Don't Know/Refused......O 38. What is your current e-mail address? (This will only be used to update the DHHL Lessee Database and future research.) 39. Can DHHL follow up with you for additional research and information? Yes......0 No......O 40. Please feel free to write any additional comments in the space below.

Mahalo for participating in our survey! Please use the pre-paid reply envelope provided and return the completed survey by October 23, 2020. APPENDIX B: DATA TABULATIONS BY COUNTY

Table B-1.	Respondent Characteristics, 2020
------------	---

		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kaua'i	Out of State	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Male	38.6%	41.6%	37.6%	38.0%	57.1%	39.0%
Gender	Female	58.8%	54.4%	59.7%	60.8%	42.9%	58.2%
	Prefer not to answer	2.6%	4.0%	2.7%	1.2%	0.0%	2.7%
Age	18 to 24	.3%	.3%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	.3%
	25 to 34	1.7%	2.8%	3.1%	.6%	0.0%	2.1%
	35 to 44	12.0%	9.5%	9.6%	12.0%	0.0%	10.9%
	45 to 54	17.8%	18.0%	12.9%	18.7%	0.0%	16.7%
	55 to 64	25.8%	24.9%	23.1%	20.5%	14.3%	24.5%
	65 to 74	23.9%	23.5%	29.0%	25.9%	28.6%	25.1%
	75 or older	16.8%	18.5%	20.0%	18.7%	57.1%	18.2%
	Prefer not to answer	1.7%	2.5%	2.3%	2.4%	0.0%	2.0%
	Single, never married	9.9%	10.0%	11.7%	13.9%	0.0%	10.5%
	Married	60.3%	64.9%	54.8%	54.8%	71.4%	59.6%
Marital	Living with Partner	2.2%	1.5%	2.2%	2.4%	0.0%	2.1%
Status	Separated/Divorced	9.9%	6.6%	10.4%	9.6%	0.0%	9.3%
	Widowed	15.2%	14.7%	16.6%	14.5%	28.6%	15.5%
	Prefer not to answer	2.6%	2.3%	4.3%	4.8%	0.0%	3.0%

1	and the second		County	of Resid	lence		
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kaua'i	Out of State	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	1 to 2 people	23.1%	25.8%	41.9%	30.7%	57.1%	28.5%
	3 to 4 people	30.8%	29.5%	30.5%	34.3%	28.6%	30.7%
Household Size	5 to 6 people	26.3%	24.8%	14.7%	14.5%	0.0%	22.4%
	7 or more	17.8%	15.8%	8.6%	16.3%	14.3%	15.3%
	Not reported	2.0%	4.1%	4.3%	4.2%	0.0%	3.1%
	None	33.3%	33.8%	48.7%	43.4%	28.6%	37.4%
	One member	17.3%	13.5%	11.9%	9.6%	28.6%	14.9%
Household	Two members	18.5%	17.6%	11.2%	15.1%	14.3%	16.4%
Members Under Age 18	Three members	9.2%	9.5%	5.5%	7.8%	0.0%	8.3%
Under Age 10	Four or more members	7.7%	8.4%	4.9%	9.0%	0.0%	7.3%
	Not reported	14.0%	17.1%	17.8%	15.1%	28.6%	15.6%
	None	49.4%	44.8%	52.1%	51.8%	14.3%	49.0%
	One member	21.5%	19.9%	16.6%	20.5%	28.6%	20.1%
Household	Two members	11.3%	12.3%	12.1%	9.0%	42.9%	11.7%
Members Over Age 70	Three members	1.9%	.4%	.8%	.6%	0.0%	1.3%
Ageno	Four or more members	1.7%	2.1%	1.2%	.6%	0.0%	1.6%
	Not reported	14.2%	20.4%	17.2%	17.5%	14.3%	16.3%
	None	7.3%	8.6%	12.7%	9.0%	14.3%	8.9%
Adults in	1-2 adults	54.8%	54.9%	49.1%	54.8%	42.9%	53.5%
Household	3-5 adults	20.1%	12.8%	9.2%	9.6%	0.0%	15.4%
Employed Full- time	6 or more adults	1.0%	.2%	1.0%	2.4%	14.3%	1.1%
	Not reported	16.8%	23.4%	28.0%	24.1%	28.6%	21.1%
	None	26.8%	21.3%	23.3%	25.3%	28.6%	24.9%
Adults in	1-2 adults	24.8%	27.9%	24.7%	24.1%	28.6%	25.3%
Household	3-5 adults	1.0%	.7%	1.4%	1.2%	0.0%	1.0%
Employed Part- time	6 or more adults	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.6%	0.0%	.0%
	Not reported	47.4%	50.1%	50.7%	48.8%	42.9%	48.7%

And the states		1995	2003	2008	2014	2020	
		Col %					
	18 to 24		1.1%	2.0%	0.4%	.3%	
	25 to 34		7.1%	9.8%	4.3%	2.2%	
	35 to 44		14.8%	17.3%	10.6%	11.2%	
Respondent	45 to 54			28.7%	17.7%	17.1%	
Age	55 to 64		38.3%	21.3%	27.9%	25.1%	
	65 to 74		38.0%	20.8%	39.0%	25.6%	
	75+					18.6%	
	Median age		51	56	62	62	
	Single, never married	7.2%	17.8%	10.0%	17.1%	11.1%	
	Married	67.3%	44.1%	66.0%	31.5%	62.8%	
Marital Status	Married, but separated	25.5%	38.1%	25.0%	11.9%	9.8%	
	Divorced				14.7%	9.870	
	Widowed				24.8%	16.3%	
	1 to 2 members		26.0%	29.0%	30.7%	29.4%	
	3 to 4 members		37.0%	32.0%	31.4%	31.7%	
Household	5 to 7 members		37.0%	38.0%	37.9%	29.6%	
Size	8 to 10 members					6.7%	
	More than 10 members					2.5%	
Children in	Yes	59.7%	62.4%	53.0%	58.8%	62.6%	
Household	No	40.3%	37.6%	45.4%	41.2%	37.4%	
1.201.00	None			45.4%	41.2%	44.3%	
Manuhanaaf	One member			19.7%	17.8%	17.7%	
Members of	Two members			17.7%	18.8%	19.5%	
Homestead	Three members			9.4%	11.0%	9.8%	
Family Under	Four members			4.2%	5.7%	3.9%	
Age 18	Five members		1	2.2%	2.8%	2.6%	
	Six or more members			1.4%	2.6%	2.2%	

		2003	2008	2014	2020
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Less than \$15,000	01.00/	9.2%	6.0%	8.0%
	\$15,000 to \$19,999	21.0%	0.70/	4.2%	4.0%
	\$20,000 to \$24,999	12.00/	8.7%	5.4%	4.8%
	\$25,000 to \$29,999	13.0%	9.5%	4.1%	2.7%
	\$30,000 to \$34,999	14.0%	9.5%	6.3%	4.1%
	\$35,000 to \$39,999	14.0%		5.7%	5.1%
	\$40,000 to \$44,999	13.0%	15.3%	6.2%	2.6%
	\$45,000 to \$49,999	13.0%	0.0	5.7%	3.2%
	\$50,000 to \$54,999	11.0%	12.5%	5.2%	3.5%
	\$55,000 to \$59,999	11.0%	12.57	5.7%	2.5%
Household	\$60,000 to \$64,999			5.9%	3.6%
Income	\$65,000 to \$69,999	14.0%	13.3%	3.8%	2.8%
	\$70,000 to \$74,999			4.3%	3.6%
	\$75,000 to \$79,999			2.6%	2.8%
	\$80,000 to \$89,999	8.0%	13.8%	5.9%	5.6%
	\$90,000 to \$99,999			4.5%	4.9%
	\$100,000 to \$124,999		12.00/	9.1%	20.4%
	\$125,000 to \$149,999	0.00/	13.0%	4.8%	6.1%
	\$150,000 to \$199,999	6.0%	3.3%	3.1%	5.4%
	\$200,000 or more		1.3%	1.6%	4.4%
	Median household income	\$41,947	\$48,731	\$59,600	\$74,954
	less than 30%		11.7%	17.2%	19.4%
	30 to 50%		13.1%	14.9%	15.1%
HUD	51 to 80%		21.3%	26.6%	22.3%
Income	81% to 120%		13.1%	15.4%	14.4%
Categories			17.7%	8.3%	9.1%
	141% to 180%		12.1%	8.1%	10.2%
	more than 180%		11.0%	9.5%	9.5%
	Own	89%	92%	94.0%	94.0%
	Rent	9%	6%	3.9%	3.7%
Tenancy	Sharing with others no rent				1.7%
	Occupy without rent payments	3%	2%	2.1%	0.6%
	Single-family house	92%	94%	94.3%	93.6%
	Townhouse/duplex/multiplex				1.7%
	Condominium	4%	3%	2.3%	.3%
Unit Type	Apartment	1%	1%	0.6%	.9%
	Public assisted housing Other	3%	2%	2.5%	.1% 1.8%

Table B-4: Lessee Demographic Characteristics, 2003, 2008, 2014, 2020

Table B-5.	Lessee	Household	Annual	Income,	2020
------------	--------	-----------	--------	---------	------

		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kaua'i	Out of State	Total
		Col %	Col %				
	Less than \$15,000	6.1%	9.0%	11.8%	9.4%	0.0%	8.0%
	\$15,000 to \$19,999	2.5%	4.0%	5.7%	5.9%	15.0%	4.0%
	\$20,000 to \$24,999	4.2%	4.9%	6.5%	4.7%	0.0%	4.8%
	\$25,000 to \$29,999	1.9%	3.7%	2.6%	5.3%	5.0%	2.7%
	\$30,000 to \$34,999	3.8%	4.3%	4.1%	5.3%	5.0%	4.1%
	\$35,000 to \$39,999	5.3%	5.1%	4.1%	5.3%	10.0%	5.1%
	\$40,000 to \$44,999	2.1%	3.4%	3.6%	1.2%	0.0%	2.6%
	\$45,000 to \$49,999	2.7%	4.4%	3.4%	4.1%	0.0%	3.2%
	\$50,000 to \$54,999	3.4%	2.7%	4.6%	3.5%	0.0%	3.5%
Household	\$55,000 to \$59,999	2.4%	1.9%	2.9%	2.4%	5.0%	2.5%
Income	\$60,000 to \$64,999	3.8%	4.3%	3.4%	1.2%	5.0%	3.6%
	\$65,000 to \$69,999	2.3%	2.8%	3.3%	5.3%	0.0%	2.8%
	\$70,000 to \$74,999	3.1%	4.3%	3.4%	5.9%	5.0%	3.6%
	\$75,000 to \$79,999	2.1%	4.1%	2.9%	2.9%	5.0%	2.8%
	\$80,000 to \$89,999	5.8%	5.0%	5.7%	6.5%	0.0%	5.6%
	\$90,000 to \$99,999	5.2%	5.7%	3.6%	5.9%	5.0%	4.9%
	\$100,000 to \$124,999	23.7%	16.5%	18.0%	13.5%	25.0%	20.4%
	\$125,000 to \$149,999	7.3%	5.5%	5.0%	3.5%	5.0%	6.1%
	\$150,000 to \$199,999	6.5%	5.9%	3.3%	4.1%	0.0%	5.4%
	\$200,000 or more	5.9%	2.7%	2.2%	4.1%	10.0%	4.4%
Median Hou	usehold Income	\$89,718	\$69,955	\$64,739	\$69,673	\$98,300	\$74,954

Table B-6. Lessee Household Financial Characteristics, 2020

			Coun	ty of Resid	lence		
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kaua'i	Out of State	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Own Any	Yes	17.3%	23.8%	23.6%	12.9%	50.0%	20.3%
Other Real	No	77.8%	69.7%	69.0%	81.2%	30.0%	73.6%
Estate?	Don't know/Refused	4.9%	6.6%	7.4%	5.9%	20.0%	6.1%
	None	14.3%	15.1%	15.2%	18.2%	10.0%	14.8%
	Less than \$5,000	24.6%	28.5%	27.9%	27.1%	25.0%	26.2%
Amount in	\$5,000 to \$24,999	17.6%	18.0%	15.6%	17.1%	20.0%	17.2%
Savings	\$25,000 to \$49,999	5.2%	5.8%	5.0%	3.5%	0.0%	5.1%
	\$50,000 or more	9.0%	7.8%	8.9%	7.6%	10.0%	8.7%
	Don't know/Refused	29.3%	24.8%	27.4%	26.5%	35.0%	27.9%
	Less than \$200	11.7%	15.6%	17.0%	17.1%	15.0%	14.0%
Affordable	\$200 to \$499	19.2%	24.9%	21.9%	27.6%	5.0%	21.2%
Monthly	\$500 to \$799	12.1%	13.9%	11.1%	12.4%	10.0%	12.2%
Mortgage/	\$800 to \$1,099	12.9%	11.8%	11.6%	12.9%	10.0%	12.3%
Loan	\$1,100 to \$1,999	15.0%	11.0%	9.4%	6.5%	20.0%	12.5%
Payment	\$2,000 or more	5.7%	3.0%	3.3%	5.3%	5.0%	4.6%
	Don't know/Refused	23.5%	19.8%	25.7%	18.2%	35.0%	23.2%
	Yes	84.8%	80.8%	81.8%	67.1%	85.0%	82.1%
Current on	No	3.3%	8.3%	6.5%	7.1%	0.0%	5.2%
Property	I don't pay property taxes	5.5%	5.1%	6.0%	19.4%	0.0%	6.4%
Taxes?	Don't know/Refused	6.4%	5.9%	5.7%	6.5%	15.0%	6.3%

Table B-7.	Employment	Industry among	Adult Lessees, 2020
------------	-------------------	----------------	---------------------

Industry	Adults Working Full-time	Adults Working Part-time
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	2.8%	
Finance & insurance, real estate, rental & leasing	5.2%	2.5%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting	5.3%	7.7%
Hotel, accommodations, and food services	10.5%	13.8%
Retail trade	10.5%	13.6%
Professional, scientific, mgmt., and admin.	11.9%	3.0%
Transportation, warehousing, and utilities	16.4%	7.8%
Health care and social assistance	18.0%	10.5%
Educational services	20.6%	13.2%
Public administration/Government	21.0%	4.3%
Other services	21.7%	20.1%
Construction	22.5%	7.2%

Note. Total sums to >100% due to multiple response.

Table B-8. Lessee Household Internet Access, 2020

			Coun	ty of Reside	ence		
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kauaʻi	Out of State	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Me alone	10.6%	12.4%	19.5%	19.4%	15.0%	13.7%
Regularly Use a	Me and others	61.6%	62.1%	54.3%	52.4%	75.0%	59.7%
Device to	Others, not me	11.4%	11.3%	8.6%	11.8%	5.0%	10.7%
Email/Access Internet?	No one	8.3%	7.2%	11.1%	8.8%	0.0%	8.6%
internet	Don't Know/Refused	8.1%	6.9%	6.5%	7.6%	5.0%	7.4%
	Desktop computer	61.2%	61.6%	57.6%	49.3%	63.2%	59.7%
	Smartphone	87.8%	85.3%	86.7%	85.9%	94.7%	87.1%
Device Used for	Tablet	55.1%	53.8%	56.1%	52.8%	57.9%	55.0%
Internet Access	Other specify	12.0%	11.4%	10.6%	13.4%	15.8%	11.8%
	Don't Know/Refused	2.2%	2.1%	2.5%	2.8%	0.0%	2.2%

			Count	y of Resid	dence		
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kaua'i	Out of State	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Residential	97.0%	85.4%	77.4%	92.9%	45.0%	89.1%
Award Type	Agricultural	2.7%	19.2%	16.8%	7.6%	45.0%	10.1%
	Pastoral	.9%	5.8%	11.3%	1.8%	15.0%	4.5%
Before you received this award, were you an Undivided Interest Lessee?	Yes	14.3%	11.6%	12.5%	14.1%	0.0%	13.1%
	No	52.7%	60.7%	57.0%	52.9%	40.0%	55.0%
	Don't know/Refused	32.9%	27.7%	30.5%	32.9%	60.0%	31.9%
Have a house	Yes	94.6%	91.1%	87.5%	97.6%	35.0%	91.4%
on your	No	3.9%	7.6%	10.8%	2.4%	65.0%	7.2%
Homestead lot?	Don't know/Refused	1.4%	1.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
	Yes	70.2%	63.1%	58.9%	62.0%	57.1%	65.7%
	No, I paid it off already	26.6%	34.1%	38.0%	32.5%	28.6%	30.9%
Have a mortgage on	No, my children have the mortgage	.3%	.5%	0.0%	.6%	0.0%	.3%
the house now?	No, someone else has the mortgage	.6%	.2%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	.4%
	Don't know/Refused	2.3%	2.0%	3.1%	3.6%	14.3%	2.6%
S	Yes	95.0%	92.8%	91.6%	92.2%	0.0%	92.9%
Currently living	No, my kids live there	2.0%	2.4%	3.7%	4.2%	28.6%	2.8%
in the house on	No, someone else lives there	.3%	.9%	1.0%	0.0%	28.6%	.7%
your	No, I rent it to others	.2%	.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.1%
Homestead lot?	No one lives there	.1%	.4%	.4%	.6%	14.3%	.4%
	Other	.7%	1.4%	.2%	1.8%	14.3%	.9%
	Pass it on to my children or relatives	89.1%	90.3%	89.6%	89.4%	75.0%	89.2%
	Return it back to DHHL	.1%	0.0%	.3%	0.0%	0.0%	.1%
Future Plans for	Sell it to someone else	3.5%	1.7%	1.5%	3.5%	10.0%	2.8%
Homestead Lot	Transfer it to someone else	1.4%	1.0%	2.2%	1.2%	0.0%	1.5%
	Just hold on to it	2.4%	2.7%	2.2%	2.4%	0.0%	2.4%
	Other	1.0%	1.5%	1.5%	1.2%	15.0%	1.5%
	Don't know/Refused	4.5%	3.8%	4.1%	2.9%	5.0%	4.2%

Table B-9. Lessee Type and Current Housing Situation, 2020

Note. Total may sum to >100% due to multiple response.

			Count	y of Resid	lence		
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kaua'i	Out of State	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Own	94.0%	91.1%	89.7%	92.4%	70.0%	91.9%
	Rent	3.3%	3.1%	3.6%	1.8%	25.0%	3.6%
Tenancy	Sharing with others, no rent	.9%	2.7%	2.4%	1.8%	0.0%	1.6%
	Live alone without rent payment	.3%	.7%	1.2%	1.2%	0.0%	.6%
1	Not Reported	1.4%	2.4%	3.1%	2.9%	5.0%	2.2%
	Single-family house	94.2%	93.7%	94.0%	97.1%	60.0%	93.6%
Unit Type	Townhouse, duplex, multiplex	2.6%	1.7%	.2%	0.0%	5.0%	1.7%
	Apartment	.9%	.2%	.7%	0.0%	15.0%	.9%
	Condominium	.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	.3%
	Public assisted housing	.1%	0.0%	.2%	.6%	0.0%	.1%
	Other	.9%	2.1%	2.7%	1.8%	10.0%	1.8%
	One bedroom	1.2%	2.9%	4.6%	2.4%	5.0%	2.4%
N	Two bedrooms	6.4%	14.6%	13.7%	12.9%	35.0%	10.6%
Number of Bedrooms	Three bedrooms	37.4%	48.7%	53.8%	42.4%	45.0%	43.7%
Bedrooms	Four or more bedrooms	53.6%	32.3%	26.2%	39.4%	10.0%	41.6%
-	Not Reported	1.4%	1.6%	1.7%	2.9%	5.0%	1.7%
	One bathroom	8.9%	16.9%	13.4%	22.9%	30.0%	12.8%
	Two bathrooms	48.6%	51.4%	56.2%	57.1%	55.0%	51.6%
Number of	Three bathrooms	31.6%	23.1%	22.6%	10.6%	5.0%	26.0%
Bathrooms	Four or more bathrooms	6.8%	4.4%	2.6%	3.5%	0.0%	5.1%
	Not Reported	4.0%	4.1%	5.3%	5.9%	10.0%	4.6%

			Count	County of Residence	dence		
						Out of	
		Honolulu Maui	Maui	Hawai'i	Kaua'i	State	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Since you first	Yes	16.5%	19.7%	17.4%	24.1%	%0.0	17.7%
received/built the house, have vou added anv	No	81.3%	78.3%	79.3%	71.7%	85.7%	79.6%
rooms?	Don't know/Refused	2.2%	2.0%	3.3%	4.2%	14.3%	2.6%
Have you made any other	Yes	60.1%	64.4%	58.7%	59.0%	42.9%	60.4%
improvements on the	No	37.6%	32.6%	37.2%	37.3%	42.9%	36.6%
house or land?	Don't know/Refused	2.3%	3.0%	4.1%	3.6%	14.3%	3.0%
	Yes	66.8%	67.7%	70.3%	68.7%	71.4%	67.9%
Is the house the right size	No, it should be larger	29.7%	28.7%	24.7%	25.9%	14.3%	28.0%
for you and your needs?	No, it should be smaller	1.2%	%6.	1.0%	1.2%	%0.0	1.1%
	Don't know/Refused	2.3%	2.7%	4.1%	4.2%	14.3%	3.0%

Table B-11. Previous Additions or Renovations to the Housing Unit, 2020

© DHHL Lessee Survey Report, 2020 © SMS

Page 56 December 2020

			Count	y of Resid	lence		
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kaua'i	Out of State	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Excellent	25.6%	24.7%	24.7%	21.7%	14.3%	24.9%
On all the of the	OK	25.4%	28.5%	23.1%	22.3%	0.0%	25.0%
Condition of the Housing Unit	Needs minor repairs	28.8%	30.1%	34.4%	34.3%	42.9%	30.8%
Housing Onit	Needs major repairs	16.9%	13.8%	13.5%	18.7%	28.6%	15.8%
1	Don't know/Refused	3.3%	2.9%	4.3%	3.0%	14.3%	3.5%
	Structural	41.0%	28.1%	19.6%	23.9%	40.0%	32.5%
	Roof	32.0%	41.7%	31.8%	31.8%	40.0%	33.8%
	Walls	39.3%	31.3%	33.9%	37.5%	0.0%	36.1%
Types of	Windows need replacing	47.9%	50.8%	40.4%	60.2%	40.0%	47.7%
Repairs	Electrical problems	39.3%	31.7%	36.7%	39.8%	40.0%	37.4%
Needed*	Plumbing problems	42.5%	42.2%	38.8%	36.4%	0.0%	40.6%
	Sewage problems	13.0%	13.7%	13.9%	12.5%	0.0%	13.1%
	Exterior work	64.2%	72.6%	74.3%	59.1%	80.0%	67.7%
	Needs minor repair	59.2%	58.5%	60.0%	64.8%	80.0%	60.0%
	Yes, with cash	8.9%	9.0%	9.8%	10.2%	20.0%	9.4%
Able to Pay for	Yes, with a bank loan	19.2%	11.9%	18.8%	23.9%	20.0%	18.2%
Necessary	Yes, by other means	9.7%	15.6%	10.2%	10.2%	40.0%	11.2%
Repairs?*	No, can't afford to pay for	58.7%	60.7%	59.6%	51.1%	20.0%	58.2%
	Don't know/Refused	3.5%	2.8%	1.6%	4.5%	0.0%	3.0%
	Yes, Homeowners insurance	85.2%	79.5%	79.5%	81.9%	0.0%	82.6%
	Yes, Flood insurance	5.5%	7.4%	8.8%	7.2%	0.0%	6.7%
Have Home- Related	No, can't afford to pay for insurance	5.5%	7.4%	8.8%	7.2%	0.0%	6.7%
Insurance?	No, the property can't be insured	1.7%	3.5%	4.1%	1.2%	0.0%	2.5%
	Don't know/Refused	8.0%	10.1%	8.6%	9.6%	0.0%	8.7%

Table B-12. Housing Unit Condition and Repairs among Lessee F	Population,	2020
---	-------------	------

* Based on Lessees who reported the need for one or more repairs

			Count	y of Resid	dence		
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kaua'i	Out of State	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Zero	43.6%	45.5%	53.1%	50.0%	45.0%	46.5%
Additional	One bedroom	17.6%	18.5%	18.3%	18.8%	25.0%	18.2%
	Two bedrooms	17.9%	17.0%	10.8%	10.6%	10.0%	15.5%
Bedrooms	Three bedrooms	5.3%	5.4%	4.3%	6.5%	10.0%	5.3%
Desired	Four bedrooms	4.6%	6.1%	5.0%	6.5%	5.0%	5.1%
	Five or more bedrooms	6.9%	3.5%	4.3%	4.1%	0.0%	5.4%
	Not reported	4.1%	3.9%	4.3%	3.5%	5.0%	4.1%
	Zero	46.8%	48.2%	51.5%	50.6%	45.0%	48.3%
	One bathooms	27.7%	27.3%	25.9%	28.2%	25.0%	27.2%
Additional	Two bathrooms	10.7%	13.3%	9.6%	9.4%	15.0%	10.9%
Bathrooms	Three bathrooms	7.6%	5.3%	6.5%	6.5%	10.0%	6.9%
Desired	Four bathrooms	2.2%	1.9%	1.5%	1.2%	0.0%	1.9%
	Five or more bathrooms	.9%	0.0%	.5%	.6%	0.0%	.6%
	Not reported	4.1%	3.9%	4.5%	3.5%	5.0%	4.1%
	Zero	61.9%	62.7%	67.6%	61.8%	60.0%	63.3%
	One other room	25.7%	25.4%	22.4%	27.6%	30.0%	25.1%
Additional	Two other rooms	6.8%	6.3%	5.0%	5.3%	5.0%	6.2%
Other Rooms	Three other rooms	.9%	1.2%	.2%	1.2%	0.0%	.8%
Desired	Four otherrooms	.2%	.5%	.2%	0.0%	0.0%	.2%
	Five or more other rooms	.3%	.2%	.3%	.6%	0.0%	.3%
	Not reported	4.2%	3.7%	4.3%	3.5%	5.0%	4.1%

Table B-13.	Desired Additions to	Current Housing U	nit among Lessee Po	pulation, 2020

			Count	y of Resid	dence		
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kaua'i	Out of State	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	In the same shape as your house	59.8%	58.2%	56.0%	51.2%	14.3%	57.7%
Would you say	In better shape than your house	14.9%	13.5%	15.5%	17.5%	28.6%	15.0%
your neighbors' homes are…	In worse shape than your house	13.9%	12.9%	15.1%	12.0%	14.3%	13.8%
	In much worse than your house	6.5%	4.4%	5.1%	7.2%	28.6%	6.0%
	Don't know/Refused	9.4%	15.7%	12.7%	14.5%	28.6%	11.8%
Would you say	Great place to live	62.0%	72.8%	71.0%	53.0%	42.9%	65.2%
that your Homestead	Just like any other neighborhood	31.5%	21.1%	22.3%	37.3%	28.6%	28.0%
neighborhood	Not a good place to live	4.1%	2.8%	3.1%	6.0%	14.3%	3.9%
is a	Don't know/Refused	2.5%	3.3%	3.5%	3.6%	14.3%	3.0%
Everything considered, if	Stay in this neighborhood	73.3%	81.2%	75.7%	66.3%	57.1%	74.7%
you had a chance, would	Move away from this neighborhood	11.1%	5.2%	7.2%	12.0%	0.0%	9.1%
you	Don't know/Refused	15.7%	13.6%	17.0%	21.7%	42.9%	16.2%

Table B-14.	Community	Perception among	Lessee Population, 2020	
TODIC D ATT	communey	i crecption annong	Ecobec i operation, solo	

		10000	Count	y of Resid	dence		
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kauaʻi	Out of State	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Kamehameha Schools	17.3%	17.5%	13.0%	17.6%	0.0%	16.1%
	Office of Hawaiian Affairs	5.5%	6.5%	4.6%	8.2%	5.0%	5.7%
Applied for or Received services from a	Alu Like	4.8%	6.5%	5.3%	4.1%	5.0%	5.2%
	Queen Lili'uokalani Trust	3.5%	8.9%	4.3%	5.3%	0.0%	4.8%
	Hawaiian language program	2.7%	4.4%	4.5%	2.4%	0.0%	3.3%
	Hawaiian focused Charter School	2.1%	1.5%	3.4%	4.7%	0.0%	2.4%
	Native Hawaiian Healthcare Centers	1.2%	3.9%	1.7%	1.8%	0.0%	1.9%
Native Hawaiian organization in	A Hawaiian Civic Club	1.0%	1.7%	.9%	.6%	0.0%	1.1%
last 5 years?	Native Hawaiian Chamber of Commerce	.5%	.6%	.2%	.6%	0.0%	.4%
	Lunalilo Trust	.2%	.5%	.2%	0.0%	0.0%	.2%
	Did not apply or receive any service	58.7%	52.0%	64.4%	58.2%	65.0%	58.8%
	Other	4.3%	5.6%	2.7%	2.4%	0.0%	4.0%
	Don't know/Refused	14.4%	15.8%	13.2%	14.1%	30.0%	14.7%
	Cultural Activities	41.1%	44.6%	44.0%	48.2%	60.0%	43.3%
For lands that	Family Gathering Spaces	40.5%	48.4%	42.8%	46.5%	35.0%	42.8%
are not suitable	Community Garden	39.4%	44.2%	42.0%	49.4%	60.0%	41.9%
for housing, which of the	Malama 'Aina (natural resource managed area)	41.0%	42.9%	43.2%	40.0%	40.0%	41.8%
following uses	Commercial Uses	15.3%	18.5%	14.2%	17.1%	30.0%	16.19
should DHHL	Light Industrial	10.1%	13.3%	11.0%	8.2%	20.0%	11.0%
consider for	Other	8.6%	8.9%	9.2%	8.2%	15.0%	8.9%
that land?	None of these	5.5%	5.9%	4.3%	6.5%	0.0%	5.2%
	Don't know/Refused	25.2%	19.7%	20.7%	19.4%	30.0%	22.8%
	Excellent, they really try to help.	7.2%	7.9%	9.1%	13.5%	15.0%	8.4%
Which	Good, they do their jobs pretty well.	19.2%	23.2%	19.9%	26.5%	15.0%	20.5%
statement best describes your	Fair, they don't go out of their way to help.	20.0%	25.4%	23.6%	17.6%	10.0%	21.5%
DHHL in the	Poor, they don't care about my problems.	11.1%	11.9%	16.8%	8.2%	10.0%	12.3%
past year?	Haven't spoken with DHHL in past year	37.0%	26.3%	26.0%	25.9%	50.0%	32.0%
	Don't know/Refused	5.3%	5.2%	4.6%	8.2%	0.0%	5.2%

Table B-15. Native Hawaiian Service Organizations, Land Use, and DHHL Communication, 2020

APPENDIX C: DATA TABULATIONS BY HUD INCOME LEVEL

Table C-1. Lessee Household Characteristics, 2020

		HUD Income Level								
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Household Size	1 to 2 people	33.1%	38.9%	21.9%	23.7%	22.5%	24.5%	28.0%	34.6%	29.1%
	3 to 4 people	22.1%	23.4%	32.0%	25.9%	30.5%	41.6%	43.5%	41.7%	30.6%
	5 to 6 people	17.7%	19.8%	15.2%	27.7%	31.0%	20.9%	18.3%	18.7%	21.6%
	7 or more	16.6%	12.5%	28.3%	21.1%	14.9%	11.8%	8.6%	4.5%	14.9%
	Not reported	10.5%	5.5%	2.5%	1.6%	1.1%	1.3%	1.5%	.4%	3.8%
Household Members Under Age 18	None	34.3%	34.7%	36.4%	32.0%	36.2%	41.2%	43.8%	50.3%	37.6%
	One member	11.3%	10.0%	15.3%	13.7%	18.7%	19.6%	17.1%	17.2%	14.7%
	Two members	10.4%	14.5%	15.8%	19.2%	20.3%	17.4%	18.3%	14.1%	15.9%
	Three members	6.7%	8.2%	10.1%	10.3%	8.9%	6.5%	7.4%	5.8%	8.0%
	Four or more members	8.7%	7.5%	11.9%	11.7%	5.7%	4.8%	4.3%	3.3%	7.4%
	Not reported	28.6%	25.1%	10.4%	13.1%	10.3%	10.5%	9.1%	9.4%	16.3%
Household Members Over Age 70	None	36.5%	41.2%	47.1%	54.8%	52.7%	53.3%	58.0%	61.7%	49.2%
	One member	22.8%	21.1%	19.4%	20.3%	20.8%	17.1%	15.9%	16.7%	19.8%
	Two members	12.8%	13.1%	15.6%	10.5%	11.1%	9.6%	7.8%	8.7%	11.3%
	Three members	1.0%	1.3%	2.1%	.5%	1.1%	2.2%	1.2%	.8%	1.2%
	Four or more members	.9%	2.1%	1.0%	1.9%	1.7%	1.7%	2.3%	.4%	1.5%
	Not reported	26.0%	21.1%	14.8%	12.0%	12.6%	16.1%	14.9%	11.6%	17.0%
Adults in Household Employed Full-time	None	18.7%	13.7%	7.4%	7.1%	6.7%	3.5%	4.3%	2.9%	9.3%
	1-2 adults	25.1%	44.4%	54.5%	57.9%	61.9%	68.3%	70.0%	63.9%	52.5%
	3-5 adults	4.1%	6.9%	21.0%	18.7%	20.8%	20.1%	16.8%	26.9%	15.3%
	6 or more adults	.2%	0.0%	1.6%	1.9%	1.4%	2.1%	2.7%	.8%	1.2%
	Not reported	51.9%	35.1%	15.5%	14.4%	9.2%	6.0%	6.2%	5.5%	21.7%
Adults in Household Employed Part-time	None	20.6%	19.4%	29.2%	25.4%	24.2%	27.9%	31.6%	31.1%	25.1%
	1-2 adults	20.4%	30.8%	23.4%	27.7%	29.7%	26.9%	23.5%	14.8%	25.0%
	3-5 adults	.8%	1.1%	1.6%	.8%	2.0%	1.7%	.4%	0.0%	1.0%
	6 or more adults	.2%	0.0%	0.0%	.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.1%
	Not reported	58.0%	48.7%	45.9%	45.8%	44.0%	43.5%	44.5%	54.1%	48.8%
Table C-2.	Lessee	Housing	Characteristics,	2020						
------------	--------	---------	------------------	------						
------------	--------	---------	------------------	------						

					н	UD Income	Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Own	85.4%	89.7%	93.2%	93.9%	93.4%	93.4%	95.8%	97.2%	91.9%
	Rent	4.9%	6.6%	5.3%	2.6%	3.1%	2.4%	1.9%	.4%	3.6%
Tenancy	Sharing with others, no rent	3.4%	1.4%	1.0%	.8%	1.2%	2.1%	1.1%	.8%	1.6%
	Live alone without rent payment.	1.0%	.5%	0.0%	.5%	1.1%	.4%	.4%	.4%	.6%
	Don't know/Refused	5.3%	1.8%	.5%	2.2%	1.1%	1.7%	.8%	1.2%	2.2%
	Single-family house	89.1%	92.9%	93.3%	96.3%	93.6%	93.3%	96.6%	97.1%	93.6%
	Townhouse, duplex, multiplex	1.2%	2.2%	5.3%	.5%	1.7%	3.5%	.8%	.4%	1.7%
Unit Type	Apartment	.8%	1.4%	1.0%	.7%	1.4%	1.0%	.4%	.4%	.9%
Onit Type	Condominium	.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.3%	.9%	0.0%	0.0%	.3%
	Public assisted housing	.2%	.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.1%
	Other	3.2%	1.8%	.5%	1.2%	1.8%	1.7%	1.3%	1.2%	1.8%
1.1.1	One bedroom	4.4%	3.7%	1.5%	1.6%	2.5%	.9%	1.2%	1.2%	2.4%
	Two bedrooms	11.6%	13.6%	10.3%	9.3%	10.7%	8.1%	7.6%	11.2%	10.6%
Number of	Three bedrooms	46.3%	47.0%	39.7%	45.7%	37.0%	42.5%	48.8%	39.3%	43.7%
Bedrooms	Four or more bedrooms	33.2%	34.1%	47.5%	42.3%	48.1%	47.7%	42.4%	48.3%	41.6%
	Not Reported	4.5%	1.6%	1.0%	1.1%	1.6%	.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
	One bathroom	19.1%	16.9%	11.9%	11.1%	13.0%	6.6%	8.9%	6.2%	12.8%
	Two bathrooms	56.2%	54.4%	53.4%	53.6%	47.5%	45.4%	51.4%	45.2%	51.6%
Number of	Three bathrooms	11.9%	21.2%	24.4%	25.7%	28.7%	38.4%	33.1%	41.1%	26.0%
Bathrooms	Four or more bathrooms	3.1%	2.6%	7.8%	5.7%	7.8%	6.5%	3.5%	5.7%	5.1%
	Not Reported	9.8%	4.8%	2.6%	3.8%	3.0%	3.0%	3.2%	1.7%	4.6%

Table C-3. Lessee Housing Characteristics, 2020 (continued)

					Н	UD Income	Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Excellent	12.5%	18.9%	24.4%	20.6%	27.2%	33.6%	37.4%	39.8%	24.9%
Condition	ОК	17.9%	24.4%	20.2%	29.8%	26.0%	31.1%	28.5%	25.3%	25.0%
of the Housing	Needs minor repairs	39.6%	34.8%	35.7%	32.5%	26.9%	24.1%	20.2%	24.3%	30.8%
Unit	Needs major repairs	26.9%	18.4%	17.9%	11.5%	16.7%	9.3%	10.1%	6.4%	15.8%
	Don't know/Refused	3.1%	3.5%	1.7%	5.6%	3.2%	1.9%	3.9%	4.2%	3.5%
	Structural	34.3%	35.8%	33.5%	29.1%	34.5%	26.3%	33.3%	23.3%	32.5%
	Roof	39.5%	39.8%	24.7%	27.8%	31.8%	25.3%	40.5%	25.5%	33.8%
	Walls	41.9%	36.8%	32.5%	34.1%	38.2%	24.9%	39.4%	23.2%	36.1%
Types of	Windows need replacing	53.0%	53.4%	43.5%	44.9%	45.8%	39.2%	48.2%	34.2%	47.7%
Repairs	Electrical problems	40.7%	42.5%	31.4%	34.9%	37.3%	27.9%	35.8%	35.7%	37.4%
Needed*	Plumbing problems	46.1%	41.6%	34.7%	36.8%	41.0%	37.9%	33.1%	41.0%	40.6%
	Sewage problems	15.6%	12.2%	10.8%	11.5%	17.0%	5.5%	13.3%	11.6%	13.1%
	Exterior work	67.6%	69.1%	64.3%	71.2%	70.8%	55.5%	69.2%	66.2%	67.7%
1511	Needs minor repair throughout	52.4%	66.0%	59.9%	57.8%	63.8%	61.1%	57.8%	72.0%	60.0%
	Yes, with cash	8.1%	9.3%	11.2%	8.1%	4.7%	9.5%	20.0%	14.9%	9.4%
Able to Pay	Yes, with a bank loan	10.7%	15.9%	13.0%	16.0%	26.5%	24.1%	27.9%	33.4%	18.2%
for	Yes, by other means	8.1%	7.7%	10.1%	12.2%	17.4%	11.1%	11.7%	19.6%	11.2%
Necessary Repairs?*	No, can't afford to pay for repairs	70.2%	64.9%	63.6%	61.7%	44.8%	52.6%	37.4%	30.6%	58.2%
	Don't know/Refused	2.9%	2.2%	2.2%	2.0%	6.7%	2.7%	2.9%	1.5%	3.0%
1	Yes, Homeowners insurance	65.0%	82.7%	89.0%	86.9%	83.7%	92.1%	87.2%	89.0%	82.6%
Have Home	Yes, Flood insurance	17.6%	6.6%	4.1%	4.1%	5.0%	2.4%	3.6%	1.9%	6.7%
Related Insurance?	No, can't afford to	17.6%	6.6%	4.1%	4.1%	5.0%	2.4%	3.6%	1.9%	6.7%
	No, the property can't be insured	6.0%	2.4%	2.3%	1.5%	1.2%	.9%	2.1%	1.8%	2.5%
	Don't know/Refused	12.5%	9.1%	5.3%	8.1%	10.1%	4.6%	7,1%	7.3%	8.7%

Table C-4. Lessee Housing Characteristics, 2020 (continued)

		-			н	UD Income	Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Residential	86.3%	90.5%	92.3%	89.7%	90.9%	92.4%	85.5%	87.2%	89.1%
Award Type	Agricultural	13.4%	8.9%	5.5%	8.4%	10.5%	6.5%	13.7%	10.8%	10.1%
	Pastoral	5.0%	3.2%	3.9%	5.2%	3.6%	3.1%	6.6%	5.8%	4.5%
Before you received this lease were	Yes	13.9%	15.5%	12.4%	13.2%	16.0%	8.9%	9.0%	12.3%	13.1%
you an Undivided	No	46.2%	49.9%	55.5%	58.3%	54.3%	60.4%	63.2%	62.3%	55.0%
Interest Lessee?	Don't know/Refused	39.9%	34.6%	32.1%	28.4%	29.7%	30.7%	27.7%	25.4%	31.9%
Do you	Yes	87.0%	92.2%	89.7%	93.2%	94.5%	94.3%	89.3%	92.6%	91.4%
currently have a house on	No	10.1%	6.8%	8.8%	6.0%	4.9%	4.5%	8.7%	7.4%	7.2%
your	Don't know/Refused	2.9%	1.1%	1.6%	.8%	.5%	1.3%	2.0%	0.0%	1.3%
	Yes	49.0%	64.0%	67.1%	71.8%	68.5%	72.1%	73.2%	72.1%	65.7%
Do you have a	No, I paid it off already	47.2%	32.2%	30.6%	24.3%	28.0%	25.6%	23.4%	26.0%	30.9%
mortgage on the house	No, my children have the mortgage	.5%	.6%	.6%	0.0%	.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.3%
now?	No, someone else has the mortgage	1.2%	.3%	.6%	0.0%	.6%	.4%	0.0%	0.0%	.4%
i man ind	Don't know/Refused	2.2%	3.0%	1.1%	3.8%	2.6%	1.9%	3.4%	2.0%	2.6%
Since you first received/built	Yes	16.2%	16.2%	21.6%	14.6%	19.9%	20.3%	20.8%	16.2%	17.7%
the house, have you	No	81.5%	81.4%	76.7%	81.6%	77.8%	78.3%	75.4%	81.0%	79.6%
added any rooms?	Don't know/Refused	2.4%	2.4%	1.7%	3.8%	2.3%	1.4%	3.8%	2.9%	2.6%
Have you made any	Yes	50.4%	55.8%	64.8%	61.7%	65.4%	62.0%	65.3%	67.0%	60.4%
other improvements	No	46.1%	41.2%	32.8%	34.5%	31.9%	36.6%	31.2%	30.1%	36.6%
on the house or land?	Don't know/Refused	3.6%	3.0%	2.4%	3.8%	2.7%	1.4%	3.4%	2.8%	3.0%

Table C-5. Lessee Housing Characteristics, 2020 (continued)

					н	UD Income	Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Yes	92.6%	93.4%	92.8%	94.0%	93.3%	96.8%	89.4%	90.7%	92.9%
Currently	No, my kids live there	3.1%	2.4%	3.0%	1.2%	2.6%	2.7%	3.1%	5.5%	2.8%
living in the house on your	No, someone else lives there	.2%	1.2%	1.4%	.6%	.6%	0.0%	1.7%	.5%	.7%
Homestead	No, I rent it to others	.2%	.3%	0.0%	0.0%	.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.1%
lot?	No one lives there	.2%	.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	.9%	.4%
	Other	.9%	.9%	3.0%	1.0%	.9%	.5%	.4%	0.0%	.9%
	Pass it on to my children or relatives	87.1%	88.8%	91.1%	88.5%	92.0%	92.9%	89.7%	85.4%	89.2%
	Return it back to DHHL	0.0%	.3%	0.0%	.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.4%	.1%
Future Plans for	Sell it to someone else	2.1%	4.0%	4.2%	1.9%	2.2%	2.6%	2.6%	4.3%	2.8%
Homestead Lot	Transfer it to someone else	1.0%	2.6%	.5%	1.7%	.9%	1.3%	2.1%	1.2%	1.5%
	Just hold on to it	3.2%	2.9%	2.1%	3.0%	.8%	.9%	3.2%	2.1%	2.4%
	Don't know/Refused	6.0%	3.4%	1.6%	3.9%	3.8%	3.1%	3.7%	6.2%	4.2%
	Other	1.7%	2.3%	1.6%	2.0%	1.6%	0.0%	.8%	.8%	1.5%

Table C-6. Crowding and Unit Preferences, 2020

					н	UD Income	Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Yes	67.8%	69.4%	70.4%	69.2%	64.3%	65.5%	68.8%	68.5%	67.9%
Is the house the	No, it should be larger	28.3%	26.5%	27.2%	26.1%	31.6%	29.9%	27.8%	26.4%	28.0%
right size for you and your needs?	No, it should be smaller	.2%	1.1%	1.2%	.9%	1.2%	2.3%	0.0%	2.8%	1.1%
	Don't know/Refused	3.6%	3.0%	1.1%	3.8%	2.9%	2.3%	3.4%	2.4%	3.0%
	Zero	46.4%	43.6%	42.1%	42.5%	48.6%	50.7%	45.9%	54.8%	46.5%
	One bedroom	13.8%	16.8%	20.3%	19.4%	19.5%	19.1%	23.6%	16.9%	18.2%
Additional	Two bedrooms	15.9%	16.7%	16.0%	19.3%	12.4%	14.5%	14.2%	13.3%	15.5%
Bedrooms	Three bedrooms	4.7%	6.9%	6.5%	5.2%	7.1%	3.9%	2.7%	4.2%	5.3%
Desired	Four bedrooms	4.9%	4.7%	4.1%	6.3%	5.8%	5.7%	4.7%	4.1%	5.1%
	Five or more bedrooms	6.6%	6.1%	7.8%	4.4%	3.1%	4.8%	5.8%	4.6%	5.4%
	Not reported	7.6%	5.2%	3.1%	3.0%	3.6%	1.3%	3.2%	2.1%	4.1%
	Zero	50.9%	44.9%	41.5%	44.9%	47.6%	54.3%	50.6%	52.3%	48.3%
	One bathooms	20.2%	28.3%	33.3%	28.1%	29.0%	27.1%	29.0%	28.9%	27.2%
Additional	Two bathrooms	13.5%	12.0%	9.9%	13.4%	10.5%	7.3%	8.3%	8.1%	10.9%
Bathrooms	Three bathrooms	5.9%	5.7%	8.5%	8.1%	8.0%	7.9%	5.5%	6.6%	6.9%
Desired	Four bathrooms	1.4%	3.2%	2.1%	1.9%	.5%	1.7%	2.7%	1.7%	1.9%
	Five or more bathrooms	.4%	.5%	1.6%	.6%	.5%	.4%	.8%	.4%	.6%
A	Not reported	7.6%	5.2%	3.1%	3.0%	3.8%	1.3%	3.2%	2.1%	4.1%
	Zero	67.3%	61.9%	62.2%	62.5%	60.7%	61.1%	61.4%	67.4%	63.3%
	One other room	18.5%	26.8%	26.6%	26.8%	26.9%	27.1%	27.9%	24.8%	25.1%
	Two other rooms	4.4%	5.3%	6.4%	6.6%	8.1%	8.8%	5.9%	5.3%	6.2%
Additional Other	Three other rooms	1.5%	.3%	1.1%	.6%	0.0%	1.7%	.8%	.4%	.8%
Rooms Desired	Four otherrooms	.2%	.5%	0.0%	.3%	0.0%	0.0%	.4%	0.0%	.2%
	Five or more other rooms	.4%	0.0%	.5%	.3%	.8%	0.0%	.4%	0.0%	.3%
	Not reported	7.6%	5.2%	3.1%	3.0%	3.6%	1.3%	3.2%	2.1%	4.1%

Table C-7. Lessee Financial Characteristics, 2020

	-				H	UD Incom	e Level			_
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Less than \$15,000	41.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.0%
	\$15,000 to \$19,999	18.2%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%
	\$20,000 to \$24,999	16.6%	10.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
	\$25,000 to \$29,999	7.3%	8.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
	\$30,000 to \$34,999	8.8%	14.1%	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%
	\$35,000 to \$39,999	5.3%	22.6%	1.5%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%
	\$40,000 to \$44,999	1.4%	7.0%	5.2%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.4%	2.6%
	\$45,000 to \$49,999	1.0%	9.2%	10.4%	5.1%	.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
	\$50,000 to \$54,999	0.0%	9.4%	10.6%	6.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%
Household	\$55,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	6.1%	7.0%	3.5%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%
Income	\$60,000 to \$64,999	0.0%	6.7%	11.4%	6.3%	5.2%	.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%
	\$65,000 to \$69,999	0.0%	1.3%	7.3%	6.4%	6.0%	1.7%	.4%	0.0%	2.8%
	\$70,000 to \$74,999	0.0%	1.1%	8.0%	9.8%	7.5%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%
	\$75,000 to \$79,999	0.0%	1.3%	4.7%	9.0%	4.6%	1.3%	1.1%	0.0%	2.8%
	\$80,000 to \$89,999	0.0%	0.0%	14.1%	11.2%	11.9%	3.8%	7.6%	0.0%	5.6%
	\$90,000 to \$99,999	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	8.5%	10.7%	10.1%	7.4%	.8%	4.9%
	\$100,000 to \$124,999	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	23.3%	38.7%	55.2%	38.9%	15.2%	20.4%
	\$125,000 to \$149,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	13.6%	22.8%	15.7%	6.1%
	\$150,000 to \$199,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	8.4%	17.0%	28.1%	5.4%
	\$200,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	4.7%	39.9%	4.4%
1.11	Less than 30%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.4%
	30-50%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.1%
	50-60%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%
HUD	60-80%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.6%
Income Category	80-120%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.4%
category	120-140%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
	140-180%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	10.2%
	More than 180%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	9.5%

Table C-8. Lessee Financial Characteristics, 2020 (continued)

					H	UD Incom	e Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Own Any	Yes	9.0%	11.9%	20.6%	21.3%	21.3%	24.5%	29.5%	39.1%	20.3%
Other Real	No	77.6%	82.6%	75.2%	74.8%	75.2%	68.6%	68.6%	55.8%	73.6%
Estate?	Don't know/Refused	13.4%	5.6%	4.1%	3.9%	3.4%	6.9%	1.9%	5.1%	6.1%
	None	28.7%	21.8%	14.2%	11.3%	11.6%	8.3%	4.4%	3.7%	14.8%
	Less than \$5,000	20.5%	37.1%	38.4%	34.7%	26.5%	17.3%	19.0%	13.9%	26.2%
Amount in	\$5,000 to \$24,999	9.8%	13.7%	15.2%	19.2%	21.9%	19.4%	26.3%	17.8%	17.2%
Savings	\$25,000 to \$49,999	2.3%	3.8%	5.1%	5.2%	4.2%	7.0%	9.4%	7.1%	5.1%
	\$50,000 or more	2.3%	2.7%	6.8%	7.6%	7.5%	11.8%	13.0%	28.5%	8.7%
	Don't know/Refused	36.4%	20.9%	20.2%	22.0%	28.1%	36.2%	27.8%	29.0%	27.9%
	Less than \$200	28.5%	17.2%	11.4%	12.1%	8.3%	8.2%	7.3%	6.0%	14.0%
Affordable	\$200 to \$499	22.1%	28.5%	19.4%	22.0%	24.1%	18.9%	17.1%	10.3%	21.2%
Monthly	\$500 to \$799	8.1%	14.8%	15.1%	16.8%	13.2%	11.6%	10.4%	7.8%	12.2%
Mortgage/	\$800 to \$1,099	4.8%	14.1%	18.7%	15.2%	11.8%	14.4%	14.0%	12.5%	12.3%
Loan	\$1,100 to \$1,999	1.8%	6.6%	16.0%	11.9%	17.1%	17.6%	21.6%	19.7%	12.5%
Payment	\$2,000 or more	1.3%	.8%	2.6%	2.2%	4.5%	4.0%	7.9%	20.2%	4:6%
	Don't know/Refused	33.4%	18.1%	16.8%	19.8%	20.9%	25.2%	21.7%	23.5%	23.2%
	Yes	71.0%	84.1%	82.4%	84.5%	82.6%	86.5%	85.8%	89.2%	82.1%
Current on	No	9.3%	5.6%	6.7%	2.9%	6.2%	1.7%	3.2%	2.1%	5.2%
Property Taxes?	I don't pay property taxes	6.4%	6.1%	7.2%	5.9%	7.0%	7.4%	5.1%	6.2%	6.4%
	Don't Know/Refused	13.3%	4.2%	3.6%	6.7%	4.2%	4.3%	6.0%	2.5%	6.3%

Table C-9. Lessee Employment Characteristics, 2020

					н	UD Income	Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting	4.2%	5.2%	5.0%	5.2%	6.3%	4.0%	7.1%	4.0%	5.3%
	Construction	19.9%	18.0%	26.4%	22.9%	22.1%	26.8%	19.7%	24.7%	22.5%
	Retail trade	11.3%	13.6%	12.4%	11.3%	11.5%	8.2%	9.7%	6.8%	10.5%
	Transportation, warehousing, and utilities	16.2%	10.8%	14.2%	15.3%	18.6%	19.8%	17.4%	17.2%	16.4%
Employment	Finance & ins., real estate, rental & leasing	1.4%	5.3%	4.1%	4.0%	6.3%	4.8%	4.8%	9.1%	5.2%
Industry - Adults	Prof., scientific, mgmt., and admin.	2.1%	9.8%	12.4%	11.4%	11.9%	11.3%	13.7%	19.0%	11.9%
Employed	Educational services	9.9%	16.1%	17.2%	23.3%	25.8%	15.9%	24.9%	23.1%	20.6%
Full-time	Health care and social assistance Hotel,	17.9%	13.0%	14.1%	21.4%	16.3%	17.3%	17.5%	24.1%	18.0%
	accommodations, and food services	10.6%	11.9%	11.4%	11.5%	9.2%	13.6%	10.3%	6.3%	10.5%
	Arts, entertainment, and recreation	2.1%	2.1%	4.3%	2.1%	2.0%	4.0%	3.0%	3.6%	2.8%
	Public admin./Govt	4.3%	16.9%	21.6%	20.8%	26.4%	18.9%	25.6%	24.9%	21.0%
	Other services	32.1%	27.7%	24.4%	19.3%	20.8%	23.0%	17.2%	15.3%	21.7%
	Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting	11.3%	7.6%	6.3%	9.8%	7.3%	6.0%	3.2%	5.6%	7.7%
	Construction	10.5%	4.1%	2.1%	9.5%	10.6%	3.0%	4.8%	8.3%	7.2%
	Retail trade	11.4%	18.6%	22.9%	12.1%	10.6%	15.3%	8.0%	11.2%	13.6%
	Transportation, warehousing, and utilities	8.7%	7.6%	8.4%	6.5%	11.1%	7.8%	5.1%	2.8%	7.8%
Employment	Finance & ins., real estate, rental & leasing	2.8%	1.8%	4.2%	1.9%	2.6%	0.0%	5.3%	2.7%	2.5%
Industry - Adults	Prof., scientific, mgmt., and admin.	2.9%	1.7%	2.1%	1.8%	2.6%	6.1%	6.5%	2.7%	3.0%
Employed	Educational services	12.9%	11.7%	16.6%	12.2%	10.6%	18.0%	14.9%	14.0%	13.2%
Part-time	Health care and social assistance	12.7%	7.8%	6.1%	11.4%	9.6%	14.0%	6.4%	19.1%	10.5%
	Hotel, accommodations, and food services	14.1%	16.9%	16.7%	14.0%	17.8%	9.3%	8.1%	2.8%	13.8%
	Arts, entertainment, and recreation	4.7%	5.1%	10.4%	8.4%	6.2%	10.6%	8.0%	0.0%	6.7%
	Public admin./Govt	3.1%	3.3%	4.1%	3.8%	5.2%	6.0%	3.2%	8.6%	4.3%
	Other services	22.0%	25.4%	21.0%	19.7%	18.7%	12.1%	19.9%	16.6%	20.1%

Table C-10. Lessee Technology Characteristics, 2020

					H	UD Income	Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Me alone	16.4%	20.7%	11.6%	17.4%	9.4%	9.5%	9.2%	8.1%	13.7%
Regularly Use	Me and others	31.7%	46.3%	67.1%	66.2%	68.3%	72.6%	74.1%	80.9%	59.7%
a Device to Email/Access	Others, not me	14.9%	12.3%	12.0%	8.6%	12.4%	10.1%	6.0%	4.7%	10.7%
Internet?	No one	21.5%	13.2%	4.7%	3.8%	5.1%	2.2%	4.4%	1.6%	8.6%
	Don't Know/Refused	15.5%	7.6%	4.7%	4.1%	4.8%	5.6%	6.3%	4.6%	7.4%
	Desktop computer	43.6%	49.5%	68.3%	58.1%	61.1%	65.9%	68.3%	74.5%	59.7%
Device Used	Smartphone	79.0%	83.7%	89.4%	87.9%	88.2%	84.7%	93.9%	93.4%	87.1%
for Internet	Tablet	37.3%	44.5%	56.8%	54.2%	61.3%	60.8%	67.1%	65.8%	55.0%
Access	Other specify	9.8%	12.8%	10.4%	11.9%	14.2%	11.9%	11.8%	10.2%	11.8%
	Don't Know/Refused	3.0%	3.3%	0.0%	1.8%	1.9%	3.0%	1.3%	3.1%	2.2%

Table C-11	. Native Hawaiian Service	Organizations, Land Use	e, and DHHL Communication, 2020
------------	---------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------

			_	_	H	JD Income	e Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Office of Hawaiian Affairs	5.3%	4.3%	9.5%	5.9%	6.3%	5.0%	6.2%	4.1%	5.7%
	Kamehameha Schools	8.6%	14.4%	18.6%	16.7%	18.6%	19.2%	22.2%	17.3%	16.1%
	Queen Lili'uokalani Trust	5.9%	4.6%	6.2%	4.4%	4.1%	4.4%	5.8%	2.4%	4.8%
Applied for or	Native Hawaiian Chamber of Commerce	.4%	.3%	2.1%	.3%	.3%	0.0%	.4%	.4%	.4%
Received services from	Native Hawaiian Healthcare Centers	2.1%	2.1%	1.0%	3.1%	1.1%	1.3%	1.6%	1.6%	1.9%
a Native	Alu Like	7.5%	5.4%	10.9%	6.2%	3.4%	4.0%	1.9%	1.6%	5.2%
Hawaiian	Lunalilo Trust	.4%	.3%	.5%	0.0%	.3%	0.0%	.4%	0.0%	.2%
organization in last 5 years?	A Hawaiian Civic Club	.2%	.8%	2.1%	1.6%	1.4%	1.7%	1.2%	.4%	1.1%
	Hawaiian focused Charter School	.6%	1.9%	3.7%	3.1%	3.4%	2.2%	3.5%	2.5%	2.4%
	Hawaiian language program	2.3%			3.8%	3.4%		3.5%		
	Other	3.8%	3.5%	4.1%	4.9%	5.5%	3.9%	3.2%	2.1%	4.0%
	Did not apply or receive any service	54.0%	64.6%			59.4%	59.5%	60.5%		58.8%
	Don't know/Refused	23.6%	13.8%	14.0%	12.3%	12.0%	12.2%	9.0%	14.6%	14.7%
(11.1)	Malama 'Aina (natural resource managed area)	27.7%	35.8%	45.7%	47.5%	49.1%	45.5%	45.1%	49.4%	41.8%
For lands that are not	Cultural Activities	27.8%	38.2%	49.5%	51.7%	50.3%	48.1%	46.6%	46.3%	43.3%
suitable for	Community Garden	27.7%	38.2%		49.8%	48.5%	45.4%	44.4%		41.9%
housing,	Commercial Uses	10.9%	16.7%		17.8%	14.6%	14.5%	17.9%	and the second second second second	16.1%
which of the following uses	Family Gathering Spaces	31.3%	35.9%		50.3%	49.7%		46.5%		42.8%
should DHHL	Light Industrial	6.5%	10.6%	10.0%	9.4%	11.8%	12.3%	12.7%	19.8%	11.0%
consider for that land?	Other	6.4%	9.4%	9.3%	8.4%	10.1%	9.5%	9.6%	10.6%	8.9%
und rand .	None of these	6.6%	5.3%	8.9%	2.2%	5.6%	5.6%	5.4%	2.9%	5.2%
	Don't know/Refused	40.2%	24.7%	13.5%	17.7%	17.8%	18.4%	17.9%	17.3%	22.8%
	Excellent, they really try to help.	9.0%	5.5%	6.4%	10.3%	10.3%	8.7%	9.5%	5.7%	8.4%
Which statement	Good, they do their jobs pretty well.	18.2%	22.2%	24.6%	22.9%	19.2%	21.4%	18.3%	19.4%	20.5%
best F lescribes o	Fair, they don't go out of their way to help.	20.6%	21.1%	23.9%	23.5%	19.6%	17.0%	22.5%	25.3%	21.5%
your interaction with DHHL in	Poor, they don't care about my problems.	13.1%	11.1%	7.4%	11.4%	14.6%	10.4%	12.5%	16.3%	12.3%
the past year?	Haven't spoken with DHHL in past year	30.0%	35.4%	35.1%	28.1%	31.6%	38.1%	32.9%	28.3%	32.0%
	Don't know/Refused	9.2%	4.7%	2.6%	3.8%	4.7%	4.3%	4.4%	4.9%	5.2%

APPENDIX D: MAPS OF HAWAIIAN HOMESTEAD LANDS







Page 74 December 2020







Figure D-2. Hawaiian Homestead Lands – Big Island

© SMS



© SMS





© SMS

Page 77 December 2020 APPENDIX E: COMMUNITY ISSUES BY HOMESTEAD COMMUNITY

Note: The following tables include unweighted data for current Hawaiian Homestead communities. Only those communities for which 45 or more responses were received are included in the tables.

Table E-1. Community Issues by Homestead Community, 2020

					H	Homestead Community	Communi	ty			
		Anahola	Hoolehua	Kalamaula	Kanehili	Kanehili Kaniohale	Kaupea	Kawaihae	Keaukaha	Kewalo	Maluohai
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	In the same shape as your house	48.7%	49.4%	33.3%	78.0%	75.9%	79.3%	60.7%	41.8%	37.3%	75.5%
Would you say	In better shape than your house	18.3%	17.3%	20.0%	4.2%	9.6%	2.2%	8.9%	24.6%	24.1%	3.8%
your neighbors'	In worse shape than your house	14.8%	16.0%	16.7%	7.6%	13.3%	12.0%	10.7%	17.2%	20.5%	5.7%
houses are	In much worse than your house	8.7%	8.6%	3.3%	2.5%	2.4%	1.1%	%0.0	6.6%	8.4%	%0.0
	Don't know/Refused	13.0%	18.5%	33.3%	7.6%	6.0%	8.7%	19.6%	15.6%	10.8%	15.1%
Would you say	Great place to live	47.0%	77.8%	66.7%	67.8%	72.3%	56.5%	%2.09	74.6%	%6.69	69.8%
that your	Just like any other neighborhood	40.9%	16.0%	20.0%	28.8%	24.1%	37.0%	33.9%	19.7%	25.3%	28.3%
neighborhood is	Not a good place to live	8.7%	1.2%	3.3%	.8%	2.4%	2.2%	1.8%	.8%	4.8%	1.9%
a	Don't know/Refused	3.5%	4.9%	10.0%	2.5%	1.2%	4.3%	3.6%	4.9%	%0.0	0.0%
Everything considered. if	Stay in this neighborhood	%6.09	91.4%	73.3%	69.5%	66.3%	63.0%	62.5%	81.1%	81.9%	81.1%
you had a	Move away from this neighborhood	14.8%	1.2%	13.3%	10.2%	13.3%	16.3%	5.4%	4.9%	6.0%	7.5%
chance, would you	Not sure	24.3%	7.4%	13.3%	20.3%	20.5%	20.7%	32.1%	13.9%	12.0%	11.3%
fo one me l	Strongly Agree	2.6%	7.7%	4.4%	2.4%	7.1%	5.3%	6.7%	7.1%	2.9%	5.5%
the programs to	Agree	22.2%	17.3%	24.4%	14.5%	16.5%	13.8%	18.3%	19.0%	25.9%	23.6%
assist me in	Disagree	14.5%	26.0%	22.2%	26.6%	28.2%	30.9%	21.7%	23.8%	28.2%	21.8%
financing home	Strongly Disagree	23.1%	15.4%	13.3%	19.4%	14.1%	16.0%	20.0%	20.6%	10.6%	20.0%
repairs.	Don't know	37.6%	33.7%	35.6%	37.1%	34.1%	34.0%	33.3%	29.4%	29.4%	29.1%
Homestead	Strongly Agree	18.8%	32.7%	22.2%	29.0%	29.4%	34.0%	25.0%	32.5%	32.9%	25.5%
communities	Agree	59.8%	42.3%	51.1%	58.1%	48.2%	48.9%	60.0%	54.0%	57.6%	56.4%
work better with	Disagree	2.6%	%0.0	4.4%	5.6%	8.2%	1.1%	1.7%	4.0%	2.4%	1.8%
strong resident	Strongly Disagree	3.4%	2.9%	8.9%	%0.0	1.2%	1.1%	1.7%	1.6%	%0.0	0.0%
participation	Don't know	15.4%	22.1%	13.3%	7.3%	12.9%	14.9%	11.7%	7.9%	7.1%	16.4%
l regularly	Strongly Agree	1.7%	7.7%	2.2%	5.6%	8.2%	4.3%	5.0%	2.6%	8.2%	5.5%
participate in my Agree	Agree	35.0%	26.9%	31.1%	36.3%	25.9%	25.5%	33.3%	39.7%	40.0%	25.5%
Homestead	Disagree	29.1%	27.9%	42.2%	31.5%	41.2%	46.8%	38.3%	30.2%	27.1%	36.4%
community	Strongly Disagree	15.4%	9.6%	11.1%	11.3%	11.8%	11.7%	8.3%	6.3%	2.9%	10.9%
acumues.	Don't know	18.8%	27.9%	13.3%	15.3%	12.9%	11.7%	15.0%	18.3%	18.8%	21.8%

© DHHL Lessee Survey Report, 2020

Page 79 December 2020

Table E-2. Community Issues by Homestead Community, 2020 (continued)

					Homeste	Homestead Community	nity			
		Nānākuli	Pana'ewa	PKE	Puukapu	Waiākea	Wai'anae	Waimānalo	Waiohuli	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	In the same shape as your house	50.2%	62.2%	70.7%	51.9%	45.6%	45.7%	49.7%	72.6%	52.2%
Would voir sav	In better shape than your house	17.3%	16.2%	14.6%	11.1%	20.6%	23.3%	22.8%	10.7%	14.8%
your neighbors'	In worse shape than your house	18.1%	8.1%	7.3%	37.0%	17.6%	14.7%	16.9%	6.0%	13.4%
nouses are	In much worse than your house	8.0%	5.4%	1.2%	%0.0	13.2%	12.9%	13.8%	3.6%	6.3%
	Don't know/Refused	10.8%	13.5%	7.3%	11.1%	8.8%	11.2%	8.5%	10.7%	10.7%
Would you say	Great place to live	58.2%	73.0%	70.7%	74.1%	64.7%	48.3%	67.7%	76.2%	64.7%
that your	Just like any other neighborhood	35.3%	18.9%	24.4%	18.5%	27.9%	41.4%	24.9%	21.4%	28.8%
Homestead neighborhood is	Not a good place to live	4.4%	2.7%	1.2%	7.4%	4.4%	6.0%	4.8%	1.2%	3.5%
a	Don't know/Refused	2.0%	5.4%	3.7%	%0.0	2.9%	4.3%	2.6%	1.2%	3.0%
Everything	Stay in this neighborhood	75.1%	86.5%	63.4%	100.0%	82.4%	67.2%	82.0%	88.1%	75.2%
considered, if you had a chance,	Move away from this neighborhood	8.8%	%0.0	15.9%	0.0%	7.4%	14.7%	6.3%	3.6%	8.9%
would you	Notsure	16.1%	13.5%	20.7%	%0.0	10.3%	18.1%	11.6%	8.3%	15.9%
I am aware of the	Strongly Agree	5.1%	5.8%	8.3%	1.6%	2.9%	3.4%	4.1%	2.3%	4.8%
programs to	Agree	23.9%	32.7%	17.9%	14.3%	20.0%	20.3%	19.0%	17.2%	19.9%
assist me in financing home	Disagree	21.2%	26.9%	27.4%	17.5%	25.7%	28.0%	25.1%	28.7%	24.5%
repairs.	Strongly Disagree	18.4%	13.5%	19.0%	20.6%	25.7%	17.8%	15.4%	17.2%	17.9%
	Don't know	31.4%	21.2%	27.4%	46.0%	25.7%	30.5%	36.4%	34.5%	32.9%
Homestead	Strongly Agree	22.7%	28.8%	25.0%	31.7%	28.6%	23.7%	20.5%	21.8%	26.3%
communities	Agree	50.6%	51.9%	56.0%	46.0%	48.6%	49.2%	56.4%	57.5%	53.0%
work better with	Disagree	5.1%	3.8%	3.6%	4.8%	7.1%	5.1%	3.6%	2.3%	3.8%
participation	Strongly Disagree	3.1%	%0.0	3.6%	%0.0	0.0%	3.4%	1.0%	2.3%	1.9%
	Don't know	18.4%	15.4%	11.9%	17.5%	15.7%	18.6%	18.5%	16.1%	15.0%
Iregularly	Strongly Agree	3.1%	7.7%	4.8%	6.3%	8.6%	4.2%	3.6%	2.3%	4.9%
participate in my	Agree	20.8%	30.8%	34.5%	17.5%	14.3%	13.6%	22.1%	33.3%	27.4%
Homestead	Disagree	41.2%	30.8%	44.0%	38.1%	45.7%	50.8%	42.1%	36.8%	38.0%
activities.	Strongly Disagree	11.0%	5.8%	4.8%	9.5%	10.0%	13.6%	7.7%	9.2%	9.8%
	Don't know	23.9%	25.0%	11.9%	28.6%	21.4%	17.8%	24.6%	18.4%	19.8%

© DHHL Lessee Survey Report, 2020

Page 80 December 2020

Table E-3. Community Issues by Homestead Community, 2020 (continued)

	-	Anahola	Hoolehua	Kalamaula	Kanehili	Kaniohale Kaupea	Kaupea	Kawaihae	Keaukaha	Kewalo	Maluohai
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Strongly Agree	5.1%	5.8%	2.2%	4.8%	4.7%	7.4%	6.7%	7.1%	8.2%	5.5%
I do/will help	Agree	30.8%	37.5%	44.4%	29.8%	32.9%	24.5%	35.0%	38.9%	34.1%	21.8%
Homestead	Disagree	22.2%	22.1%	20.0%	29.8%	34.1%	37.2%	33.3%	29.4%	25.9%	34.5%
community	Strongly Disagree	9.4%	4.8%	8.9%	8.1%	11.8%	4.3%	3.3%	3.2%	4.7%	9.1%
activities.	Don't know	32.5%	29.8%	24.4%	27.4%	16.5%	26.6%	21.7%	21.4%	27.1%	29.1%
l like living in a	Strongly Agree	14.5%	10.6%	6.7%	30.6%	20.0%	31.9%	11.7%	25.4%	28.2%	30.9%
Homestead	Agree	41.9%	37.5%	37.8%	52.4%	47.1%	44.7%	40.0%	46.0%	43.5%	38.2%
established	Disagree	14.5%	17.3%	20.0%	8.1%	18.8%	6.4%	15.0%	10.3%	10.6%	14.5%
rules that	Strongly Disagree	9.4%	9.6%	8.9%	4.0%	9.4%	4.3%	8.3%	6.3%	8.2%	7.3%
(a DCCR	Don't know	19.7%	25.0%	26.7%	4.8%	4.7%	12.8%	25.0%	11.9%	9.4%	9.1%
1	Strongly Agree	4.3%	9.6%	6.7%	7.3%	10.6%	5.3%	6.7%	15.1%	14.1%	10.9%
I feel well	Agree	26.5%	29.8%	37.8%	40.3%	31.8%	36.2%	51.7%	55.6%	50.6%	40.0%
my Homestead	Disagree	30.8%	30.8%	28.9%	29.8%	35.3%	35.1%	26.7%	11.9%	21.2%	21.8%
community	Strongly Disagree	19.7%	6.7%	11.1%	12.9%	10.6%	11.7%	3.3%	6.3%	3.5%	7.3%
association(s).	Don't know	18.8%	23.1%	15.6%	9.7%	11.8%	11.7%	11.7%	11.1%	10.6%	20.0%
l feel safe in mv	Strongly Agree	16.2%	32.7%	42.2%	18.5%	35.3%	22.3%	18.3%	23.8%	23.5%	32.7%
Homestead	Agree	47.0%	50.0%	26.7%	66.9%	49.4%	58.5%	65.0%	47.6%	52.9%	49.1%
community	Disagree	16.2%	2.9%	8.9%	9.7%	5.9%	10.6%	3.3%	15.1%	14.1%	9.1%
walking around in the day and	Strongly Disagree	12.0%	1.9%	4.4%	%0.0	3.5%	%0.0	%0.0	5.6%	3.5%	3.6%
night.	Don't know	8.5%	12.5%	17.8%	4.8%	5.9%	8.5%	13.3%	7.9%	5.9%	5.5%
	Strongly Agree	22.2%	32.7%	33.3%	23.4%	35.3%	29.8%	30.0%	32.5%	31.8%	36.4%
	Agree	47.0%	43.3%	35.6%	61.3%	58.8%	54.3%	51.7%	51.6%	57.6%	43.6%
I know and trust	Disagree	13.7%	7.7%	8.9%	6.5%	1.2%	6.4%	6.7%	7.9%	5.9%	10.9%
iny neignbors.	Strongly Disagree	6.8%	1.0%	%0.0	1.6%	1.2%	2.1%	0.0%	2.4%	%0.0	3.6%
	Don't know	10.3%	15.4%	22.2%	7.3%	3.5%	7.4%	11.7%	5.6%	4.7%	5.5%

© SMS © SMS

Page 81 December 2020

Page 82 December 2020

© DHHL Lessee Survey Report, 2020 © SMS

					Homeste	Homestead Community	nity			
		Nānākuli	Pana'ewa	PKE	Puukapu	Waiākea	Wai'anae	Waimānalo	Waiohuli	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
I dowill haln	Strongly Agree	2.7%	11.5%	2.4%	4.8%	2.9%	3.4%	3.1%	5.7%	4.8%
organize	Agree	21.2%	28.8%	34.5%	25.4%	27.1%	22.9%	27.2%	34.5%	29.5%
Homestead	Disagree	36.5%	34.6%	42.9%	19.0%	35.7%	30.5%	32.3%	28.7%	31.1%
community	Strongly Disagree	8.6%	3.8%	3.6%	4.8%	4.3%	12.7%	5.6%	6.9%	6.8%
activities.	Don't know	31.0%	21.2%	16.7%	46.0%	30.0%	30.5%	31.8%	24.1%	27.8%
	Strongly Agree	11.8%	19.2%	27.4%	15.9%	24.3%	18.6%	18.5%	12.6%	19.5%
Homestead	Agree	33.3%	42.3%	47.6%	39.7%	50.0%	38.1%	39.0%	46.0%	41.8%
community with established rules	Disagree	19.6%	9.6%	13.1%	4.8%	5.7%	17.8%	14.4%	8.0%	13.4%
follows (a DCCR	Strongly Disagree	14.1%	15.4%	7.1%	7.9%	4.3%	9.3%	8.2%	11.5%	8.9%
communy).	Don't know	21.2%	13.5%	4.8%	31.7%	15.7%	16.1%	20.0%	21.8%	16.4%
	Strongly Agree	3.5%	17.3%	13.1%	6.3%	10.0%	5.1%	2.6%	5.7%	7.6%
l feel well connected with	Agree	24.3%	32.7%	47.6%	31.7%	30.0%	28.0%	25.6%	32.2%	34.5%
my Homestead	Disagree	36.5%	25.0%	25.0%	25.4%	32.9%	38.1%	35.4%	36.8%	30.5%
community association(s).	Strongly Disagree	11.4%	5.8%	8.3%	7.9%	8.6%	12.7%	14.4%	8.0%	10.3%
	Don't know	24.3%	19.2%	6.0%	28.6%	18.6%	16.1%	22.1%	17.2%	17.2%
	Strongly Agree	21.6%	9.6%	27.4%	17.5%	21.4%	11.0%	19.5%	17.2%	22.0%
l feel safe in my Homestead	Agree	48.2%	51.9%	58.3%	39.7%	45.7%	49.2%	51.8%	55.2%	51.3%
community	Disagree	16.1%	17.3%	11.9%	11.1%	21.4%	20.3%	14.9%	16.1%	13.2%
walking around in the day and night.	Strongly Disagree	6.3%	5.8%	%0.0	4.8%	2.9%	5.1%	7.2%	3.4%	4.4%
	Don't know	7.8%	15.4%	2.4%	27.0%	8.6%	14.4%	6.7%	8.0%	9.1%
	Strongly Agree	27.8%	19.2%	35.7%	12.7%	27.1%	19.5%	29.7%	21.8%	27.8%
in the second second	Agree	54.9%	50.0%	53.6%	44.4%	51.4%	53.4%	51.8%	57.5%	52.3%
I know and trust my neighbors	Disagree	8.2%	11.5%	6.0%	15.9%	8.6%	12.7%	9.7%	10.3%	8.7%
	Strongly Disagree	2.0%	3.8%	%0.0	0.0%	2.9%	5.1%	2.1%	2.3%	2.2%
	Don't know	7.1%	15.4%	4.8%	27.0%	10.0%	9.3%	6.7%	8.0%	9.0%

Table E-4. Community Issues by Homestead Community, 2020 (continued)

Table E-5. Community Issues by Homestead Community, 2020 (continued)

						HOLIESTEAN COMMINIC	ommunut				
		Anahola	Hoolehua	Kalamaula	Kanehili	Kaniohale	Kaupea	Kawaihae	Keaukaha	Kewalo	Maluohai
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
in our	Strongly Agree	24.8%	26.9%	31.1%	25.8%	36.5%	28.7%	36.7%	31.0%	34.1%	34.5%
Homestead	Agree	45.3%	50.0%	48.9%	57.3%	50.6%	57.4%	48.3%	58.7%	54.1%	54.5%
community we	Disagree	16.2%	5.8%	2.2%	6.5%	7.1%	5.3%	5.0%	1.6%	7.1%	5.5%
look out for each	look out for each Strongly Disagree	4.3%	1.0%	%0.0	1.6%	%0.0	2.1%	0.0%	1.6%	%0.0	1.8%
other.	Don't know	9.4%	16.3%	17.8%	8.9%	5.9%	6.4%	10.0%	7.1%	4.7%	3.6%
	Strongly Agree	44.4%	51.9%	55.6%	37.9%	40.0%	38.3%	43.3%	49.2%	60.0%	47.3%
My hope is that my family lives in	Agree	43.6%	38.5%	31.1%	46.8%	43.5%	38.3%	38.3%	42.1%	36.5%	34.5%
this Homestead	Disagree	4.3%	1.9%	4.4%	4.0%	8.2%	6.4%	5.0%	%0.0	1.2%	%0.0
community for generations.	Strongly Disagree	%6.	%0.0	0.0%	.8%	2.4%	2.1%	1.7%	.8%	%0.0	5.5%
	Don't know	6.8%	7.7%	8.9%	10.5%	5.9%	14.9%	11.7%	7.9%	2.4%	12.7%
	Strongly Agree	12.8%	28.8%	22.2%	10.5%	17.6%	10.6%	26.7%	31.0%	36.5%	18.2%
The residents in my Homestead	Agree	47.0%	46.2%	35.6%	55.6%	52.9%	44.7%	48.3%	53.2%	40.0%	41.8%
community	Disagree	18.8%	6.7%	8.9%	13.7%	15.3%	13.8%	6.7%	5.6%	9.4%	5.5%
share Hawallan cultural values.	Strongly Disagree	5.1%	1.9%	2.2%	3.2%	1.2%	2.1%	1.7%	1.6%	1.2%	1.8%
	Don't know	16.2%	16.3%	31.1%	16.9%	12.9%	28.7%	16.7%	8.7%	12.9%	32.7%

© DHHL Lessee Survey Report, 2020

Page 83 December 2020

Table E-6. Community Issues by Homestead Community, 2020 (continued)

					Homeste	Homestead Community	nity			
		Nănăkuli	Pana'ewa	PKE	Puukapu	Waiākea	Wai'anae	Waimānalo	Waiohuli	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Strongly Agree	25.9%	19.2%	31.0%	17.5%	24.3%	26.3%	31.3%	19.5%	28.0%
In our Homestead Agree	Agree	55.7%	57.7%	57.1%	44.4%	52.9%	54.2%	52.3%	60.9%	53.8%
community we	Disagree	8.2%	7.7%	3.6%	6.3%	10.0%	8.5%	7.2%	8.0%	7.1%
other.	Strongly Disagree	2.0%	3.8%	%0.0	1.6%	1.4%	2.5%	1.5%	%0.0	1.5%
	Don't know	8.2%	11.5%	8.3%	30.2%	11.4%	8.5%	7.7%	11.5%	9.6%
	Strongly Agree	50.2%	48.1%	46.4%	47.6%	40.0%	40.7%	61.0%	50.6%	48.0%
My hope is that my family lives in	Agree	40.4%	36.5%	39.3%	34.9%	42.9%	45.8%	31.8%	34.5%	39.3%
this Homestead	Disagree	2.4%	1.9%	3.6%	3.2%	2.9%	6.8%	2.1%	4.6%	3.4%
community for generations.	Strongly Disagree	1.2%	%0.0	2.4%	1.6%	2.9%	%0.0	%0.0	1.1%	1.1%
	Don't know	5.9%	13.5%	8.3%	12.7%	11.4%	6.8%	5.1%	9.2%	8.2%
	Strongly Agree	20.4%	15.4%	19.0%	19.0%	21.4%	16.1%	22.6%	17.2%	20.3%
The residents in my Homestead	Agree	42.4%	57.7%	51.2%	41.3%	41.4%	44.1%	42.6%	46.0%	46.1%
community share	Disagree	12.2%	5.8%	15.5%	9.5%	10.0%	22.0%	16.4%	11.5%	12.4%
Hawalian cultural values.	Strongly Disagree	3.1%	%0.0	3.6%	1.6%	5.7%	%8.	1.0%	1.1%	2.3%
	Don't know	22.0%	21.2%	10.7%	28.6%	21.4%	16.9%	17.4%	24.1%	18.9%

© SMS © SMS

Page 84 December 2020 APPENDIX F: UNDIVIDED INTEREST BENEFICIARIES

		(County of	Residence	1	
		Honolulu County	Maui County	Hawai'i County	Kaua'i County	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Male	44.3%	41.5%	43.3%	27.7%	41.6%
Gender	Female	53.9%	56.9%	51.1%	72.3%	55.8%
	Prefer not to answer	1.8%	1.6%	5.7%	0.0%	2.5%
1	18 to 24	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	25 to 34	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Age	35 to 44	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	45 to 54	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	55 to 64	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	65 to 74	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	75 or order	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	Single, never married	8.9%	11.2%	5.7%	0.0%	7.8%
	Married	68.8%	69.3%	64.5%	72.3%	68.2%
Marital	Living with Partner	0.0%	4.8%	8.5%	0.0%	3.5%
Status	Separated/Divorced	7.1%	6.4%	13.5%	27.7%	10.5%
	Widowed	10.3%	6.7%	4.9%	0.0%	6.9%
	Prefer not to answer	5.0%	1.6%	2.8%	0.0%	3.0%

Table F-1. Demographic Characteristics of Undivided Interest Lessees, 2020

Table F-2.	2. Employment Characteristics of Undivided Interest Lesse	es, 2020
------------	---	----------

		(County of	Residence		
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kaua'i	
		County	County	County	County	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	None	17.0%	17.6%	15.6%	11.2%	16.2%
Adults Working	1-2 adults	61.7%	55.9%	71.0%	77.7%	64.0%
Full-time	3-4 adults	19.5%	23.3%	13.5%	11.2%	18.2%
	5 or more adults	1.8%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
	None	17.0%	17.6%	15.6%	11.2%	16.2%
Adults Working	1-2 adults	61.7%	55.9%	71.0%	77.7%	64.0%
Part-time	3-4 adults	19.5%	23.3%	13.5%	11.2%	18.2%
	5 or more adults	1.8%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
	Ag., forestry, fishing, hunting, and mining	2.1%	9.7%	13.5%	0.0%	6.8%
	Construction	21.4%	31.4%	30.3%	31.1%	27.4%
	Retail trade	19.2%	16.3%	19.3%	0.0%	16.4%
	Trans., warehousing, and utilities	16.7%	15.9%	26.1%	0.0%	17.1%
Employment	Finance & insurance, real estate, rental & leasing	8.1%	6.6%	3.4%	12.6%	7.0%
	Prof., scientific, mgmt., and admin.	12.4%	10.1%	5.9%	0.0%	8.8%
Employment ndustry for Adults Working Full-time	Educational services	17.1%	18.6%	10.1%	25.1%	16.6%
	Health care and social assistance	16.7%	10.1%	20.2%	49.7%	19.2%
	Hotel, accommodations, & food services	18.8%	19.4%	18.4%	12.6%	18.2%
	Arts, entertainment, and recreation	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	.9%
	Public admin./Govt	20.9%	14.3%	15.1%	12.6%	16.8%
	Other services	16.2%	17.5%	16.8%	12.6%	16.3%
1. T	Ag., forestry, fishing, hunting, and mining	6.0%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%
	Construction	0.0%	0.0%	14.1%	0.0%	3.5%
	Retail trade	24.1%	30.9%	33.2%	10.7%	25.7%
	Trans., warehousing, and utilities	0.0%	6.2%	7.1%	0.0%	3.2%
Employment	Finance & insurance, real estate, rental & leasing	6.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%
Industry for	Prof., scientific, mgmt., and admin.	6.0%	12.3%	9.5%	0.0%	7.4%
Adults Working	Educational services	18.1%	18.5%	0.0%	0.0%	10.8%
Part-time	Health care and social assistance	16.9%	18.5%	0.0%	44.7%	17.6%
	Hotel, accommodations, & food services	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	22.3%	6.0%
	Arts, entertainment, and recreation	10.8%	18.5%	9.5%	0.0%	10.6%
	Public admin./Govt	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other services	6.0%	0.0%	38.2%	22.3%	15.1%

		(County of	Residence		
		Honolulu County	Maui County	Hawaiʻi County	Kauaʻi County	Total
	and the second second	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Less than \$15,000	3.5%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	2.0%
	\$15,000 to \$19,999	3.5%	1.6%	2.1%	11.2%	3.4%
	\$20,000 to \$24,999	0.0%	3.2%	5.7%	0.0%	2.3%
	\$25,000 to \$29,999	1.8%	4.8%	5.7%	0.0%	3.4%
	\$30,000 to \$34,999	0.0%	1.6%	4.9%	0.0%	1.7%
	\$35,000 to \$39,999	1.8%	1.6%	2.8%	0.0%	1.8%
	\$40,000 to \$44,999	8.9%	1.6%	0.0%	5.3%	4.3%
	\$45,000 to \$49,999	3.2%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
	\$50,000 to \$54,999	7.1%	1.6%	11.4%	0.0%	5.9%
Household	\$55,000 to \$59,999	5.0%	4.8%	5.7%	11.2%	5.7%
Income	\$60,000 to \$64,999	1.8%	3.2%	2.8%	0.0%	2.3%
	\$65,000 to \$69,999	1.8%	4.8%	2.1%	0.0%	2.5%
	\$70,000 to \$74,999	3.5%	8.0%	8.5%	0.0%	5.7%
	\$75,000 to \$79,999	8.2%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	4.5%
	\$80,000 to \$89,999	5.3%	4.8%	12.0%	11.2%	7.4%
	\$90,000 to \$99,999	7.1%	4.8%	2.8%	11.2%	5.8%
	\$100,000 to \$124,999	8.9%	19.5%	7.8%	22.3%	12.9%
	\$125,000 to \$149,999	12.1%	9.9%	5.7%	0.0%	8.7%
	\$150,000 to \$199,999	8.2%	9.2%	5.7%	11.2%	8.1%
	\$200,000 or more	8.5%	13.4%	5.7%	16.5%	9.9%
	Less than 30%	8.9%	4.8%	13.5%	11.2%	9.1%
	30-50%	19.5%	11.2%	7.8%	0.0%	12.3%
	50-60%	15.6%	1.6%	2.8%	0.0%	7.0%
HUD Income	60-80%	11.7%	22.4%	8.5%	5.3%	13.2%
Level	80-120%	17.4%	9.9%	22.7%	44.7%	19.4%
	120-140%	3.5%	16.0%	7.8%	11.2%	8.8%
	140-180%	12.1%	3.2%	19.8%	0.0%	10.4%
	More than 180%	11.3%	31.0%	17.1%	27.7%	19.8%
	Section 8	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	.4%
	Rental Assistance	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
Receive any	Public Assistance (TANF)	5.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
type of	SNAP/Food Stamps	17.7%	9.6%	10.6%	11.2%	13.0%
assistance?	WIC	3.5%	1.6%	8.5%	0.0%	3.9%
	None of these	75.2%	83.4%	84.4%	88.8%	81.1%
	Notsure	3.5%	7.0%	4.9%	0.0%	4.5%

Table F-3. Financial Characteristics of Undivided Interest Lessees, 2020

		(County of	Residence		
		Honolulu County	Maui County	Hawai'i County	Kaua'i County	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Own	42.6%	59.1%	58.8%	38.8%	50.9%
	Rent	45.4%	26.2%	35.5%	44.7%	37.5%
Tenancy	Sharing with others, no rent	12.1%	3.2%	5.7%	16.5%	8.4%
	Live alone without rent payment	0.0%	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
	Don't know/Refused	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	.5%
	Single-family house	67.0%	88.2%	67.4%	88.8%	75.1%
	Townhouse, duplex, multiplex	13.8%	3.2%	5.7%	0.0%	7.5%
	Apartment	8.9%	3.5%	11.4%	0.0%	7.1%
Unit Type	Condominium	5.3%	1.6%	9.9%	0.0%	4.9%
	Public assisted housing	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
	Other	1.4%	3.2%	5.7%	11.2%	3.9%
	One year or less	14.2%	8.3%	11.4%	0.0%	10.4%
	2 to 3 years	9.9%	12.8%	15.6%	11.2%	12.3%
	4 to 6 years	17.4%	13.1%	12.7%	44.2%	17.7%
Year in Unit	7 to 10 years	8.9%	14.7%	13.5%	11.2%	11.9%
	11 to 20 years	23.8%	23.0%	19.2%	11.2%	21.1%
	More than 20 years	25.9%	26.5%	24.8%	22.3%	25.4%
	Dont know/Refused	0.0%	1.6%	2.8%	0.0%	1.2%
	One bedroom	3.5%	3.2%	5.7%	11.2%	4.7%
Number of	Two bedrooms	24.8%	16.3%	34.7%	0.0%	22.5%
Bedrooms	Three bedrooms	36.5%	40.9%	37.6%	27.7%	37.1%
bearooms	Four or more bedrooms	35.1%	38.0%	22.0%	61.2%	35.2%
	Don't know/Refused	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	.4%
	One bathroom	31.6%	11.2%	11.4%	27.7%	20.5%
Number of	Two bathrooms	48.2%	58.8%	65.9%	33.5%	54.1%
	Three bathrooms	16.7%	25.2%	11.4%	27.7%	18.8%
Bathrooms	Four or more bathrooms	1.8%	1.6%	2.8%	11.2%	2.9%
	Don't know/Refused	1.8%	3.2%	8.5%	0.0%	3.7%

Table F-4. Housing Characteristics of Undivided Interest Lessees, 2020

		(County of	Residence	R	
		Honolulu County	Maui County	Hawai'i County	Kaua'i County	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Home paid for, or no rent paid	12.1%	11.5%	7.0%	11.2%	10.6%
	Less than \$300	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	.7%
	\$300 to \$499	3.5%	1.6%	0.0%	11.2%	2.9%
	500 to \$699	5.0%	5.1%	7.8%	0.0%	5.2%
	\$700 to \$999	12.1%	4.8%	13.5%	0.0%	9.2%
Monthly	\$1,000 to \$1,199	7.1%	15.0%	13.5%	5.3%	10.7%
Housing	\$1,200 to \$1,499	11.7%	14.4%	22.0%	33.5%	17.2%
Payment	\$1,500 to \$1,699	3.5%	3.2%	5.7%	11.2%	4.7%
Fayment	\$1,700 to \$1,899	5.0%	1.6%	8.5%	11.2%	5.5%
	\$1,900 to \$2,099	10.3%	9.6%	5.7%	0.0%	7.9%
	\$2,100 to \$2,299	10.6%	4.8%	10.6%	0.0%	8.0%
	\$2,300 to \$2,499	0.0%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
	\$2,500 or more	13.8%	15.3%	0.0%	16.5%	11.0%
	Don't know/Refused	5.3%	6.7%	2.8%	0.0%	4.6%

Table F-6.	Preferred Awar	d Type among Undivid	led Interest Lessees, 2020
------------	----------------	----------------------	----------------------------

		(County of	Residence		
		Honolulu	Maui	Hawaiʻi	Kaua'i	-
		County	County	County	County	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	30.9%	60.4%	27.7%	50.0%	40.1%
	Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it)	57.4%	34.8%	58.8%	50.0%	50.8%
	Single-family house to rent with option to buy	6.7%	4.8%	2.8%	0.0%	4.6%
First Choice of	Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Property Type	Condominium apartment (Multi- family building)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.5%
	Apartment suited for senior citizens	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list	3.5%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	2.0%
	Don't know/Refused	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	0.0%	2.0%
	Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	20.6%	21.4%	19.2%	22.3%	20.6%
	Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it)	34.0%	57.2%	22.0%	61.2%	40.1%
	Single-family house to rent with option to buy	23.0%	14.7%	39.7%	16.5%	24.3%
Second	Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex	2.8%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Choice of Property Type	Condominium apartment (Multi- family building)	1.8%	1.9%	2.8%	0.0%	1.9%
	Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
	Apartment suited for senior citizens	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
	An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list	1.8%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	2.1%
	Don't know/Refused	7.1%	1.6%	10.6%	0.0%	5.8%
	Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	10.6%	11.5%	24.1%	16.5%	14.8%
	Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it)	3.5%	6.4%	11.4%	11.2%	7.1%
	Single-family house to rent with option to buy	27.7%	44.4%	19.9%	50.0%	32.6%
Third Choice	Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex	8.9%	8.6%	2.8%	0.0%	6.4%
of Property Type	Condominium apartment (Multi- family building)	3.2%	1.6%	2.1%	0.0%	2.2%
	Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	8.9%	0.0%	7.8%	0.0%	5.3%
	Apartment suited for senior citizens	8.2%	4.8%	7.0%	0.0%	6.1%
	An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list	17.4%	11.5%	11.4%	11.2%	13.6%
	Don't know/Refused	11.7%	11.2%	13.5%	11.2%	12.0%

	and the second second		County of	Residence		
		Honolulu County	Maui County	Hawai'i County	Kaua'i County	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Number of Bedrooms	One bedroom	0.0%	1.6%	2.8%	0.0%	1.2%
	Two bedrooms	9.6%	11.5%	9.9%	21.8%	11.4%
	Three bedrooms	32.6%	39.0%	54.6%	22.3%	38.9%
Needed	Four or more bedrooms	56.0%	46.3%	29.8%	55.8%	46.7%
	Dont know/Refused	1.8%	1.6%	2.8%	0.0%	1.8%
1	One bathroom	1.8%	3.2%	4.9%	0.0%	2.8%
Number of	Two bathrooms	34.4%	47.0%	56.0%	61.2%	46.0%
Bathrooms	Three bathrooms	41.5%	33.5%	22.0%	11.2%	31.4%
Needed	Four or more bathrooms	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%	11.2%	5.1%
	Dont know/Refused	11.7%	16.3%	17.1%	16.5%	14.8%

Table F-7. Preferred Unit Amenities among Undivided Interest Lessees, 2020

		(County of	Residence	L	1
		Honolulu County	Maui County	Hawai'i County	Kaua'i County	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	None	8.9%	11.2%	4.9%	0.0%	7.6%
Amount in Savings	Less than \$5,000	30.1%	11.2%	22.7%	44.7%	24.5%
	\$5,000 to \$24,999	27.3%	35.5%	40.4%	27.7%	32.9%
	\$25,000 to \$49,999	9.9%	6.4%	5.7%	0.0%	6.9%
	\$50,000 or more	13.5%	25.2%	17.7%	27.7%	19.2%
	Don't know/Refused	10.3%	10.5%	8.5%	0.0%	8.9%
	Less than \$200	3.5%	1.6%	2.8%	0.0%	2.5%
	\$200 to \$499	8.9%	4.8%	16.3%	0.0%	8.7%
Affordable	\$500 to \$799	15.6%	6.4%	17.1%	22.3%	14.1%
Monthly	\$800 to \$1,099	13.1%	16.0%	9.9%	16.5%	13.4%
Payment	\$1,100 to \$1,999	29.8%	40.9%	40.4%	33.5%	35.9%
	\$2,000 or more	20.6%	23.6%	2.8%	27.7%	17.7%
	Don't know/Refused	8.5%	6.7%	10.6%	0.0%	7.7%

Table F-8. Financial Qualifications among Undivided Interest Lessees, 2020

		(County of	Residence		
		Honolulu County	Maui County	Hawai'i County	Kaua'i County	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Regularly Use a Device to	Mealone	13.5%	11.5%	7.8%	27.7%	12.9%
	Me and others	73.0%	77.3%	80.8%	61.2%	75.0%
Email/Access	Others, not me	1.8%	1.6%	5.7%	11.2%	3.6%
Internet?	No one	6.4%	4.8%	5.7%	0.0%	5.1%
memer	Don't Know/Refused	5.3%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%
C	Desktop computer	60.6%	78.4%	47.4%	55.3%	61.5%
Device Used for	Smartphone	94.0%	87.6%	82.7%	77.7%	87.6%
Internet Access	Tablet	60.2%	61.8%	46.5%	61.2%	57.2%
	Other	12.0%	13.1%	17.3%	44.7%	17.2%

Table F-9. Technology Characteristics of Undivided Interest Lessees, 2020

		1.			Н	UD Income	e Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	1 to 2 members	0.0%	19.7%	32.9%	22.4%	22.4%	31.7%	36.3%	32.9%	25.1%
Total HH Members	3 to 4 members	63.5%	51.7%	28.4%	37.5%	39.2%	50.8%	34.7%	53.6%	45.4%
	5 to 7 members	36.5%	23.3%	19.7%	33.4%	31.6%	10.0%	29.1%	13.6%	24.7%
Members	8+ members	0.0%	5.4%	19.0%	6.7%	6.8%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
	Don't know/Refused	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	None	16.9%	27.6%	53.7%	34.1%	19.6%	61.8%	63.7%	62.0%	41.3%
	One	27.2%	23.3%	9.5%	18.8%	23.9%	5.0%	0.0%	19.8%	17.5%
	Two	27.2%	20.1%	0.0%	20.0%	36.4%	10.0%	29.9%	14.5%	21.5%
HH	Three	15.1%	10.7%	0.0%	13.4%	8.0%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%
Members Under 18	Four	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	3.6%	1.4%
onder ro	Five	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
	Six or more	0.0%	0.0%	19.7%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
	Don't know/Refused	7.8%	18.3%	17.1%	10.4%	8.7%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%
	None	36.9%	43.4%	73.5%	59.5%	63.1%	54.3%	69.8%	67.5%	59.3%
	One	27.2%	18.6%	19.0%	8.3%	17.9%	5.0%	9.4%	16.7%	15.4%
	Two	7.2%	9.0%	7.6%	6.7%	8.4%	22.6%	15.8%	12.3%	10.9%
HH	Three	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
Members Over 70	Four	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.7%
	Five	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Six or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Don't know/Refused	28.7%	19.7%	0.0%	20.4%	10.5%	18.2%	5.1%	3.6%	12.6%

					Н	IUD Income	Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	None	41.1%	29.4%	23.4%	13.7%	9.8%	5.0%	11.5%	9.4%	16.2%
Adults	1-2 adults	58.9%	67.0%	37.9%	59.1%	76.9%	69.9%	65.8%	60.7%	64.0%
Working Full- time	3-4 adults	0.0%	3.6%	38.7%	23.8%	13.2%	25.0%	22.7%	24.3%	18.2%
	5 or more adults	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	1.5%
Ares -	None	41.1%	29.4%	23.4%	13.7%	9.8%	5.0%	11.5%	9.4%	16.2%
Adults	1-2 adults	58.9%	67.0%	37.9%	59.1%	76.9%	69.9%	65.8%	60.7%	64.0%
Working Part-time	3-4 adults	0.0%	3.6%	38.7%	23.8%	13.2%	25.0%	22.7%	24.3%	18.2%
ant-time	5 or more adults	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	1.5%
	Ag., forestry, fishing, hunting, and mining	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	7.8%	16.1%	0.0%	7.8%	4.9%	6.8%
	Construction	13.3%	20.3%	24.7%	17.4%	43.7%	21.1%	15.0%	35.5%	27.4%
	Retail trade	0.0%	38.6%	12.4%	11.6%	22.6%	13.2%	13.6%	11.9%	16.4%
	Trans., warehousing, and utilities	0.0%	20.9%	24.7%	24.4%	13.4%	13.8%	25.6%	14.1%	17.1%
	Finance & insurance, real estate, rental & leasing	12.3%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	13.6%	15.7%	7.0%
	Prof., scientific, mgmt., and admin.	0.0%	0.0%	12.4%	5.8%	0.0%	7.9%	13.0%	23.4%	8.8%
	Educational services	13.3%	7.6%	0.0%	13.5%	26.9%	5.3%	34.3%	15.0%	16.6%
time	Health care and social assistance	13.3%	20.9%	24.7%	18.2%	20.9%	26.4%	15.0%	16.5%	19.2%
	Hotel, accommodations, & food services	48.8%	12.7%	24.7%	14.0%	16.4%	35.0%	20.2%	5.4%	18.2%
	Arts, entertainment, and recreation	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.9%
	Public admin./Gov't	25.6%	15.8%	24.7%	13.5%	16.4%	20.1%	5.8%	18.7%	16.8%
	Other services	25.6%	15.2%	25.8%	27.8%	13.0%	5.3%	7.8%	16.8%	16.3%
	Ag., forestry, fishing, hunting, and mining	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%
	Construction	0.0%	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%
	Retail trade	26.3%	71.2%	46.4%	39.4%	0.0%	21.0%	27.3%	7.6%	25.7%
	Trans., warehousing, and utilities	0.0%	23.1%	0.0%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%
Employment Industry for	Finance & insurance, real estate, rental & leasing	13.1%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%	25.2%	0.0%	5.1%
Adults Working	Prof., scientific, mgmt., and admin.	13.1%	0.0%	0.0%	13.7%	0.0%	17.6%	0.0%	12.2%	7.4%
Part-time	Educational services	13.1%	0.0%	17.4%	0.0%	20.8%	17.6%	25.2%	0.0%	10.8%
	Health care and social assistance	13.1%	0.0%	17.4%	0.0%	41.7%	61.5%	0.0%	9.0%	17.6%
	Hotel, accommodations, & food services	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.9%	6.0%
	Arts, entertainment, and recreation	34.4%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	12.5%	0.0%	20.2%	7.6%	10.6%
	Other services	47.5%	100.0%	81.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	72.7%	75.6%	84.9%

					Н	UD Income	Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Less than \$15,000	22.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
	\$15,000 to \$19,999	37.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
	\$20,000 to \$24,999	20.5%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
	\$25,000 to \$29,999	19.9%	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%
	\$30,000 to \$34,999	0.0%	13.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
	\$35,000 to \$39,999	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
	\$40,000 to \$44,999	0.0%	30.4%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%
	\$45,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	5.4%	6.3%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%
Household Income	\$50,000 to \$54,999	0.0%	14.3%	29.2%	10.8%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%
	\$55,000 to \$59,999	0.0%	5.4%	17.1%	10.0%	13.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%
	\$60,000 to \$64,999	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	6.7%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
	\$65,000 to \$69,999	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	10.0%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%
	\$70,000 to \$74,999	0.0%	5.4%	9.5%	16.7%	7.4%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%
	\$75,000 to \$79,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.0%	6.1%	8.1%	6.9%	0.0%	4.5%
	\$80,000 to \$89,999	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	3.3%	24.5%	0.0%	15.3%	0.0%	7.4%
	\$90,000 to \$99,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	11.4%	17.6%	6.9%	0.0%	5.8%
	\$100,000 to \$124,999	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	5.0%	19.9%	40.2%	29.1%	5.8%	12.9%
	\$125,000 to \$149,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.2%	12.5%	22.7%	16.3%	8.7%
	\$150,000 to \$199,999	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.2%	31.0%	8.1%
	\$200,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%	46.8%	9.9%
1	Section 8	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.4%
	Rental Assistance	14.5%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
Receive any	Public Assistance (TANF)	14.5%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
tytpe of	SNAP/Food Stamps	59.6%	12.5%	35.6%	11.7%	3.4%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	13.0%
assistance?	WIC	0.0%	0.0%	19.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	3.6%	3.9%
	None of these	33.2%	76.7%	55.0%	88.3%	96.6%	95.0%	81.6%	88.8%	81.1%
	Don't know/Refusesd	0.0%	5.4%	19.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	5.1%	7.6%	4.5%

Table F-12. Household Income of Undivided Interest Lessees by HUD Income Categories, 2020

					н	UD Income	Level	-	100.0	
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Home paid for, or no rent paid	12.1%	16.1%	9.5%	0.0%	11.9%	10.0%	16.6%	9.6%	10.6%
	Less than \$300	7.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.7%
	\$300 to \$499	24.2%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
	500 to \$699	13.0%	9.0%	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%	13.1%	0.0%	2.7%	5.2%
	\$700 to \$999	7.2%	0.0%	15.8%	13.7%	13.1%	0.0%	24.8%	2.7%	9.2%
Monthly Housing Payment	\$1,000 to \$1,199	12.1%	14.8%	0.0%	7.3%	12.1%	29.2%	0.0%	9.6%	10.7%
	\$1,200 to \$1,499	15.7%	19.0%	28.4%	14.0%	15.4%	20.1%	0.0%	24.4%	17.2%
	\$1,500 to \$1,699	7.8%	5.4%	0.0%	11.7%	9.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%
	\$1,700 to \$1,899	0.0%	0.0%	17.1%	3.3%	9.1%	0.0%	13.8%	3.6%	5.5%
	\$1,900 to \$2,099	0.0%	10.7%	0.0%	11.7%	7.4%	12.5%	15.8%	4.5%	7.9%
	\$2,100 to \$2,299	0.0%	10.7%	0.0%	8.7%	10.2%	0.0%	16.3%	9.2%	8.0%
	\$2,300 to \$2,499	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	4.5%	1.8%
	\$2,500 or more	0.0%	0.0%	19.0%	13.3%	5.7%	10.0%	12.8%	23.4%	11.0%
	Don't know/Refused	0.0%	9.0%	10.3%	3.3%	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.0%	4.6%
	None	10.6%	25.1%	28.4%	3.3%	3.7%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%
	Less than \$5,000	41.7%	25.0%	29.2%	37.5%	35.9%	17.6%	13.3%	3.6%	24.5%
Amount in	\$5,000 to \$24,999	32.6%	27.6%	19.0%	41.8%	40.2%	40.7%	48.3%	16.7%	32.9%
Savings	\$25,000 to \$49,999	7.2%	11.2%	9.5%	12.3%	2.7%	5.0%	6.9%	4.5%	6.9%
	\$50,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	8.4%	31.7%	27.3%	58.0%	19.2%
	Don't know/Refused	7.8%	11.2%	7.6%	5.0%	9.1%	0.0%	4.3%	17.2%	8.9%
	Less than \$200	7.2%	5.4%	0.0%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%
	\$200 to \$499	40.8%	17.9%	19.7%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	8.7%
Affordable	\$500 to \$799	19.9%	17.9%	9.5%	8.7%	23.3%	20.7%	13.3%	2.7%	14.1%
Monthly	\$800 to \$1,099	17.0%	28.0%	9.5%	32.0%	8.3%	10.0%	5.1%	2.7%	13.4%
Payment	\$1,100 to \$1,999	15.1%	16.1%	34.8%	36.7%	43.1%	39.2%	54.4%	39.6%	35.9%
	\$2,000 or more	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	18.2%	30.1%	22.1%	40.8%	17.7%
	Don't know/Refused	0.0%	14.8%	26.5%	5.4%	3.4%	0.0%	5.1%	10.7%	7.7%

Table F-13. Financial Qualifications of Undivided Interest Lessees by HUD Income Categories, 2020
					Н	UD Income	Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Own	12.7%	10.7%	62.1%	61.5%	49.4%	38.6%	58.2%	85.3%	50.9%
	Rent	67.4%	54.8%	28.4%	31.1%	44.9%	61.4%	31.2%	6.0%	37.5%
Tenancy	Sharing with others, no rent	15.1%	20.1%	9.5%	7.3%	5.7%	0.0%	6.4%	6.0%	8.4%
ronanoy	Live alone without rent payment	4.8%	14.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	2.7%
	Don't know/Refused	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	.5%
	Single-family house	50.1%	70.7%	70.8%	81.3%	69.0%	76.9%	81.6%	88.7%	75.1%
	Townhouse, duplex, multiplex	0.0%	5.4%	19.0%	13.7%	5.7%	0.0%	18.4%	3.3%	7.5%
Unit Type	Apartment	12.1%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.5%	15.6%	0.0%	2.7%	7.1%
	Condominium	10.6%	4.3%	0.0%	5.0%	10.8%	7.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.9%
	Public assisted housing	7.2%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
	Other	20.0%	3.6%	10.3%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	3.9%
	One bedroom	32.0%	3.6%	9.5%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.7%
	Two bedrooms	48.0%	24.0%	9.5%	17.1%	36.7%	39.2%	6.9%	4.9%	22.5%
Number of Bedrooms	Three bedrooms	19.9%	32.7%	27.3%	45.8%	12.8%	32.6%	64.0%	57.1%	37.1%
Deurooms	Four or more bedrooms	0.0%	39.8%	53.7%	31.7%	50.5%	28.2%	29.1%	35.7%	35.2%
	Don't know/Refused	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	.4%
	One bathroom	45.9%	23.3%	9.5%	30.1%	25.6%	28.1%	6.4%	3.3%	20.5%
	Two bathrooms	26.3%	65.6%	64.0%	38.8%	50.4%	61.8%	80.3%	53.1%	54.1%
Number of Bathrooms	Three bathrooms	7.2%	11.2%	26.5%	27.8%	11.2%	10.0%	0.0%	41.3%	18.8%
Datinooms	Four or more bathrooms	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	5.7%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	2.9%
	Don"t know/Refused	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	3.7%
-	One year or less	7.8%	11.2%	28.4%	6.7%	16.5%	12.5%	6.4%	2.7%	10.4%
	2 to 3 years	34.4%	15.1%	19.0%	17.4%	3.7%	0.0%	17.1%	5.8%	12.3%
	4 to 6 years	22.7%	21.9%	9.5%	4.0%	15.1%	27.6%	31.2%	15.8%	17.7%
Years in Unit	7 to 10 years	4.8%	23.3%	0.0%	3.3%	12.5%	31.3%	12.0%	8.5%	11.9%
	11 to 20 years	22.3%	14.3%	19.0%	36.1%	21.5%	6.0%	11.2%	27.2%	21.1%
	More than 20 years	7.8%	14.3%	24.2%	27.1%	30.6%	22.6%	22.1%	37.8%	25.4%
	Don't know/Refused	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	1.2%

Table F-14. Housing Characteristics of Undivided Interest Lessees b	by HUD Income Categories, 2020
---	--------------------------------

Table F-15. Award Type Preferences of Undivided Interest Lessees by HUD Income Categories, 2020

					Н	UD Incom	e Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	Contraction of the	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	27.8%	17.9%	43.1%	30.1%	39.1%	48.3%	51.2%	56.9%	40.1%
	Turn-Key (Lot with single- family house on it)	58.6%	64.2%	37.9%	55.5%	54.5%	46.7%	48.8%	39.5%	50.8%
	Single-family house to rent with option to buy	7.8%	12.5%	9.5%	9.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%
First Choice of Property Type	Townhouse in a duplex or four- plex	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Condominium apartment (Multi-family building)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.5%
	Apartment suited for senior citizens	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
ret: list	An affordable rental unit and retain myplace on the waiting list	0.0%	5.4%	9.5%	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
	Don't know/Refused	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	2.0%
Second	Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	24.2%	16,1%	28.4%	24.0%	17.2%	18.2%	11.5%	25.9%	20.6%
	Turn-Key (Lot with single- family house on it)	22.9%	23.7%	32.9%	34.1%	36.5%	45.2%	51.2%	60.2%	40.19
	Single-family house to rent with option to buy	19.9%	29.8%	9.5%	20.7%	42.8%	36.7%	37.3%	0.0%	24.39
	Townhouse in a duplex or four- plex	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%	1.9%
Choice of Property	Condominium apartment (Multi-family building)	0.0%	5.4%	10.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	1.9%
Туре	Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
	Apartment suited for senior citizens	7.2%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
	An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%
	Don't know/Refused	20.9%	9.0%	9.5%	5.4%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	5.8%
	Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	7.8%	24.4%	0.0%	10.7%	22.7%	17.5%	32.2%	2.2%	14.8%
	Turn-Key (Lot with single- family house on it)	4.8%	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.8%	8.1%	0.0%	9.2%	7.1%
	Single-family house to rent with option to buy	32.0%	17.9%	44.3%	37.8%	22.0%	40.8%	29.1%	42.8%	32.6%
Third Choice	Townhouse in a duplex or four- plex	0.0%	16.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	10.0%	6.4%	7.6%	6.4%
of Property Type	Condominium apartment (Multi-family building)	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	2.7%	2.2%
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	15.0%	10.8%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	5.3%
	Apartment suited for senior citizens	0.0%	0.0%	17.1%	8.7%	6.1%	13.5%	5.1%	4.5%	6.1%
	An affordable rental unit and retain myplace on the waiting list	34.4%	9.0%	19.7%	11.7%	10.5%	10.0%	6.4%	14.5%	13.6%
	Don't know/Refused	20.9%	14.3%	9.5%	12.7%	8.0%	0.0%	10.7%	16.6%	12.0%

Table F-16	. Housing Unit Preferences	of Undivided Interest L	Lessees by HUD Income Categories,	2020
------------	----------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------------	------

					н	UD Income	Level	HUD Income Level									
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120% Col %	120-140% Col %	140-180%	More than 180% Col %	Total Col %							
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %			Col %									
Number of Bedrooms	One bedroom	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	1.2%							
	Two bedrooms	4.8%	16.8%	23.4%	7.3%	7.1%	5.0%	5.1%	19.9%	11.4%							
	Three bedrooms	52.0%	33.1%	19.0%	42.2%	45.1%	37.3%	41.1%	34.8%	38.9%							
Needed	Four or more bedrooms	43.2%	44.7%	57.6%	45.1%	47.8%	52.7%	53.8%	39.5%	46.7%							
	Dont know/Refused	0.0%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	3.6%	1.8%							
	One bathroom	4.8%	5.4%	6.3%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.0%	2.8%							
Number of	Two bathrooms	38.7%	33.4%	48.2%	51.8%	51.6%	67.4%	46.2%	37.3%	46.0%							
Bathrooms	Three bathrooms	33.5%	45.2%	37.9%	22.4%	27.2%	22.6%	29.1%	34.8%	31.4%							
Needed	Four or more bathrooms	7.2%	10.7%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	6.4%	3.3%	5.1%							
	Dont know/Refused	15.7%	5.4%	7.6%	20.4%	12.1%	10.0%	13.3%	24.5%	14.8%							

Table F-17. Perspectives of Undivided Interest Lessees by HUD Income Categories, 2020

					Н	UD Income	e Level			
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Financially	Yes	66.5%	64.2%	64.0%	79.6%	76.7%	90.0%	95.7%	93.7%	80.2%
Prepared to Qualify for	No	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	1.0%
Lease?	Don't know/Refused	27.8%	35.8%	36.0%	20.4%	23.3%	10.0%	0.0%	6.3%	18.8%
Level of Understanding of UI Lease	Fully understand, and no other information is needed	17.5%	23.7%	55.7%	22.1%	23.3%	50.2%	40.2%	46.8%	33.7%
	Somewhat understand, but more information is needed	75.2%	62.0%	44.3%	67.9%	68.7%	36.7%	53.4%	42.4%	57.0%
	Do not understand at all	7.2%	14.3%	0.0%	10.0%	8.0%	13.1%	6.4%	7.1%	8.5%
	Don't know/Refused	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	.7%
	Pass it on to my children or relatives	87.0%	71.4%	82.9%	95.0%	78.1%	90.0%	82.1%	84.4%	83.4%
	Return it back to DHHL	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.5%
	Sell it to someone else	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.4%	0.0%	.7%
Future Plans for Lease	Transfer it to someone else	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Louoo	Just hold on to it	7.2%	19.7%	9.5%	0.0%	18.5%	5.0%	5.1%	7.6%	9.8%
	Will not accept lot	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Don't know/Refused	0.0%	5.4%	7.6%	5.0%	0.0%	5.0%	6.4%	8.1%	4.6%
	Other	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%
	Excellent, they really try to help.	7.2%	19.7%	19.7%	11.7%	6.0%	14.1%	19.2%	21.6%	14.7%
	Good, they do their jobs pretty well.	7.8%	16.5%	19.0%	20.7%	5.7%	10.0%	18.4%	18.8%	14.4%
Communication	Fair, they don't go out of their way to help.	7.2%	10.7%	0.0%	19.4%	14.8%	28.2%	21.4%	11.9%	14.5%
with DHHL	Poor, they don't care about my problems.	12.7%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%	17.9%	12.5%	18.4%	3.3%	9.4%
	Haven't spoken with DHHL in past year	57.8%	33.4%	53.7%	48.2%	55.6%	30.1%	22.7%	36.8%	42.6%
	Don't know/Refused	7.2%	10.7%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	7.6%	4.5%

Table F-18. Perspectives of Undivided Interest Lessees by HUD Income Categories, 2020

		-	-		Н	UD Income	e Level			-
		Less than 30%	30.50%	50.50%	60.90%	80.120%	120-140%	140 4908	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Strongly Agree	15.7%	49.2%	57.6%	43.0%			54.4%		-
Communities	Agree			1.0.1	1	52.2%	51.7%		40.8%	45.6%
work better with	Disagree	52.3%	31.1%	25.3%	51.5%	36.4%	25.7%	39.3%	48.7%	40.29
strong resident		24.2%	9.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.4%	12.6%	0.0%	3.3%	7.3%
participation	Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	.4%
		7.8%	10.7%	17.1%	5.4%	0.0%	10.0%	6.4%	4.9%	6.5%
I regularly	Strongly Agree	7.8%	5.4%	9.5%	16.7%	14.5%	18.5%	10.7%	15.4%	12.89
participate in my	Agree	47.5%	43.8%	38.7%	49.5%	43.0%	35.7%	41.1%	48.9%	44.49
community	Disagree	27.2%	47.2%	34.8%	28.4%	24.0%	35.7%	36.8%	22.1%	30.5%
activities.	Strongly Disagree	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	5.0%	6.4%	8.2%	3.8%
	Don't know	12.7%	3.6%	17.1%	5.4%	15.1%	5.0%	5.1%	5.3%	8.5%
Alternation and	Strongly Agree	22.9%	21.5%	19.7%	11.7%	19.9%	0.0%	4.3%	8.2%	13.69
The residents in my community		25.1%	29.1%	51.8%	31.8%	42.4%	44.2%	24.8%	47.7%	37.8%
share Hawaiian cultural values.	Disagree	19.9%	24.0%	9.5%	17.4%	19.8%	30.7%	34.2%	10.0%	19.8%
	Strongly Disagree	12.1%	5.4%	0.0%	25.0%	3.4%	10.0%	17.9%	10.9%	10.6%
	Don't know	20.0%	20.1%	19.0%	14.2%	14.4%	15.1%	18.9%	23.1%	18.29
I feel safe in my	Strongly Agree	19.3%	21.9%	57.6%	19.0%	34.8%	31.7%	46.9%	40.8%	33.5%
community	Agree	48.1%	52.6%	19.0%	54.9%	56.1%	53.3%	41.6%	52.0%	49.69
walking around in the day and night.	Disagree	4.8%	5.4%	6.3%	20.7%	3.4%	10.0%	6.4%	2.2%	6.9%
	Strongly Disagree	7.2%	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	0.0%	5.1%	2.2%	3.7%
	Don't know	20.5%	9.0%	17.1%	5.4%	2.3%	5.0%	0.0%	2.7%	6.3%
	Strongly Agree	19.3%	21.9%	29.2%	24.0%	28.4%	23.5%	42.3%	34.0%	28.49
I know and trust my neighbors.	Agree	55.9%	44.7%	34.8%	60.5%	54.5%	48.3%	51.3%	55.1%	52.19
	Disagree	12.1%	18.6%	9.5%	10.0%	9,1%	13.1%	6.4%	3.3%	9.6%
	Strongly Disagree	4.8%	11.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.4%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%
	Don't know	7.8%	3.6%	26.5%	5.4%	4.6%	10.0%	0.0%	7.6%	7.0%
	Strongly Agree	27.2%	21.9%	45.0%	19.0%	34.4%	23.5%	29.1%	34.4%	29.4%
In our	Agree	48.1%	50.1%	37.9%	55.5%	50.8%	48.9%	57.7%	55.1%	51.69
community we	Disagree	12.1%	7.9%	0.0%	16.7%	5.7%	48.9%	6.4%	7.8%	9.1%
look out for	Strongly Disagree	Contraction of the	-					and the second second		
each other.	Don't know	4.8%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
	Strongly Agree	7.8%	14.8%	17.1%	8.7%	2.3%	10.0%	6.9%	2.7%	7.5%
I am aware of the programs to		7.2%	23.1%	19.7%	8.3%	21.0%	5.0%	10.7%	26.3%	16.8%
assist me in	and the second se	47.1%	28.6%	37.9%	43.9%	22.5%	33.8%	29.6%	31.3%	32.9%
financing a	Disagree	25.2%	25.0%	25.3%	15.0%	37.3%	40.1%	24.8%	16.0%	25.6%
house on DHHL	Strongly Disagree	0.0%	10.7%	0.0%	13.3%	11.9%	5.0%	17.9%	8.2%	9.3%
land.	Don't know	20.5%	12.5%	17.1%	19.4%	7.3%	16.0%	17.1%	18.1%	15.4%
My hope is that	Strongly Agree	61.6%	80.3%	54.5%	87.9%	63.2%	69.3%	74.0%	59.7%	68.8%
my family lives in my future	Agree	30.6%	19.7%	37.9%	6.7%	36.8%	25.7%	26.0%	30.4%	26.8%
Homestead	Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
award for	Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
generations.	Don't know	7.8%	0.0%	7.6%	5.4%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	9.9%	4.4%
I do/will help	Strongly Agree	15.1%	19.0%	28.4%	29.4%	30.7%	36.7%	35.4%	17.8%	26.0%
organize my	Agree	61.6%	43.4%	54.5%	46.8%	54.5%	43.2%	33.4%	45.6%	47.8%
future Homestead	Disagree	10.6%	12.5%	0.0%	5.0%	3.4%	0.0%	19.2%	8.2%	7.5%
community	Strongly Disagree	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	.4%
activities.	Don't know	12.7%	25.0%	17.1%	18.8%	11.4%	20.1%	12.0%	26.1%	18.3%
would like to	Strongly Agree	30.1%	42.7%	38.7%	39.0%					-
live in a		30,1%	42.1%	50.7%	39.0%	30.3%	41.7%	72.7%	44.2%	41.7%
Homestead community with	Agree	32.0%	23.3%	25.3%	45.6%	44.3%	25.7%	14.5%	35.0%	32.9%
established rules that	Disagree	0.0%	19.7%	19.0%	3.3%	9.4%	17.6%	6.4%	0.0%	8.2%
everyone follows (a DCCR	Strongly Disagree	7.2%	10.7%	9.5%	3.3%	2.3%	10.0%	6.4%	5.6%	6.2%
community).	Don't know	30.6%	3.6%	7.6%	8.7%	13.7%	5.0%	0.0%	15.2%	11.09

		HUD Income Level								
		Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
	Office of Hawaiian Affairs	5.8%	0.0%	7.6%	3.3%	0.0%	6.0%	6.9%	2.2%	3.2%
	Kamehameha Schools	0.0%	3.6%	9.5%	21.7%	19.7%	28.6%	18.9%	19.9%	16.2%
Applied for or	Queen Lili'uokalani Trust	0.0%	9.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
Received assistance	Native Hawaiian Healthcare Centers	7.2%	5.8%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	2.3%
from Native Hawaiian organizations?	Alu Like	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	5.0%	6.4%	3.6%	3.9%
	A Hawaiian Civic Club	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%	.7%
	Hawaiian language program	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	3.7%	6.0%	6.9%	0.0%	2.4%
	Other	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
	Did not apply or receive any service	72.5%	76.3%	67.1%	53.9%	70.9%	61.4%	68.3%	67.3%	67.4%
	Don't know/Refused	7.2%	9.0%	15.8%	17.7%	5.7%	5.0%	6.4%	9.2%	9.2%
	Malama 'Aina (natural resource managed area)	19.3%	36.2%	25.3%	51.1%	40.0%	66.8%	58.1%	60,7%	46.4%
	Cultural Activities	27.5%	39.8%	15.8%	46.1%	49.5%	62.3%	33.0%	44.6%	42.0%
	Community Garden	27.5%	47.0%	16.6%	50.1%	43.0%	54.2%	59.4%	41.1%	43.5%
Non-	Commercial Uses	7.2%	21.5%	0.0%	15.0%	9.1%	23.5%	10.7%	9.4%	12.1%
Residential Land Use	Family Gathering Spaces	27.5%	49.5%	35.6%	35.7%	28.2%	69.3%	42.9%	41.6%	40.1%
	Light Industrial	13.0%	7.2%	0.0%	8.3%	9.1%	31.7%	6.4%	2.2%	8.8%
	Other	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%	2.3%	17.6%	13.3%	8.9%	8.0%
	None of these	7.8%	18.3%	19.0%	0.0%	12.8%	0.0%	6.9%	2.2%	7.9%
	Don't know/Refused	47.7%	5.4%	36.0%	15.8%	19.2%	10.0%	10.2%	16.6%	18.6%



Established 1960

Database Marketing

Economic & Social Impact Studies

Evaluations

Research

Modeling/Forecasting

SMS

1042 Fort Street Mall Suite 200 Honolulu, HI 96813 Ph: (808) 537-3356 Toll Free (877) 535-5767 E-mail: info@smshawaii.com Website: www.smshawaii.com Beyond Information. Intelligence.

DHHL BENEFICIARIES STUDY APPLICANT REPORT, 2020



Prepared December 30, 2020

EXHIBIT "B"

CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	2
BACKGROUND	2
OBJECTIVES	2
METHOD	2
DHHL APPLICANTS	4
TYPES OF APPLICATIONS AND APPLICANT PREFERENCES	5
RESIDENTIAL	6
AGRICULTURAL	
PASTORAL	
PREFERRED LOCATIONS	10
PREFERRED UNIT CHARACTERISTICS	
APPLICANT DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS	
AGE	
GENDER AND MARITAL STATUS	
HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION	
HOUSEHOLD SIZE	
CHILDREN	
ELDERLY	
EMPLOYMENT	
APPLICANT CURRENT HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS	
HOUSING PAYMENTS	
FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS OF APPLICANTS	
INCOME	
HUD INCOME CATEGORIES	
PREVIOUS AWARD OFFERS	
APPLICANT PERSPECTIVES	
PERSPECTIVE OF CURRENT COMMUNITY	
INTENTIONS FOR A FUTURE AWARD	
SERVICE PROVIDERS	

AGE	
HOUSEHOLD SIZE	
NUMBER OF ADULTS EMPLOYED FULL-TIME	
APPENDIX A - HOMESTEAD LOCATIONS	
APPENDIX B – SURVEY INSTRUMENT APPENDIX C – ADDITIONAL DATA TABLES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Application Type and Island, 2020	5
Table 2. Residential Applicants' Housing Preferences, 2020	7
Table 2a. 2014 First Choice Housing Preference	
Table 3. Residential Applicants' Second Housing Preferences, 2020	8
Table 4. Location Preference, First and Second Choices	11
Table 5a. Preferred Bedrooms in Next Housing Unit, 2020	
Table 5b. Preferred Bathrooms in Next Housing Unit, 2020	
Table 6. Applicant Demographic Characteristics, 2020	
Table 7. Size of DHHL Applicant Households, 1992-2020	15
Table 8. Household Characteristics by Residence of Applicants, 2020	
Table 9. Full-time and Part-time Employment, 2020	
Table 10. Unit Characteristics of Current Home by Island, 2020	
Table 11. Monthly Housing Payment by Tenure, 2020	
Table 11a: Monthly Housing Payment by Island of Residence	23
Table 12: Homestead Household Income by Preferred Location	
Table 13. HUD Income Categories by Island, 2020	
Table 14. Total Units Needed for DHHL Applicants	
Table 14a. Types of Assistance Received, 2020	
Table 14b. Number of Types of Assistance Received, 2020	31
Table 15. Qualification for a Homestead Land Award, 2020	
Table 16: Intention for the Award in the Future	
Table 17. Applicants' Perspective, 2020	
Table 18. Use of Technology, 2020	
Table 19. Characteristics of Applicants based on Likelihood to Qualify to Finance the lowest price I Turn-key House	DHHL
Table 20. First Choice for an Award by Qualification Segment	40
Table C-1. Applicant Household Characteristics by HUD Income Categories	
Table C-2. Applicant Employment Industry by HUD Income Categories	
Table C-3. Applicant Household Income by HUD Income Categories	
Table C-4. Applicant Housing Unit Characteristics by HUD Income Categories	60
Table C-5. Applicant Housing Unit Characteristics by HUD Income Categories (Continued)	61
Table C-6. Applicant Award Preferences by HUD Income Categories	62
Table C-7. Previous Award Offers and Considerations by HUD Income Categories	63
Table C-8. Previous Award Offers and Considerations by HUD Income Categories (Continued)	
Table C-9. Technology Usage by HUD Income Categories	

1.1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Number of DHHL Applicants by Current County of Residence, 1995-2020	4
Figure 2. Application Type and Island, 2020	6
Figure 3. Residential Applicant's Lease Acceptance Preferences, 2020	9
Figure 4. Age Distribution of Applicants by Year, 2003, 2008, and 2014, 2020	13
Figure 5. Applicant Household Size, 1992-2020	15
Figure 6. Home Ownership by Island of Residence, 2003-2020	19
Figure 7a. Length of Time in Current Home by Tenure (Owners), 2014 and 2020	21
Figure 7b. Length of Time in Current Home by Tenure (Renters), 2014 and 2020	22
Figure 8a. Current Monthly Housing Payment by Tenure (Owners), 2014 and 2020	24
Figure 8b. Currently Monthly Housing Payment by Tenure (Renters), 2014 and 2020	24
Figure 9. Applicant Household Income, 2014 and 2020	25
Figure 10. Applicant Household Income, 1994 - 2020	
Figure 11. Percent of Applicants Below 80% HUD Median Income Guidelines, 2003 to 2020	28
Figure 12. Current Community Perceptions	
Figure 13. Hopes for DHHL Community	35
Figure 14. Comparisons of Age	
Figure 15. Comparisons of Household Size	43
Figure 16. Comparisons of Number of Adults Employed Full-time	
Figure A-1. Map of DHHL Homesteads, O'ahu	46
Figure A-2. Map of DHHL Homesteads, Maui County	
Figure A-3. Map of DHHL Homesteads, Kaua'i	
Figure A-4. Map of DHHL Homesteads, Hawai'i	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (DHHL) continues its nearly 100-year commitment to meeting the land and housing needs of the Hawaiian community. Even as DHHL has made thousands of awards, the number of unduplicated applicants has increased by nine percent since the last iteration of this study in 2014. The increase is attributed to younger applicants who recently qualified for an award, as well as to older Hawaiians who have applied for the first time (although they could have applied many years ago). The list has grown exponentially faster than the Department's ability to provide awards.

On the original applicant database provided by DHHL there were 45,830 names. Of these there were 17,138 that were on more than one list, these were sent only one survey. In addition, there were 5,267 that were also on the Lessee list, these were sent only a Lessee survey. A total of 23,425 surveys were mailed and 3,155 were returned due to wrong addresses. A total of 4,665 surveys were completed by mail or online survey, and an additional 317 were completed by telephone interview for a total of 4,982 complete surveys. This total provides a +/-1.2 percent sample variance at the 95% confidence level.

Based on applicants' indicated preferences, most are looking to DHHL to provide them with a single-family dwelling that is move-in ready. The challenge is that many applicants may not be able to qualify financially to purchase this type of award, even at the lower price of a DHHL award.

O'ahu continues to be the most sought-after location for applicants, with over fifty percent listing O'ahu as their first choice for a Homestead Award.

The percentage of DHHL applicants earning less than 80 percent of the HUD area median income (AMI) each year increased in 2020. In 2014, 45 percent of applicants were classified as below the 80 percent HUD AMI, in 2020 this has increased to 51 percent. This increase is a significant indicator that half of applicants may not be able to qualify for a turn-key housing unit.

INTRODUCTION

The State of Hawai'i Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (DHHL) was established in 1921 to manage the Hawaiian Home Lands trust. The mission of the Department is to manage effectively, develop raw land for use by qualified Applicants, facilitate land leases, and to develop and maintain self-sufficient and healthy communities on homestead land. To ensure that Departmental strategies and services are aligned with the interests of beneficiaries, DHHL has periodically commissioned surveys to evaluate their needs and preferences.

BACKGROUND

In 2020, the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands authorized a study among all of its beneficiaries -- current Lessees and Applicants for land awards. The purpose of the study was to assess the current condition and needs of DHHL beneficiaries. It was designed to be consistent with similar studies conducted in 1995, 2003, 2008, and 2014. These studies also serve to provide needed information in support of the Department's relationship with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) under the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Act of 1996 (NAHASDA). HUD programs are designed to facilitate housing production and community development among qualified population segments. DHHL commissioned SMS Research to complete that study.

OBJECTIVES

The overall goal of the project was to provide DHHL with a comprehensive body of information to support planning for delivery of land awards to applicants and provides opportunities for community development among Homelands Lessees. Specific objectives for the Applicant survey included:

- To update applicant profiles and housing situations.
- To measure level of qualification for awards acceptance under NAHASDA programs.
- To investigate expectations for land awards.
- To assess applicant impressions for certain proposed land award types; and
- To measure applicant satisfaction with DHHL performance.

METHOD

There were two major surveys involved in this study, one focused on lessees and one centered on applicants. This report covers the survey of DHHL applicants for land awards. The lessee survey and other project components are covered in reports submitted separately.

The applicant survey was designed to provide large-sample, statistically reliable data on all applicants who were on the DHHL beneficiary database as of August 2020. Two related surveys were conducted to accomplish that task. The first survey was a self-administered mail survey designed to provide very broad coverage of the applicant group. The survey instrument was relatively brief to maximize response rates and designed to include most of the items that

were directly comparable with the 1995, 2003, 2008, and 2014 surveys. Questions related to agriculture were not included in this iteration of the study by request of DHHL staff who were undertaking a separate study of those applicants.

The table below shows that in the database provided by DHHL there were 45,830 applicant names and addresses. SMS cleaned this list so that applicants received only one survey: first, identifying applicants on more than one list (17,138); and second, if applicants were also a Lessee, they would only receive the Lessee survey (5,267). A total of 23,425 surveys were mailed, of which 13 percent were returned due to wrong addresses.

Applicant Names	Number	%	Note
Received from DHHL	45,830	100%	
On more than one list	(17,138)	-37%	
Also a Lessee	(5,267)	-11%	
Mailed	23,425	51%	% Total Received
Returned due to Wrong Address	(3,155)	-13%	
Total Delivered to Applicants	20,270	87%	% of Mailed
Completed Survey Online	1,457	7%	
Returned Completed Survey by Mail	3,208	16%	
Total Completed Surveys by Mail or Online	4,665	23%	% of Delivered

Included in the cover letter of the mail survey were instructions on how to complete the applicant survey online. The web-based version of the survey was identical to the mail version and simply provided an alternative method of completing the survey, should applicants find it more convenient to respond online. 1,457 applicants completed an online survey, 31 percent of all responses. SMS received completed mail survey forms from 3,208 applicants for a total of 4,665. The sample error for the mail and online survey was ±1.4 percent at the 95 percent confidence level.

The second survey was a telephone survey conducted among a sample of applicants who did not complete a survey by mail or online and for whom there was a telephone number. The purpose of the telephone survey is to enable SMS to verify if there is a bias in the responses to the mail survey. The survey instrument contained the same questions that were included in the mail survey. A total of 317 telephone interviews were completed.

Similar to prior iterations respondents to the telephone survey were younger with an average age of 54.6 (median 56) compared with mail survey respondents with an average age of 60.9 (62 median). As expected, online respondents were the youngest with an average age of 52.3 (52 median.) There were no other significant differences in demographics between the three groups.

A total of 4,982 surveys were completed for this applicant report. Based on examination of the data sets, it is our professional opinion that the results of the DHHL applicant surveys detailed in this report represent an unbiased, statistically reliable, representative sample of the characteristics, conditions, and opinions of all applicants on the list as of August 2020. The variance of the total is +/-1.2% at the 95 percent confidence level.

DHHL APPLICANTS

In the past six years, the total number of unduplicated DHHL applicants has increased by 8.6 percent, from 26,416 in 2014 to 28,692 in 2020. As shown in Figure 1, the percentage of applicants in each of Hawai'i's four counties and from outside the State has remained fairly consistent over the past two decades.

Approximately half of the applicants live on O'ahu, while about 18 percent in Hawai'i County. Thirteen percent of DHHL applicants live in Maui County and five percent live on the island of Kaua'i. The number of out-of-state applicants only increased by approximately 1.7 percent in 2020. These applicants are people who reside on the U.S. Mainland, U.S. territories, or in a foreign country.

Of the 3,319 non-resident applicants, nearly all of them (3,305) live on the U.S. Mainland with only 14 of the applicants living on Guam.

Ten percent of applicants currently live on a DHHL homestead.





DHHL Applicant Survey Report, 2020 © SMS

TYPES OF APPLICATIONS AND APPLICANT PREFERENCES

Based on applicant responses, the majority of DHHL applicants want a residential lot (58%). Applications for agricultural and pastoral lots were second and third most common (30% and 12%, respectively). O'ahu has the highest percentage of applications of all types (38%), followed by Hawai'i Island with 30 percent of all applications. Twenty percent of the applications were for awards in Maui County and the remaining applicants were seeking awards on Kaua'i.

		Residential	Agricultural	Pastoral	Total
O'ahu	Count	11,797	2,856	734	15,387
0 anu	Pct.	76.7%	18.6%	4.8%	100.0%
Maui	Count	4,075	2,836	931	7,842
Maui	Pct.	52.0%	36.2%	11.9%	100.0%
Hawaiʻi	Count	5,147	4,382	2,328	11,857
	Pct.	43.4%	37.0%	19.6%	100.0%
Kaua'i	Count	1,468	1,260	419	3,146
Kdud I	Pct.	46.6%	40.0%	13.3%	100.0%
Moloka'i	Count	553	653	225	1,431
WOIDKa I	Pct.	38.6%	45.7%	15.7%	100.0%
Lana'i	Count	160	137	62	359
Land	Pct.	44.6%	38.1%	17.4%	100.0%
Ctata	Count	23,199	12,124	4,698	40,021
State	Pct.	58.0%	30.3%	11.7%	100.0%

Table 1. Application Type and Islan	d. 2020
-------------------------------------	---------

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020.

Note: The total number of applications is greater than the total number of applicants because each applicant can apply for more than one type of list. 587 Applicants with no specified sign-up list were excluded in this table.

The distribution of responses is similar to the actual distribution of names on the three lists provided by DHHL: residential (51%), agricultural (42%) and pastoral (6%). Based on phone calls received from applicants asking about the survey, some applicants cannot remember what list(s) they are on and some applicants who are also lessees believe that they are no longer on an applicant list now that they have received an award.





Residential

Based on survey responses, about 58 percent of the applications across all islands are for residential lots. Among residential applicants, approximately half of them would prefer a residential land award on the island of O'ahu (51%). The remainder of the residential applications were divided among the island of Hawai'i (22%), Maui (18%), Kaua'i (6%), Moloka'i (2%), and Lāna'i (0.7%).

Among residential applicants, approximately 54 percent of them would choose to have a turnkey unit (a residential lot with a single-family dwelling) as their first choice. About 22 percent of the residential applicants would choose a lot with water, sewer, electricity but no house as their first choice. Less than 10 percent of the residential applicants would prefer a single-family house to rent with the option to buy (8.9%).

	1st Choice	Pct.	2nd Choice	Pct.	3rd Choice	Pct.
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it)	12,496	53.9%	5,195	22.4%	1,341	5.8%
Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	5,146	22.2%	4,577	19.7%	3,684	15.9%
Single-family house to rent with option to buy	2,070	8.9%	6,188	26.7%	5,391	23.2%
Don't know/Refused	1,925	8.3%	3,447	14.9%	4,969	21.4%
Apartment suited for senior citizens	628	2.7%	860	3.7%	1,343	5.8%
An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting li	496	2.1%	886	3.8%	2,461	10.6%
Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	197	0.8%	658	2.8%	1,451	6.3%
Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex	135	0.6%	962	4.1%	1,684	7.3%
Condominium apartment (Multi-family building)	106	0.5%	427	1.8%	876	3.8%
Total	23,199	100.0%	23,199	100.0%	23,199	100.0%

Table 2. Residential Applicants' Housing Preferences, 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

/1 Total number of residential applicants

When residential applicants were asked the likelihood to accept their second or third choice if they could not qualify for their first choice financially, 45 percent responded, "very likely" and 26 percent answered somewhat likely, respectively. Alternatively, only about 5 percent of applicants answered "unlikely" to accept the second or third choice if they could not qualify the first choice financially, with the remaining 24 percent of applicants being unsure.

For comparison purposes, the following table shows the 2014 first choice preferences where significantly more applicants desiring the Turn-Key option.

Table 2a. 2014 First Choice Housing Preference

	Residentia	Applicants
	Count	Pct
Housing Options - 1st Choice		
Turn-Key (lot with single-family house on it)	8,983	67.5%
Lot with water, sewer, electricity but no house	1,251	9.4%
An affordable rental unit	686	5.2%
Not Reported	603	4.5%
Apartment suited for senior citizens	516	3.9%
A rental unit with an option to buy	390	2.9%
Parcel of land that I can farm	385	2.9%
Condominium apartment I own	287	2.2%
Townhome in a duplex or quadplex	216	1.6%
Total	13,316	100.0%

Source: 2014 DHHL Applicant Survey

Second choice of property for first choice Turn-key (Lot with a single- family house on it.)	Count	Percent	Second choice of property for first choice lot with water, electricity and no sewer, but no house	Count	Percent	Second choice of property for first choice Single-family house to rent with option to buy	Count	Percent
Total first choice	12496	100,0%	Total first cholice	5146	100.0%	Total first choice	2070	100.0%
Single-family house to rent with option to buy	5179	41.4%	Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it)	3612	70.2%	Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it)	1018	49.2%
Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	4166	33,3%	Single-family house to rent with option to buy	653	12.7%	Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	265	12,8%
Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex	699	5.6%	Apartment suited for senior citizens	114	2.2%	Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	227	11.0%
Apartment suited for senior citizens	492	3.9%	Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	112	2,2%	An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting	201	9.7%
An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list	389	3.1%	An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list	85	1.7%	Apartment suited for senior citizens	98	4.7%
Condominium apartment (Multi-family building)	290	2.3%	Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex	65	1.3%	Townhouse in a duplex or four- plex	96	4.7%
Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	235	1.9%	Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	50	1.0%	Condominium apartment (Multi- family building)	41	2.0%
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it)	229	1.8%	Condominium apartment (Multi-family building)	10	.2%	Single-family house to rent with option to buy	20	1.0%
Don't know/Refused	817	6.5%	Don't know/Refused	445	8.6%	Don't know/Refused	104	5.0%

Table 3. Residential Applicants' Second Housing Preferences, 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

Table 3 shows the second choice for the top three first choices: Turn-key unit; Lot with utilities, but no house; and Single-family rent with option to buy. For those applicants who selected turn-key unit as their first choice, their most preferred second choice was a *Single-family home to rent with option to buy* (41%), followed by a *lot with water, electricity, and sewer, but no house* (33%). This makes sense since these second choices likely require a lower financial readiness. This suggests that for applicants desiring a turn-key property, but cannot qualify financially, there are opportunities to work with them to accept an alternate type of award that has lower financial qualifications.

For both first choices of *Lot with utilities, but no house* and *Single-family rent with option to buy* the most preferred second choice was a *Turn-key house* (70% and 49% respectively.) This suggests that applicants have little awareness of the relative cost of each of the options being offered by DHHL. Given that a Turn-key house is highest cost award option, it is an unrealistic second choice. This suggests that applicants need to be more aware of the cost of the different housing options being offered by DHHL, in order for them to be realistic about their financial situation and likelihood to qualify for an award.





Figure 3 shows a list of factors that the residential applicants may consider when deciding whether to accept the lease, from most important to least important. Approximately one-third of the applicants indicated that the location of the community is the most important factor, outweighing the second and third factors by more than 10 to 20 percent. The second most important factor in the decision of accepting the lease is the ability to qualify to finance the house (22%), followed by the price of the unit (13%). The type of housing unit and the size of the lot are, in contrast, relatively less important.

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey, 2020

Agricultural

In 2020, approximately 30 percent of all DHHL applications are from beneficiaries seeking agricultural lands. Agricultural applicants are typically requesting an award on Hawai'i Island (36%). O'ahu and the island of Maui¹, on the other hand, have about the same number of agricultural applications (24% and 23%, respectively). Only 10 percent of the agricultural applications are requesting land on Kaua'i while less than seven percent of them are seeking agricultural land on Moloka'i and Lāna'i (5% and 1%). According to the USDA Agricultural Census 2017, Hawai'i Island has the largest acres of land in farms (59%) and the number of farms (58%) in the state, followed by Maui County. The agricultural applicants' preferences across counties appear to align with that closely.

Pastoral

Overall, DHHL has the fewest number of applications for pastoral lands (4,698). Nearly half of the pastoral applicants would prefer land awards on Hawai'i Island (50%). The island of Maui is the second most popular option among pastoral applicants (26%). Only about 16 percent and nine percent of pastoral applicants are seeking land awards on Kaua'i or O'ahu. As in the case with residential and agricultural applicants, Moloka'i and Lāna'i are the least preferred among pastoral applicants (5% and 1%, respectively).

PREFERRED LOCATIONS

Applicants identified the geographic area where they would like to receive an award. without any indication as to whether that area has land that might be available. Maps showing the location of Hawaiian Home Lands throughout the state are provided in the Appendix.

Table 4 summarizes applicants' first and second choice locations. Areas on O'ahu are the most desired, even though DHHL has relatively less land that can be developed for housing on O'ahu. The Island of Hawai'i is the second most popular overall location moving Maui which was second in 2014 to the third position in 2020.

I Island of Maui only includes the Maui island. The County of Maui includes the Island of Maui, Moloka'i and Lāna'i.

Location	First Choice	Second Choice
Hawai'i Island	16.10%	21.90%
North and South Hilo	8.50%	8.00%
North Hawai'i	8.10%	10.60%
North Kona	5.00%	4.00%
Hawaii Island-any	2.10%	6.20%
Puna	0.50%	0.70%
South Kona-Ka'ū	0.40%	0.40%
Kauaʻi	6.00%	5.20%
Kaua'i-any	3.50%	3.10%
East Kaua'i	1.30%	0.90%
Hanapēpē-'Ele'ele	0.50%	0.30%
Waimea (Kauai)	0.30%	0.40%
Līhu'e	0.30%	0.20%
Koloa-Po'ipū-Kalāheo	0.10%	0.30%
Lāna'i	0.30%	0.30%
Maui Island	14.60%	14.10%
Maui-any	6.10%	6.30%
Makawao-Pukalani-Kula	4.10%	3.80%
Wailuku-Kahului	2.40%	2.50%
West Maui	1.50%	0.70%
Hāna	0.30%	0.30%
Paia-Haiku	0.20%	0.20%
Kīhei-Mākena	0.00%	0.30%
Molokai	2.50%	2.60%
Oʻahu	51.70%	48.00%
Koʻolauloa, Koolaupoko	14.70%	13.50%
'Ewa	13.70%	12.00%
PUC	9.30%	8.20%
Rural Oahu	5.60%	6.60%
Oahu-any	5.50%	4.20%
Central Oahu	2.00%	2.50%
East Honolulu	0.60%	0.60%
North Shore	0.30%	0.40%
Total	100.00%	100.00%

Table 4. Location Preference, First and Second Choices

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

PREFERRED UNIT CHARACTERISTICS

DHHL applicant families are more likely to want houses with three or more bedrooms in their next home (84%). This is consistent with the results found in 2014 (84%). Housing units with three bedrooms is the most popular option across all types of applications. Most applicants prefer having at least two bathrooms in their next home (92%), of which 65 percent would like to have two bathrooms and 21 percent prefers three bathrooms.

	_	Type of DHHL Applications										
	Residential		Residential Agricultural		Pastoral		Not Reported		State			
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.		
1 bedroom	321	1.4%	116	1.0%	60	1.3%	20	3.4%	518	1.3%		
2 bedrooms	3,272	14.1%	1,687	13.9%	713	15.2%	111	18.9%	5,783	14.2%		
3 bedrooms	9,828	42.4%	5,120	42.2%	2,037	43.4%	192	32.7%	17,177	42.3%		
4 bedrooms	7,188	31.0%	3,831	31.6%	1,308	27.8%	122	20.7%	12,448	30.7%		
5+ bedrooms	2,412	10.4%	1,304	10.8%	515	11.0%	56	9.6%	4,287	10.6%		
Not Reported	177	0.8%	66	0.5%	65	1.4%	86	14.7%	394	1.0%		
Total	23,199	100.0%	12,124	100.0%	4,698	100.0%	587	100.0%	40,607	100.0%		

Table 5a. Preferred Bedrooms in Next Housing Unit, 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

		Type of DHHL Applications									
	Resid	lential	Agricultural		Pas	toral	Not Re	eported	State		
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	
1 bathroom	1,238	5.3%	641	5.3%	256	5.4%	51	8.7%	2,186	5.4%	
1.5 bathrooms	173	0.7%	81	0.7%	26	0.5%	5	0.9%	284	0.7%	
2 bathrooms	15,274	65.8%	7,888	65.1%	3,021	64.3%	277	47.2%	26,461	65.2%	
2.5 bathrooms	643	2.8%	359	3.0%	77	1.6%	10	1.8%	1,089	2.7%	
3 bathrooms	4,831	20.8%	2,606	21.5%	975	20.8%	121	20.6%	8,533	21.0%	
3.5 bathrooms	60	0.3%	30	0.2%	20	0.4%	0	0.0%	110	0.3%	
4+ bathrooms	679	2.9%	368	3.0%	221	4.7%	16	2.6%	1,284	3.2%	
Not Reported	301	1.3%	150	1.2%	102	2.2%	107	18.2%	660	1.6%	
Total	23,199	100.0%	12,124	100.0%	4,698	100.0%	587	100.0%	40,607	100.0%	

Table 5b. Preferred Bathrooms in Next Housing Unit, 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

APPLICANT DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

One of the major objectives of this study was to update the characteristics of the DHHL applicant pool. This section of the report updates general characteristics of current DHHL applicants.

AGE

In 2020, the median age of DHHL applicants was 59 years compared to 57 years back in 2014. Slightly more than one-third (35%) of the applicants are over the age of 65, a four-percentage point increase over 2014. Figure 4 clearly indicates that the applicant population is aging. With each iteration of the study, the number of applicants in the lower age ranges decrease while the proportion of applicants in the upper age ranges continue growing. The increase in the upper age ranges have increased the median age of DHHL applicants by approximately two years.





Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

Note: 505 applicants who did not report age were excluded in this chart

GENDER AND MARITAL STATUS

At present, the majority of DHHL applicants are female (58%). About sixty percent of applicants are married (60%), while very few (13%) have never been married. Overall, the gender and the marital status of DHHL applicants' distributions stayed about the same as in 2014. As the applicant population ages, we can expect that increasing numbers of them will be widowed or divorced. The is reflected by the slight increase in the divorced status by 1.2 percentage points in 2020 in Table 6 below.

	Hon	Honolulu		Maui		Hawaii		Kauai		Out of State		otal
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.
Respondents' gender												
Male	5,278	42.4%	1,223	40.0%	1,727	40.4%	464	39.2%	1,480	45.2%	10,171	42.0%
Female Gender, non-	7,167	57.5%	1,836	60.0%	2,534	59.3%	713	60.3%	1,782		14,033	57.9%
conforming	16	.1%	0	0.0%	10	.2%	6	.5%	9	.3%	41	.2%
Total	12,461	100.0%	3,060	100.0%	4,270	100.0%	1,183	100.0%	3,272	100.0%	24,246	100.0%
Respondents' marital	status	_		_	_	_					-	
Single, never married	1,785	14.5%	493	16.4%	603	14.3%	143	12.2%	147	4.5%	3,170	13.2%
Married	7,287	59.3%	1,656	55.2%	2,483	58.7%	654	55.8%	2,298	70.8%	14,377	60.1%
Living with Partner	557	4.5%	220	7.3%	220	5.2%	89	7.6%	128	3.9%	1,213	5.1%
Separated/Divorced	1,447	11.8%	309	10.3%	480	11.4%	155	13.2%	359	11.1%	2,750	11.5%
Widowed	1,218	9.9%	322	10.7%	444	10.5%	131	11.2%	312	9.6%	2,427	10.1%
Total	12,294	100.0%	2,999	100.0%	4,230	100.0%	1,171	100.0%	3,243	100.0%	23,938	100.0%

Table 6. Applicant Demographic Characteristics, 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

Note: Respondents who preferred not to answer were excluded in this table.

HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

Understanding the composition of DHHL applicant households is an essential element in planning for the needs and preferences of future lessees.

Household Size

Overall, the percentage of one to two person households has increased since 1992, while the number of households with three to four people have decreased since 2003. The percentage of households with more than five people has stayed roughly the same since 2008. Even as the smaller households have increased.

able 1. Size of Drift Applicant	Tiouse	0036110103, 1052-2020						
	2020	2014	2008	2006 ^A	2003	1995	1992 ^B	
Number of Household Members								
1 to 2 people	35%	34%	27%	28%	29%	25%	14%	
3 to 4 people	32%	33%	36%	38%	41%	37%	39%	
5 to 6 people	20%	20%	23%	17%	22%	24%	25%	
7 or more people	13%	13%	14%	12%	8%	13%	22%	

Table 7. Size of DHHL Applicant Households, 1992-2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

A2006 Hawaii Housing Policy Study

^B1992 Housing Policy Consortium Study.



Figure 5. Applicant Household Size, 1992-2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020, 2006 Hawaii Housing Policy Study, 1992 Housing Policy Consortium Study.

Of households with two or more persons, approximately 93 percent of applicants stated that at least one of their household members were related by blood, marriage, or adoption. Only 34 percent of applicants stated that <u>all their household members</u> were related by blood, marriage, or adoption. Applicants with a household size of five to seven or more members most often included two or more family units.

When applicants were asked how many members of their current household would move with them if they received a DHHL award, the majority indicated that they expected between two and five family members to move with them. The average number of household members expected to move with applicants should they receive an award was 3.79 persons. The average number of household members was higher for Honolulu applicants (4.01).

Children

Approximately 54 percent of applicant households have children under the age of 18 which is slightly lower than the 59 percent in 2014. The results are consistent with the aging applicants' pool. Applicant households from Maui County are more likely to have members under the age of 18.

Elderly

As is the case with children, understanding the prevalence of elderly persons in applicant households is essential. Forty five percent of applicant households have elderly members over the age of 70 similar to 46 percent in 2014.

Employment

The number of applicants that reported no one employed full-time in their households has doubled from 10 percent to 24 percent since the last iteration of the study. The substantial increase might be due to the aging of the applicant pool and the impact of the COVID Pandemic. The majority of the households have one to two adults in households who work full-time (61%). Notably applicants who live out-of-state have the highest percentage of no one employed full time in their household at 37 percent.

In addition to having household members who work full-time, slightly more than one-fourth of applicant households also include one or two adults working on a part-time basis (26.7%). This is a notable decline from 52.5 percent in 2014. Again, the pandemic and the shrinking of the job market may be the cause of the decline.

While the applicant pool is employed in a wide variety of industries, the most common jobs among applicants working full-time are in construction (23%); other services (22%); health care & social assistance (19%); and public administration/government (18%). Applicants who work part-time are most often working in the following industries: other services (22%); retail trade (17%); and educational services (16%).

	Hone	olulu	Ma	ui	Haw	/ali	Ка	lai	Out of	State	To	al
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.
Household members	moving to	DHHL awa	rd									
1 member	932	7.4%	283	9.2%	501	11.6%	113	9.6%	303	9.2%	2,131	8.7%
2 members	2,446	19.5%	654	21.2%	1,200	27.7%	345	29.3%	1,239	37.7%	5,884	24.1%
3 to 5 members	6,569	52.5%	1,560	50.6%	2,038	47.1%	488	41.4%	1,333	40.6%	11,987	49.1%
6 to 10 members	2,446	19,5%	563	18.3%	562	13.0%	214	18.2%	388	11.8%	4,173	17.1%
11 or more members	125	1.0%	22	.7%	31	.7%	18	1.5%	24	.7%	219	.9%
Total	12,518	100.0%	3,082	100.0%	4,332	100.0%	1,177	100.0%	3,286	100.0%	24,395	100.0%
Average	4.0	01	3.8	37	3.4	19	3.7	79	3.3	30	3.1	79
Household members	under age	18								-	-	
None	5,033	43.4%	1,104	39.4%	1,890	48.6%	488	44.6%	1,683	57.9%	10,198	45.7%
1 member	2,165	18.7%	552	19.7%	633	16.3%	161	14.7%	430	14,8%	3,941	17.7%
2 members	2,051	17.7%	575	20.5%	679	17.5%	208	19.0%	397	13.7%	3,910	17.5%
3 members	1,322	11.4%	289	10.3%	322	8,3%	131	12.0%	194	6.7%	2,257	10.1%
4 or more members	1,025	8.8%	284	10.1%	368	9.4%	107	9.8%	203	7.0%	1,987	8.9%
Total	11,597	100.0%	2,802	100.0%	3,892	100.0%	1,094	100.0%	2,908	100.0%	22,293	100.0%
Household members	over age 7	0										
None	7,469	64.8%	1,864	67.0%	2,569	66.2%	702	64.1%	1,801	57.7%	14,406	64.3%
1 member	2,457	21.3%	520	18.7%	715	18.4%	232	21.2%	624	20.0%	4,548	20.3%
2 members	1,280	11.1%	311	11.2%	480	12.4%	101	9.2%	610	19.5%	2,782	12.4%
3 members	187	1.6%	27	1.0%	51	1.3%	12	1.1%	47	1.5%	325	1.4%
4 or more members	125	1.1%	60	2.1%	66	1.7%	48	4.3%	38	1.2%	336	1.5%
Total	11,519	100.0%	2,782	100.0%	3,882	100.0%	1,094	100.0%	3,120	100.0%	22,398	100.0%
Adults employed full-t	ime			-								
None	2,212	17.5%	749	23.9%	1,323	30.1%	297	24.8%	1,220	36.6%	5,801	23.5%
1 to 2 adults	7,948	62.8%	1,884	60.2%	2,595	59.1%	767	63.9%	1,782	53.5%	14,977	60.6%
3 to 5 adults	2,410	19.0%	463	14.8%	460	10.5%	131	10.9%	312	9.4%	3,775	15.3%
6 or more adults	94	.7%	36	1.1%	15	.3%	6	.5%	19	.6%	170	.7%
Total	12,664	100.0%	3,132	100.0%	4,393	100.0%	1,201	100.0%	3,333	100.0%	24,723	100.0%
Adults employed part-	time											
None	9,156	72.3%	2,235	71.3%	3,080	70.1%	850	70.8%	2,506	75.2%	17,826	72.1%
1 to 2 adults	3,357	26.5%	866	27.7%	1,236	28.1%	327	27.2%	808	24.3%	6,595	26.7%
3 to 5 adults	135	1.1%	31	1.0%	72	1.6%	24	2.0%	19	.6%	281	1.19
6 or more adults	16	.1%	0	0.0%	5	.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	19
Total	12,664	100.0%	3,132	100.0%	4,393	100.0%	1,201	100.0%	3,333	100.0%	24,723	100.0%

Table 8. Household Characteristics by Residence of Applicants, 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

	Honolulu	lulu	Maui	Iui	Hav	Hawaii	Kauai	uai	Out of State	State	To	Total
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.
Employed full time												
Construction	2,504	24.7%	539	23.9%	598	20.7%	125	14.5%	383	18.7%	4,148	22.8%
Other services	2,420	23.9%	430	19.0%	587	20.3%	214	24.8%	425	20.8%	4,077	22.4%
Health care and social assistance	2,025	20.0%	409	18.1%	490	17.0%	113	13.1%	454	22.2%	3,491	19.2%
Public administration/Government	2,066	20.4%	350	15.5%	414	14.3%	149	17.2%	364	17.8%	3,342	18.4%
Transportation, warehousing, and utilities	2,082	20.6%	341	15.1%	322	11.1%	149	17.2%	321	15.7%	3,215	17.7%
Educational services	1,572	15.5%	416	18.5%	531	18.4%	125	14.5%	180	8.8%	2,824	15.5%
Hotel, accommodations, and food services	1,254	12.4%	560	24.8%	465	16.1%	232	26.9%	241	11.8%	2,752	15.1%
Professional, scientific, management, and administrative	1,374	13.6%	256	11.4%	337	11.7%	83	9.7%	407	19.9%	2,458	13.5%
Retail trade	1,093	10.8%	199	8.8%	342	11.8%	89	10.3%	260	12.7%	1,984	10.9%
Finance and insurance, real estate, rental and leasing	869	8.6%	124	5.5%	174	6.0%	12	1.4%	265	12.9%	1,443	7.9%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing, hunting, and mining	291	2.9%	214	9.5%	209	7.2%	83	9.7%	52	2.5%	850	4.7%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	333	3.3%	110	4.9%	82	2.8%	24	2.8%	76	3.7%	624	3.4%
Total ¹	10,124	100.0%	2,255	100.0%	2,891	100.0%	862	100.0%	2,047	100.0%	18,179	100.0%
Employed part time												
Other services	531	18.1%	155	21.2%	204	18.3%	95	29.1%	123	18.2%	1,108	19.2%
Retail trade	536	18.3%	81	11.0%	184	16.4%	24	7.3%	175	25.9%	666	17.3%
Educational services	510	17.4%	92	12.6%	215	19.2%	48	14.5%	66	9.8%	930	16.1%
Hotel, accommodations, and food services	406	13.9%	132	18.1%	184	16.4%	65	20.0%	06	13.3%	877	15.2%
Health care and social assistance	344	11.7%	95	12.9%	138	12.3%	18	5.5%	80	11.9%	674	11.7%
Construction	239	8.2%	70	%9.6	112	10.0%	42	12.7%	57	8.4%	520	9.0%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	245	8.4%	50	6.8%	102	9.1%	24	7.3%	85	12.6%	506	8.8%
Transportation, warehousing, and utilities	265	9.1%	69	9.5%	87	7.8%	12	3.6%	71	10.5%	504	8.7%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing, hunting, and mining	130	4.4%	98	13.5%	128	11.4%	24	7.3%	6	1.4%	389	6.7%
Professional, scientific, management, and administrative	146	5.0%	51	7.0%	56	5.0%	12	3.6%	38	5.6%	303	5.2%
Public administration/Government	115	3.9%	37	5.1%	26	2.3%	12	3.6%	24	3.5%	213	3.7%
Finance and insurance, real estate, rental and leasing	115	3.9%	22	3.1%	31	2.7%	9	1.8%	19	2.8%	192	3.3%
Total ¹	2,925	100.0%	730	730 100.0%	1,119	1,119 100.0%	327	327 100.0%	676	676 100.0%	5,777	5,777 100.0%

Table 9. Full-time and Part-time Employment, 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

 ${\it h}^{\rm f}$ Each applicant can provide more than one response.

DHHL Applicant Survey Report, 2020 SMS

Page 18 December 2020

APPLICANT CURRENT HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

Slightly less than half of all DHHL applicants own their current home (48%). Like previous iterations, applicants who live out of the state have the highest ownership rate (67%). Hawai'i Island has the second highest ownership rate at 52 percent, and the remaining islands are similar in the 40 percent plus range. Applicants who currently reside on Lāna'i have the fewest current owners at 36 percent of all current applicants.



Figure 6. Home Ownership by Island of Residence, 2003-2020

Applicants' current homes are most often single-family dwellings (70%) with three bedrooms (41%) and two bathrooms (43%). Those who are not living in a single-family unit are most often in an apartment (10%) or townhouse, duplex, or quadplex (9%). The distribution has not changed significantly except that more applicants live in single-family dwellings than in 2014 (64%). This is true for applicants across different islands as well as those who live out of state.

A challenge for DHHL is that many applicants are currently living in housing units that already meet their DHHL desire for type of unit and number of bedrooms and bathrooms. Awards that are offered will be compared with current housing units, especially for those applicants that currently own their home.

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

	Honolulu	niulu	Maui	ini	Hawaii	aii	Kauai	lai	Moloka'i	ka'i	Lāna'i	ia'i	Out of State	State	Total	tal
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.
Type of current housing unit	l unit															
Single-family house	8,047	63.5%	1,931	76.3%	3,479	79.2%	939	78.2%	456	83.8%	36	63.6%	2,515	75.5%	17,403	70.4%
Townhouse, duplex,	1 718	13.6%	81	3 2%	133	3 0%	77	6.4%					189	5 7%	2 213	%0.6
multiplex			5	2	2	200			16	2.9%	0	0.0%				2
Apartment	1,567	12.4%	242	9.6%	312	7.1%	59	5.0%	16	2.9%	10	18.2%	355	10.6%	2,560	10.4%
Condominium	614	4.8%	49	1.9%	72	1.6%	18	1.5%	0	0.0%		0.0%	137	4.1%	890	3.6%
Public assisted housing	312	2.5%	45	1.8%	87	2.0%	54	4.5%	10	1.9%		9.1%		.4%	527	2.1%
Other	448	3.5%	148	5.8%	296	6.7%	11	6.4%	36	6.7%	5	9.1%	66	3.0%	1,110	4.5%
Don't know/Refused	135	1.1%	63	2.5%	11	1.7%	9	.5%	10	1.9%		0.0%	43	1.3%	334	1.3%
Total ¹	12,664	12,664 100.0%	2,531	100.0%	4,393	100.0%	1,201	100.0%	544	544 100.0%	57	100.0%	3,333	100.0%	24,723	100.0%
Number of bedrooms in current housing unit	current	housing	g unit													
No bedroom (Studio)	203	1.6%	58	2.3%	112	2.6%	24	2.0%	5	1.0%	0	0.0%	43	1.3%	445	1.8%
One bedroom	1,005	7.9%	237	9.4%	337	7.7%	83	6.9%	36	6.7%	10	18.2%	142	4.3%	1,851	7.5%
Two bedrooms	2,540	20.1%	502	19.8%	746	17.0%	232	19.3%	140	25.7%	16	27.3%	572	17.2%	4,747	19.2%
Three bedrooms	4,742	37.4%	1,138		2,202	50.1%	583	48.5%	238	43.8%	26	45.5%	1,305	39.1%	10,233	41.4%
Four or more bedrooms	4,034	31.9%	560	22.1%	950	21.6%	279	23.3%	119	21.9%		9.1%	1,196	35.9%	7,144	28.9%
Not reported	141	1.1%	36	1.4%	46	1.0%	0	0.0%	5	1.0%	0	0.0%	76	2.3%	303	1.2%
Total	12,664	12,664 100.0%	2,531	100.0%	4,393	100.0%	1,201	100.0%	544	100.0%	57	100.0%	3,333	100.0%	24,723	100.0%
Number of bathrooms in current housing unit	n current	t housin	g unit													
1 bathroom	4,024	31.8%	842	33.3%	1,246	28.4%	351	29.2%	249	45.7%	41	72.7%	468	14.0%	7,221	29.2%
11% bathrooms	427	3.4%	63	2.5%	92	2.1%	59	5.0%	16	2.9%	0	0.0%	38	1.1%	694	2.8%
2 bathrooms	5,179	40.9%	1,156	N	1,962	44.7%	559	46.5%	192	35.2%	10	18.2%	1,683	50.5%	10,740	43.4%
2½ bathrooms	505	4.0%	58	2.3%	153	3.5%	30	2.5%	5	1.0%		0.0%	175	5.2%	926	3.7%
3 bathrooms	1,598	12.6%	269	10.6%	720	16.4%	143	11.9%	47	8.6%	5	9.1%	676	20.3%	3,458	14.0%
3½ bathrooms	68	.5%	0	%0.	26	.6%	0	0.0%	5	1.0%		0.0%	28	%6.	127	.5%
4+ bathrooms	562	4.4%	81	3.2%	107	2.4%	30	2.5%	5	1.0%	0	%0.0	147	4.4%	932	3.8%
Not reported	302	2.4%	63	2.5%	87	2.0%	30	2.5%	26	4.8%	0	0.0%	118	3.5%	625	2.5%
Total	12.664	12,664 100.0%	2,531	100.0%	4,393	4,393 100.0%	1,201	100.0%	544	544 100.0%	57	100.0%	3,333	100.0%	24,723	100.0%

Page 20 February, 2015

DHHL Applicant Survey Report, 2014 SMS

The length of time applicants have lived in their current residence varies significantly depending on whether the applicant rents or owns the unit. More than two-thirds of homeowners have lived in their current home for more than ten years (70%). It is unclear what contributes to this trend, but it may be due to the rising prices of homes in Hawai'i over the past ten years.

Applicants who have lived in a home they own for longer than 20 years are more likely to have significant equity in their property that they could use as a down payment should they decide to sell their property and put it toward a future award. Note that in discussions with beneficiaries that called for assistance few of the longtime homeowners wanted to sell their home to move to a home that they could not own in fee simple. Out-of-state owners in particular were drawn to the idea of moving back to Hawai'i but were uncertain if they could afford to make the move.



Figure 7a. Length of Time in Current Home by Tenure (Owners), 2014 and 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

Note: 1,368 applicants who did not provide the length of time living in current home were excluded.

Similar to prior iterations, fifty-two percent of applicants currently rent their own home including six percent who share with others and pay no rent or occupy without a rental payment. Overall, renters are more likely to move more often with 47 percent living in their current home for less than six years and only 12 percent having lived in the same home for more than 20 years.





HOUSING PAYMENTS

The median housing payment among all applicants who pay a mortgage or rent payment each month is \$1,412. This median payment amount is much higher among owners (\$1,731) than for renters (\$1,340). The current monthly housing payment made by DHHL applicants is an indicator of their ability to pay for a home if they were to receive a DHHL award soon. Between 2014 and 2020, the current monthly payment has increased for both owners and renters (see Figure 8a and Figure 8b). The category of \$2,500 or more per month has the most notable increase for both owners and renters.

About one in five applicant homeowners does not make a monthly mortgage payment because their home has been paid in full. This is consistent with the finding that many applicant homeowners (46%) have been in their current home for more than twenty years.

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

	Ov	'n	Re	nt	Do know/R	n't lefused	Tot	al
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.
Home paid for, or no rent paid	2,629	22.0%	73	.7%	31	10.8%	2,733	12.3%
Less than \$300	71	.6%	345	3.4%	5	1.8%	421	1.9%
\$300 to \$499	142	1.2%	379	3.8%	15	5.1%	536	2.4%
500 to \$699	321	2.7%	841	8.4%	10	3.6%	1,172	5.3%
\$700 to \$999	765	6.4%	1,211	12.1%	36	12.5%	2,012	9.0%
\$1,000 to \$1,199	809	6.8%	1,213	12.1%	5	1.6%	2,027	9.1%
\$1,200 to \$1,499	1,365	11.4%	1,765	17.6%	15	5.1%	3,145	14.1%
\$1,500 to \$1,699	770	6.4%	1,021	10.2%	10	3.6%	1,801	8.1%
\$1,700 to \$1,899	788	6.6%	633	6.3%	0	0.0%	1,422	6.4%
\$1,900 to \$2,099	598	5.0%	579	5.8%	16	5.4%	1,192	5.4%
\$2,100 to \$2,299	643	5.4%	437	4.4%	0	0.0%	1,080	4.8%
\$2,300 to \$2,499	604	5.1%	367	3.7%	0	0.0%	972	4.4%
\$2,500 or more	1,852	15.5%	837	8.3%	10	3.6%	2,699	12.1%
Don't know/Refused	586	4.9%	338	3.4%	134	46.7%	1,059	4.8%
Total	11,944	100.0%	10,038	100.0%	288	100.0%	22,270	100.0%
Median	\$1,7	'31	\$1,3	340	\$9	55	\$1,4	112

Table 11. Monthly Housing Payment by Tenure, 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

Table 11a: Monthly Housing Payment by Island of Residence

	Honol	ulu	Ma	ul	Haw	ali	Kau	al	Out of S	State	Tota	al
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.
Home paid for, or no rent paid	1,416	11.2%	564	18.0%	884	20.1%	220	18.3%	605	18.2%	3,689	14.9%
Less than \$300	219	1.7%	89	2.8%	133	3.0%	18	1.5%	14	.4%	472	1.9%
\$300 to \$499	239	1.9%	80	2.5%	169	3.8%	65	5.4%	52	1.6%	605	2.4%
500 to \$699	599	4.7%	179	5.7%	245	5.6%	83	6.9%	165	5.0%	1,271	5.1%
\$700 to \$999	900	7.1%	269	8.6%	562	12.8%	95	7.9%	279	8.4%	2,105	8.5%
\$1,000 to \$1,199	937	7.4%	228	7.3%	495	11.3%	125	10.4%	312	9.4%	2,097	8.5%
\$1,200 to \$1,499	1,499	11.8%	382	12.2%	679	15.5%	155	12.9%	563	16.9%	3,277	13.3%
\$1,500 to \$1,699	1,088	8.6%	252	8.0%	220	5.0%	65	5.4%	236	7.1%	1,861	7.5%
\$1,700 to \$1,899	770	6.1%	195	6.2%	209	4.8%	48	4.0%	260	7.8%	1,482	6.0%
\$1,900 to \$2,099	750	5.9%	131	4.2%	138	3,1%	48	4.0%	165	5.0%	1,231	5.0%
\$2,100 to \$2,299	677	5.3%	99	3.1%	117	2.7%	42	3.5%	165	5.0%	1,100	4.4%
\$2,300 to \$2,499	640	5.1%	90	2.9%	97	2.2%	48	4.0%	113	3.4%	988	4.0%
\$2,500 or more	2,046	16.2%	274	8.7%	163	3.7%	101	8.4%	217	6.5%	2,802	11.3%
Don't know/Refused	885	7.0%	303	9.7%	281	6.4%	89	7.4%	184	5.5%	1,742	7.0%
Total	12,664	100.0%	3,132	100.0%	4,393	100.0%	1,201	100.0%	3,333	100.0%	24,723	100.0%

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020



Figure 8a. Current Monthly Housing Payment by Tenure (Owners), 2014 and 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey



Figure 8b. Currently Monthly Housing Payment by Tenure (Renters), 2014 and 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey

FINANCIAL CHARACTERISTICS OF APPLICANTS

The present study examined various financial characteristics of applicants, including household income, HUD income levels, savings, and anticipated down payment amounts. These variables are important in determining the ability of an applicant to obtain the necessary financing to build or purchase a home on DHHL land.

INCOME

Figure 9 compares the annual household income among DHHL applicants with that of applicants from the 2014 study. Overall, the annual household income of DHHL applicants has increased. The percentage of applicants with household income in the lower categories has decreased while applicants earning household income greater than \$100,000 has increased. The annual median income for applicant households is \$79,762, slightly lower than the statewide median household income (\$88,006.)



Figure 9. Applicant Household Income, 2014 and 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

The annual household income of applicants gathered during the last four iterations of this study is shown in Figure 10. Since the first iteration, the percentage of lower-income (less than \$50,000) applicant households has declined sharply from 73 percent in 1994 to 41 percent in 2014. The trend has continued in 2020 and has further dropped to 28 percent. The next mid-range income category—\$50,000 to \$74,999 has remained relatively stable over the past 25 years at around 19 to 26 percent. It has slightly dropped from 26 percent to 22 percent in 2020. Applicants in the highest income category, earning \$75,000 or more per year, have been
increasing steadily upward, climbing from eight percent in 1995 to 33 percent in 2014 to 57 percent in 2020. In the current study, about 57 percent of applicants fell into this highest income category.



Figure 10. Applicant Household Income, 1994 - 2020

Another perspective of household income is the household income of those that will be moving to the homestead award by preferred location as shown in the table below:

		Oa	hu	M	aul	Hav	vaii	Ka	uai	Mol	okai	L	inai	typUn	known	Stat	ewide
	Household Income by tion Preference	Sum	Column Sum %	Sum	Column Sum %	Sum	Column Sum %	Sum	Column Sum %	Sum	Column Sum %	Sum	Column Sum %	Sum	Column Sum %	Sum	Column Sum %
n 2019,	Less than \$20,000	866	5.6%	612	7.8%	1020	8.6%	191	6.1%	179	12.5%	51	14.3%	121	20.6%	3041	7.5%
whatwas	\$20,000 to \$24,999	493	3.2%	176	2.2%	449	3.8%	171	5.4%	79	5.5%	5	1.5%	10	1.7%	1383	3.4%
the total income of all	\$25,000 to \$29,999	315	2.0%	168	2.1%	393	3.3%	63	20%	31	2.2%			11	1.9%	982	2.4%
the people in	\$30,000 to \$34,999	421	2.7%	273	3.5%	483	4.1%	110	3.5%	36	2.5%	10	2.9%	16	2.8%	1348	3 3%
your	\$35,000 to \$39,999	355	2.3%	291	3.7%	424	3.6%	122	3.9%	92	6.4%	10	2.9%	5	8%	1299	3.2%
Homestead	\$40,000 to \$44,999	664	4.3%	195	2.5%	527	4.4%	146	4.7%	77	5.4%	23	6.4%	10	1.7%	1641	4.0%
family?	\$45,000 to \$49,999	563	3.7%	300	38%	559	47%	117	3.7%	92	6.4%			10	1.8%	1641	4.0%
	\$50,000 to \$59,999	1193	7.8%	529	68%	933	7 9%	254	8.1%	125	8.8%	30	8.4%	61	10.4%	3126	7.7%
	\$60,000 to \$69,999	1081	7 0%	486	6.2%	878	7.4%	254	8.1%	130	9.1%	10	2.9%	64	10.9%	2904	7 2%
	\$70,000 to \$79,999	1077	7 0%	647	8.2%	969	8.2%	136	4.3%	92	6.4%	21	5.8%	5	.9%	2947	7.3%
	\$80,000 to \$89,999	800	52%	484	6.2%	824	6.9%	276	8.8%	76	5.3%	66	18.5%	35	6.0%	2562	6.3%
	\$90,000 to \$99,999	1110	7.2%	468	6.0%	606	5.1%	154	4.9%	112	7.8%	20	5.5%	41	6.9%	2510	6.2%
	\$100,000 to \$124,999	2971	19.3%	1463	18.7%	1772	14.9%	517	16.4%	158	11.1%	45	12.4%	101	17.3%	7027	17.3%
	\$125,000 to \$149,999	1204	7.8%	494	6.3%	760	6.4%	254	8.1%	65	4.5%	5	1.5%	56	9.6%	2837	7.0%
	\$150,000 to \$199,999	1293	8.4%	603	7.7%	785	5.6%	225	7.2%	25	1.7%	5	1.3%	30	5 1%	2966	7 3%
	\$200,000 or more	980	6.4%	653	8.3%	474	4 0%	154	4.9%	62	4.4%	57	15.9%	10	1.8%	2392	5.9%
	Total	15387	100.0%	7842	100.0%	11857	100 0%	3146	100.0%	1431	100 0%	359	100.0%	587	100 0%	40607	100.0%
Median H	Household Income	\$	88,301	\$	85,045	\$	72,704	\$	80,244	\$	60,367	\$	82,716	\$	67,749	\$	79,965

Table 12: Homestead Household Income by Preferred Location

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020, There are applicant duplicates because some applicants are on more than one list.

HUD INCOME CATEGORIES

The Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) sets income limits that determine eligibility for assisted housing programs including the Public Housing, Section 8 project-based, Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher, Section 202 housing for the elderly, and Section 811 housing for persons with disabilities programs. HUD develops income limits based on Median Family Income estimates and Fair Market Rent area definitions for each metropolitan area, parts of some metropolitan areas, and each non-metropolitan county.²

HUD area median income (AMI) guidelines take into consideration both applicants' household size and annual household income by geographic areas. This is an important distinction for Native Hawaiian families that tend to have larger households. In 2020, the percent of applicant households below 80 percent of HUD AMI level is back up to 51 percent. Households below 80 percent of HUD AMI level is back up to 51 percent. Households below 80 percent of DHHL because it can apply for grants from NAHASDA³ to better serve these households.

Increases in the percentage of applicant households below 80 percent of HUD median were evident for all islands except for Lāna'i. Results for Lāna'i and Moloka'i tend to fluctuate more dramatically than the other islands due to small sample sizes.

² https://www.huduser.gov/portal/datasets/il.html

³ NAHASDA stands for Native American Housing Assistance and Self Determination Act. It is a program funded through the U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development. Originally passed in 1996, NAHASDA serves the affordable housing needs of American Indians and Alaskan Natives. In 2000, NAHASDA added Title VIII for Native Hawaiians which consists of a block grant going directly to the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (DHHL).



Figure 11. Percent of Applicants Below 80% HUD Median Income Guidelines, 2003 to 2020

In Hawai'i, households with incomes below 140 percent of HUD median income will have a challenging time qualifying for a mortgage for a market-priced home. Households below 80 percent of HUD AMI may qualify for HUD and/or NAHADA programs.

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

	Oa	Oahu	Maui	ui	Hawaii	vaii	Ka	Kauai	Mol	Molokai	La	Lanai	Out o	Out of State	To	Total
	Count Pct.	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.
HUD income categories	categori	es														
Less than 30%	1,686	13.3%	439	17.3%	730	16.6%	184	15.3%	155	28.6%	16	27.3%	284	8.5%	3,495	14.1%
30-50%	1,931	15.2%	305	12.0%	398	9.1%	172	14.4%	78	14.3%	5	9.1%	340	10.2%	3,230	13.1%
50-60%	1,791	14.1%	278	11.0%	133	3.0%	89	7.4%	78	14.3%	10	18.2%	397	11.9%	2,775	11.2%
60-80%	1,416	11.2%	260	10.3%	787	17.9%	155	12.9%	57	10.5%	5	9.1%	350	10.5%	3,029	12.3%
80-120%	1,848	14.6%	390	15.4%	776	17.7%	208	17.3%	83	15.2%	5	9.1%	544	16.3%	3,854	15.6%
120-140%	1,343	10.6%	260	10.3%	342	7.8%	125	10.4%	10	1.9%	5	9.1%	284	8.5%	2,369	9.6%
140-180%	1,208	9.5%	296	11.7%	613	14.0%	125	10.4%	31	5.7%	5	9.1%	487	14.6%	2,764	11.2%
More than 180%	1,442	11.4%	305	12.0%	613	14.0%	143	11.9%	52	9.5%	5	9.1%	648	19.4%	3,207	13.0%
Total	12,664	100.0%	2,531	2,531 100.0%	4,393	100.0%	1,201	100.0%	544	100.0%	57	100.0%	3,333	100.0%	24,723	100.0%

Table 13. HUD Income Categories by Island, 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

The following figure shows the number and type of housing unit needed by HUD Income level by island of residence (except for out-of-state applicants). Note that each applicant is counted only one time even though they may be on more than one list Overall 24,753 units are needed with the majority (71%) desiring a single-family ownership unit. Many of those classified as rentals are "rent with the option to buy" category. DHHL applicants are overwhelmingly seeking a single-family dwelling with land they can own.

			То	tal Units I	Needed for	DHHL Applic	ants		
				HUD Inco	me Classific	ation			1
	LT 30	30 to 50	50 to 60	60 to 80	80 to 120	120 to 140	140 to 180	180+	Total
State of Hawaii	3,499	3,234	2,779	3,033	3,859	2,372	2,768	3,211	24,753
Ownership Units	2,148	2,320	2,005	2,305	2,842	1,773	2,089	2,453	17,936
Single-Family	2,132	2,292	1,969	2,272	2,813	1,735	2,055	2,421	17,689
Multi-Family	16	28	36	32	30	38	34	33	246
Rental Units	1,351	914	774	728	1,016	599	678	757	6,817
Single-Family	512	366	240	289	312	132	141	120	2,113
Multi-Family	839	547	534	439	704	467	537	637	4,704
Honolulu	1,864	2,113	2,053	1,569	2,115	1,468	1,346	1,638	14,166
Ownership Units	1,096	1,550	1,474	1,231	1,548	1,088	1,046	1,301	10,333
Single-Family	1,095	1,527	1,453	1,210	1,532	1,058	1,022	1,281	10,179
Multi-Family	0	23	21	21	15	29	25	20	154
Rental Units	768	563	579	338	568	380	300	337	3,832
Single-Family	304	278	176	132	166	77	66	52	1,250
Multi-Family	464	286	403	206	402	303	234	285	2,582
Maui	672	454	466	384	597	328	460	580	3,940
Ownership Units	451	339	346	270	446	261	376	434	2,924
Single-Family	441	340	341	270	437	257	372	425	2,883
Multi-Family	10	0	5	0	9	4	4	9	41
Rental Units	221	115	120	114	151	67	84	146	1,017
Single-Family	63	27	37	27	34	10	10	21	230
Multi-Family	158	88	83	86	117	56	74	125	787
Hawaii	774	465	133	887	915	437	784	827	5,222
Ownership Units	465	280	84	656	646	301	538	587	3,558
Single-Family	459	276	79	651	641	297	533	583	3,517
Multi-Family	6	5	6	6	5	5	5	4	41
Rental Units	309	185	49	231	268	136	247	240	1,126
Single-Family	131	40	21	117	103	45	52	29	537
Multi-Family	178	145	28	113	166	91	195	211	1,127
Kauai	189	201	127	193	232	139	177	167	1,425
Ownership Units	137	150	101	147	203	123	129	131	1,121
Single-Family	137	150	96	141	203	123	129	131	1,110
Multi-Family	0	0	5	6	0	0	0	0	10
Rental Units	52	51	27	46	29	17	48	35	304
Single-Family	14	22	6	13	9	0	13	19	96
Multi-Family	38	29	21	33	20	17	35	16	208

Table 14. Total Units Needed for DHHL Applicants

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020. Rental units include Single-family rent with option to buy, and Condo or Townhouse Rental unite with option to buy. HUD classification by island of residence, except for Out-of-State then application island is used.

Types of Assistance from Government Programs Received

Individuals and households with lower household income may be eligible for different types of assistance programs. Table 14a shows the types of assistance received by DHHL applicant households. Twenty-one percent of DHHL applicants receive assistance from at least one of the following programs: Section 8, Rental Assistance, Public Assistance (TANF), SNAP/Food Stamps, or Women, Infant, Child Program (WIC). Another 12 percent of applicants are unsure or refused to respond to this question. Being eligible for one of these programs is an indicator that the household may not have the financial means to qualify for a turn-key housing award.

Among those who received at least one type of assistance from any of these programs, SNAP/Food Stamps is the most accessed assistance program (83.4%). The second most assistance program is WIC. Approximately one in four applicants say they received assistance from this program (23%). Only about 16 percent and seven percent of applicants reported that they received housing assistance.

	Count	Pct.
Section 8	852	16.1%
Rental Assistance	382	7.2%
Public Assistance (TANF)	512	9.7%
SNAP/Food Stamps	4,408	83.4%
WIC	1,218	23.0%
Number of applicants receiving assistance	5,287	21.4%
None of these	16,599	67.1%
Don't know/Refused	2,837	11.5%
All applicants	24,723	100.0%

Table 14a. Types of Assistance Re	ceived, 2020
-----------------------------------	--------------

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

Table 14b summarizes the number of types of assistance that applicants received. Among all recipients, 69 percent of them received only one type of assistance with another 24 percent of them received two types of assistance. Applicants receiving three or more types of assistance are rare as expected (7%).

Table 14b. Number of Types of Assistance Received, 2020

	Count	Pct.
Only 1 type	3,635	68.8%
2 types	1,288	24.4%
3 types	316	6.0%
4 types	26	0.5%
5 types	22	0.4%
Total	5,287	100.0%

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

PREVIOUS AWARD OFFERS

Most of the households in the current DHHL applicants' list believe they have never been offered a Homestead Land Award (63.9%). As in prior iterations of the study, applicants do not seem to recognize when they are given an opportunity to consider a homestead award.

Of those that believe they have been given an opportunity for an award. approximately threefourth of applicants (76%) have refused the award. The most noted reason for applicants who refused an award was the dislike of the award location (51%). The second reason stated was the applicant not ready to accept the award (35%). No savings for the down payment was the third most challenging issue for applicants who have been offered an award. Approximately one in four (25%) of applicants could not afford the down payment due to the lack of savings.

	Oa	ahu	M	aui	Ha	waii	Ka	uai	Mo	lokai	La	nai	Outo	State	To	otal
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.
Number of times being offere	d a Hom	estead le	ase aw	ard	(Production)		Caroline I.		Lexerol.						1000000	
None	7,641	67.8%	1,711	75.5%	1,619	44.8%	702	63.8%	378	74.5%	41	88.9%	1,811	61.3%	13,904	63.9%
1 time	1,692	15.0%	426	18.8%	623	17.3%	238	21.6%	73	14.3%	5	11.1%	454	15.4%	3,510	16.1%
2 limes	724	6.4%	99	4.3%	281	7.8%	48	4.3%	36	7.1%	0	0.0%	217	7.4%	1,404	6.5%
3 times	338	3.0%	18	8%	230	6.4%	30	2.7%	5	1.0%	0	0.0%	128	4.3%	749	3.4%
4 times	198	1.8%	D	0.0%	153	4.2%	18	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	76	2.6%	445	2.0%
5 to 9 times	385	3.4%	13	.6%	368	10.2%	42	3.8%	5	1.0%	0	0.0%	118	4.0%	931	4.3%
10+ times	291	2.6%	0	0.0%	337	9.3%	24	2.2%	10	2.0%	Ö	0.0%	151	5.1%	814	3.7%
Total	11,269	100.0%	2,267	100.0%	3.611	100.0%	1,100	100.0%	508	100.0%	47	100.0%	2,955	100.0%	21,756	100.0%
Number of times turned down	a Home	stead lea	ase awa	ard ¹	_					-			-			
None	859	28.4%	90	24.7%	332	18.9%	119	35.7%	41	34.8%	5	100.0%	142	14.0%	1,588	24.0%
1 time	822	27.2%	193	53.1%	358	20.3%	83	25.0%	41	34.8%	0	0.0%	312	30.7%	1,809	27 4%
2 times	422	14.0%	58	16.0%	199	11.3%	48	14.3%	21	17.4%	0	0.0%	175	17.2%	922	
3 times	229	7.6%	13	3.7%	184	10.5%	12	3.6%	ō	0.0%	0	0.0%	104	10.2%	542	
4 times	141	4.7%	0	0.0%	133	7 6%	18	5.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	71	7.0%	362	5.5%
5 to 9 times	302	10.0%	9	2.5%	281	16.0%	30	8.9%	10	8.7%	0	0.0%	95	9.3%	726	
10+times	245	8.1%	0	0.0%	271	15.4%	24	7.1%	5	4.3%	0	0.0%	118	11.6%	663	
Total		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%	1.1.2	100.0%	1966	100.0%
Number of times could not gu	- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1- 1-	1.0.01.0.14			111.02							1941910	110.04	100.010		,
None	1,489	56.2%	170	57.6%	720	52.4%	196	73.3%	41	53.3%	5	100.0%	577	69.3%	3,199	58.1%
1 time	521	19.6%	103	34.8%	209	15.2%	30	11.1%		40.0%	0	0.0%	95	11.4%	988	
2 times																
	291	11.0%	13	4.5%	77	5.6%	12	4.4%	0	0.0%	D	0.0%	38	4.5%	431	7.8%
3 times	68	2.6%	4	1.5%	66	4.8%	12	4.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	47	5.7%	198	3.6%
4 times	52	2.0%	0	0.0%	61	4.5%	12	4.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	19	2.3%	144	2.6%
5 to 9 times	125	4.7%	4	1.5%	97	7 1%	0	0.0%	5	6.7%	0	0.0%	28	3.4%	260	4.7%
10+ times	104	3.9%	0	0.0%	143	10.4%	6	2.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	28	3.4%	281	5.1%
Total	2,649	100.0%	296	100.0%	1,374	100.0%	268	100.0%	78	100.0%	5	100.0%	832	100.0%	5,502	100.0%
Reasons for turning down las	award ²	2			-						-					
Was not ready to accept	895	36.0%	94	31.8%	383	25.0%	71	29.3%	26	31.3%	0	0.0%	482	49.3%	1,952	34.7%
Did not like the location of award	1,192	47.9%	134	45.5%	1,006	65.7%	125	51.2%	16	18.8%	0	0.0%	392	40.1%	2,865	50.9%
Did not like the unit offered	302	12.1%	31	10.6%	317	20.7%	18	7,3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	137	14.0%	805	14.3%
Income too low to qualify for a mortgage	593	23.8%	72	24.2%	429	28,0%	59	24.4%	10	12.5%	0	0.0%	123	12.6%	1,287	22.9%
No savings for down payment	609	24.5%	81	27.3%	475	31.0%	59	24.4%	16	18.8%	0	0.0%	161	16.4%	1,400	24.9%
Price too high	344	13.8%	63	21.2%	450	29.3%	36	14.6%	21	25.0%	0	0.0%	118	12.1%	1,030	18:3%
Would have to relocate and find a new job	474	19 0%	27	9.1%	398	26.0%	12	4.9%	5	6.3%	0	0.0%	336	34.3%	1,252	
Other	370	14.9%	40	13.6%	169	11.0%	36	14.6%	26	31.3%	0	0.0%	165	16.9%	805	14.3%
Don't know/Refused	68	2.7%	13	4.5%	36	2.3%	24	9.8%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	9	1.0%	155	2.8%
Total	2 488	100.0%	296	100.0%	1.532	100.0%	1.52	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%	979	100.0%	5.627	

Table 15. Qualification for a Homestead Land Award, 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020 Base is the number of applicants who received at least one offer and responded to this question

²Base is the number of applicants who received at least one offer and turned down the award and responded to this question

APPLICANT PERSPECTIVES

The 2020 survey had several questions designed to gather information from applicants regarding their current community, their plans for the award, and their interactions with DHHL.

PERSPECTIVE OF CURRENT COMMUNITY

Overall, respondents have a good perception of their current community – residents look out for each other, they feel safe, and they know and trust their neighbors. Even though respondents believe that communities work better with strong resident participation, relatively few regularly participate in community activities.



Figure 12. Current Community Perceptions

When they receive and accept an award, the long-term hope is that their family lives in that Homestead community for generations (71%). Thirty-eight percent of respondents strongly agree that they would like to live in a DCCR community, and 40 percent agree. The area that DHHL could improve is increasing awareness of programs to assist applicants to finance their home on a homestead.



Figure 13. Hopes for DHHL Community

INTENTIONS FOR A FUTURE AWARD

Similar to the last iteration of the study, the majority of applicants (93%) state they intend to pass their DHHL lease to their children or relatives. Just 1.5 percent of applicants claimed they planned to "just hold on to it. On the other hand, less than 2 percent in total stated that they would either return it to DHHL, sell it to someone else, or transfer it to someone else.

Intention for the Award in the Future	Count	Column N %
Total	24723	100.0%
Pass it on to my children or relatives	22923	92.7%
Return it back to DHHL	137	.6%
Sell it to someone else	159	.6%
Transfer it to someone else	337	1.4%
Just hold on to it	387	1.6%
Don't know/Refused	917	3.7%
Other	79	.3%
% NH of Child or Relative		
Total	22923	100.0%
Less than 12 1/2%	425	1.9%
12 ½ to 24%	1856	8.1%
25 to 49%	13258	57.8%
50% or more	6356	27.7%
Don't know/Refused	863	3.8%
Other	166	.7%

Table 16: Intention for the Award in the Future

Over 85 percent of the children/relative to whom an award might be given are more than 25 percent Native Hawaiian.

SERVICE PROVIDERS

Applicants were asked if their households had applied and/or received a service from a list of Native Hawaiian organizations. More than half of the applicants reported that they did not apply and/or receive any service from a NHO (56%). Among those who had applied and/or received a service, close to two-thirds of the services were provided from Kamehameha Schools (65%). The second most accessed Native Hawaiian organization was Alu Like (19%), followed by Office of Hawaiian Affairs (18%) and Queen Lili'uokalani Trust (16%).

ALTERNATIVE USAGE OF LANDS UNSUITABLE FOR HOUSING

For lands that are unsuitable for housing, close to half of the applicants prefer DHHL to consider using those as Mālama 'Āina, or natural resource managed area (48%). Another popular alternative is to use those lands for cultural activities (45%), followed by family gathering spaces (42%) and community gardens (41%). Only 16 percent of applicants have no preferences with another 6 percent of them preferring something other than our list of suggestions.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH DHHL

Survey participants were asked to consider all of the interactions they had with DHHL within the past year. They were then asked to rate the nature of their communications on a scale from excellent to poor. Slightly more than half of all applicants noted that they had not had any communication with DHHL within the past year (54%) similar to 2014.

Among those who had interacted with DHHL in the last year, around 17 percent rated their experience as excellent, down from 28 percent in 2014. They felt that DHHL representatives were truly striving to be helpful. Three out of ten applicants who had communicated with DHHL in the past year rated their efforts as good and felt that they did a satisfactory job (30.8%), this too is down from 40 percent in 2014. DHHL received a fair rating from 33 percent of applicants, who indicated that DHHL representatives did not go out of their way to be helpful up from 23 percent in 2014. Finally, about 20 percent of applicants felt that DHHL did not care about their problems and rated their experiences as poor higher than the 9 percent in 2014.

Table 17. Applicants' Perspective, 2020

	Hon	olulu	M	aui	Ha	wali	Ka	uai	Out o	fState	To	tal
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.
Intention with house or land in the future)											
Pass it on to my children or relatives	11,810	93.3%	2,929	93.5%	3,918	89.2%	1,124	93.6%	2,964	88.9%	22,746	92.0%
Return it back to DHHL	57	.5%	4	1%	41	.9%	6	.5%	28	.9%	137	.6%
Sell it to someone else	68	.5%	4	.1%	20	.5%	0	0.0%	47	1.4%	140	.6%
Transfer it to someone else	161	1.3%	32	1.0%	66	1.5%	6	.5%	57	1.7%	323	1.3%
Just hold on to it	161	1.3%	45	1.5%	82	1.9%	18	1.5%	76	2,3%	382	1.5%
Don't know/Refused	380	3.0%	107	3.4%	255	5,8%	24	2.0%	151	4.5%	917	3.7%
Other	26	.2%	10	.3%	10	.2%	24	2.0%	9	.3%	79	.3%
Total	12,664	100.0%	3,132	100.0%	4,393	100.0%	1,201	100.0%	3,333	100.0%	24,723	100.0%
In the past five years, have you or a men	mber of yo	ur house	ehold ap	plied and	d/or rec	eived a s	service	from a N	ative Ha	waiian o	rganizati	on?
Office of Hawaiian Affairs	578	15.4%	177	17.7%	286	20.3%	71	20.0%	118	27.8%	1,231	17.7%
Kamehameha Schools	2,603	69.4%	644	64.3%	802	56.9%	226	63.3%	246	57.8%	4,520	65.1%
Queen Lili'uokalani Trust	489	13.1%		29.8%	281	19.9%	30	8.3%	19	4.4%	1,117	16.1%
Native Hawaiian Chamber of Commerce	52	1.4%	14	1.4%	0	0.0%	12	3.3%	5	1.1%	83	1.2%
Native Hawalian Healthcare Centers	120	3.2%	83	8.3%	41	2.9%	42	11.7%	5	1.1%	290	4.2%
Alu Like	677	18.1%	1.1.1.1	17.3%	378	26.8%	71	20.0%	43	10.0%	1,342	19.3%
Lunalilo Trust	47	1.3%		.9%	10	.7%	6	1.7%		0.0%	72	1.0%
A Hawaiian Civic Club	250	6.7%	1000	6.1%	51	3.6%	24	6.7%		12.2%	438	6.3%
Hawaiian focused Charter School	245	6.5%	1 200	3.4%	123	8.7%	89	25.0%	5	1.1%	495	7.1%
Hawaiian language program	396	10.6%		15.9%	163	11.6%		13.3%	0	8.9%	803	11.6%
Other	375	10.0%	73	7.3%	87	6.2%	42	11.7%	57	13.3%	633	9.1%
Subtotal	5.830	46.0%	1,725	55.1%	2,222	50.6%	660	55.0%	586	17.6%	11.023	44.6%
Did not apply or receive any service	7.058	55.7%		50.9%	2,288	52.1%	-	58.4%	-	68.8%	13,935	56.4%
Don't know/Refused	1,858	14.7%	537	17.2%	695	15.8%	10.00	11.9%	1.2.2.2.3	18.4%	3,848	15.6%
Grand total	12,664	100.0%		100.0%	4.393	100.0%	1.1	100.0%	1.0.000	100.0%	1.1.1.1.1.1.1	100.0%
Alternative Land Use	1. 100/01/01	1331313	1 -11-2-1				1 10-11	1.11.5104	1	1.50000000		
Malama 'Aina (natural resource managed	6,142	48.5%	1,510	48.2%	2,110	48.0%	565	47.0%	1.617	48.5%	11,943	48.3%
area)	0,142	40,3%	1,510	40.270	2,110			1.1.1.1.1	1.00			
Cultural Activities	5,595	44.2%	10000	46.2%	2,018	45.9%	1112223	44.1%	1.0.00	42.6%	11,007	44.5%
Community Garden	5,023	39.7%	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	42.6%	1,834	41.7%		45.5%	0.55	38.4%	10,020	40.5%
Commercial Uses	1,817	14.3%	352	11.2%	577	13.1%	238	19.8%	288	8.7%	3,272	13.2%
Family Gathering Spaces	5,231	41.3%		45.6%	1.977	45.0%		48.0%	1.1.1.1.1.1.1	37.7%	10,470	42.3%
Light Industrial	1,067	8.4%	309	9.9%	450	10.2%	143	11,9%	217	6.5%	2,186	8.8%
Other	614	4.8%	157	5.0%	271	6.2%	77	6.4%	137	4.1%	1,256	5.1%
None of these	765	6.0%	210	6.7%	352	8.0%	71	5,9%	279	8.4%	1,677	6.8%
Don't know/Refused	2,207	17.4%	493	15.7%	649	14.8%	125	10.4%	553	16.6%	4.027	16.3%
Total	12,664	100.0%		100.0%	4,393	100.0%		100.0%				
Considering all your interactions with Di	HHL in the	last yea	r, which	stateme	nt below	w best d	escribes	s your co	mmunio	cations w	ith them	1?
Excellent, they really try to help.	906	7.2%	171	5.5%	220	5.0%	101	8.4%	269	8.1%	1,667	6.7%
Good, they do their jobs pretty well.	1,499	11.8%	342	10.9%	511	11.6%	196	16.3%	463	13.9%	3,011	12.2%
Fair, they don't go out of their way to help.	1,608	12.7%	454	14.5%	695	15.8%	172	14.4%	265	7.9%	3,195	12.9%
Poor, they don't care about my problems.	989	7.8%	330	10.5%	419	9.5%	95	7.9%	80	2.4%	1,913	7.7%
Haven't spoken with DHHL in past year	6,881	54.3%	1,610	51.4%	2,248	51.2%	535	44.6%	2,080	62.4%	13,354	54.0%
Don't know/Refused	781	6.2%	224	7.2%	301	6.9%	101	8.4%	175	5.2%	1,583	6.4%
Total	12,664	100.0%	3,132	100.0%	4,393	100.0%	1,201	100.0%	3,333	100.0%	24,723	100.0%

Technology Usage

Overall, DHHL applicants are a fairly technology savvy group. Approximately 90.6 percent of applicants and their household members use a digital device to send e-mail regularly or access websites on the internet. Only 5.4 percent of applicants responded that no one in their households uses a computer or any devices to access the internet or send e-mail, a 2.5 percentage points decline since 2014.

Knowing that DHHL applicants typically have access to a computer and understand how to go online to check their email or access various websites allows DHHL to utilize this means of communicating with applicants in the future. The types of devices being used are mostly smartphones (87.9%), desktop and/or laptop computers (63.7%), and tablets (54.1%).

	Hone	ululu	Ma	ui	Haw	all	Kai	uai	Out of s	State	Tota	al
	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.	Count	Pct.
Use a device to send e-m	alls or acc	cess web	sites on th	ne internet								
Me alone	1,712	13.5%	437	13.9%	782	17.8%	161	13.4%	473	14.2%	3,564	14.4%
Me and others	8,490	67.0%	1,981	63.2%	2,610	59.4%	797	66.3%	2,425	72.8%	16,302	65,9%
Others, not me	1,421	11,2%	331	10.6%	465	10.6%	107	8.9%	203	6.1%	2,527	10.2%
No one	588	4.6%	228	7.3%	296	6.7%	89	7.4%	132	4.0%	1,334	5.4%
Don't Know/Refused	453	3.6%	156	5.0%	240	5.5%	48	4.0%	99	3.0%	996	4.0%
Total	12,664	100.0%	3,132	100.0%	4,393	100.0%	1,201	100.0%	3,333	100.0%	24,723	100.0%
Use a device to send e-m	ails or act	cess web	sites on th	ne internet	(overall)							
No one	588	4.6%	228	7.3%	296	6.7%	89	7.4%	132	4.0%	1,334	5.4%
PC, Laptop, Smartphone, Tablet, Other	11,623	91.8%	2,748	87.7%	3,857	87.8%	1,064	88.6%	3,101	93.0%	22,393	90.6%
Don't know	453	3.6%	156	5.0%	240	5.5%	48	4.0%	99	3.0%	996	4.0%
Total	12,664	100.0%	3,132	100.0%	4,393	100.0%	1,201	100.0%	3,333	100.0%	24,723	100.0%
Types of devices being us	sed ¹								_			
Desktop computer	7,318	63.0%	1,662	60.5%	2,258	58.5%	654	61.5%	2,364	76.2%	14,256	63.7%
Smartphone	10,395	89.4%	2,390	87.0%	3,315	86.0%	951	89.4%	2,624	84.6%	19,675	87.9%
Tablet	6,309	54.3%	1,408	51.2%	1,987	51.5%	618	58.1%	1,787	57.6%	12,109	54.1%
Other specify	1,452	12.5%	281	10.2%	460	11.9%	83	7.8%	364	11.7%	2,640	11.8%
Don't Know/Refused	177	1.5%	55	2.0%	92	2.4%	6	0.6%	47	1.5%	377	1.7%
Total	11,623	100.0%	2,748	100.0%	3,857	100.0%	1,064	100.0%	3,101	100.0%	22,393	100.0%

Table 18. Use of Technology, 2020

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

Note:/1 Respondents could select more than one options.

Page 38

CAN APPLICANTS AFFORD THE HOUSE THEY DESIRE?

As noted earlier, 54 percent of all residential applicants would like a turn-key house as their DHHL award. The following is an example of the financing that would be required based on the lowest price turn-key house at DHHL's Kapolei development.

The lowest priced house in March 2020 was two-bedroom, two bath, 755 square foot unit with a base sales price of \$246,000.

- Assuming a 20 percent down payment of \$49,200 that is preferred by financial institutions, the remaining mortgage amount of \$196,800 would require a monthly payment of \$1,113 PMI at the current 30-year fixed rate of 3%.
- Assuming a 10 percent down payment of \$24,686 that is accepted by some financial institutions, the remaining mortgage amount of \$221,315 would require a monthly payment of \$1,308 PMI for the first 49 months at the current 30-year fixed rate of 3%.
- Assuming no down payment, the full amount of \$246,000 would need to be financed. The monthly payment required would be \$1,423 PMI.

Note that the examples may not necessarily be approved for funding by a financial institution, but are provided for example purposes only.

SMS has developed a model to better estimate the number of DHHL households that could potentially qualify for financing the described unit. The following table has characteristics of the three groups.

	Three Categories ba	sed on Likelihood to Q	ualify for Financing
Characteristics	Less Qualified	May be Qualified	Likely to be Qualified
Estimated Number of Households	12,326 (50%)	3,688 (15%)	8,709 (35%)
Homeownership	Most rent (77%), few own (23%)	Some own (39%) 61% rent	Most Own (89%)
Median Monthly Housing cost	\$1,198	\$1,891	\$1,890
Median HH Income	\$50,772	\$116,276	\$106,919
Median Monthly amount available for housing (33%)	\$1,396	\$3,197	\$2,940
Never applied for a Mortgage	60%	40%	21%
Applied & received a Mortgage	30% applied & received a mortgage	51% applied & received a mortgage	74% applied & received a mortgage
Employment	30% no one employed fulltime	At least one adult employed fulltime	24% no one. Most have at least one adult employed fulltime
Percent age 65+	33%	20%	45%

Table 19. Characteristics of Applicants based on Likelihood to Qualify to Finance the lowest price DHHL Turn-key House

The three categories are: Less Qualified, May be Qualified and Likely to be Qualified. Note that there are many ways to segment applicants – we believe this is a simple method to highlight the differences based on ability to financially accept a DHHL Turn-key award. Based on the criteria

above the likelihood to qualify for financing may be very difficult for the *Less Qualified* 50 percent of applicant households (12,326) and uncertain for the *May be Qualified* 15 percent of applicant households (3,688). 8,709 households (35%) could be very *Likely to Qualify* for financing.

					Qualification	Segments		-	
		Less 0	Qualified	Maybe (Qualified	Likely to b	e Qualified	То	tal
		Count	Column N %	Count	Column N %	Count	Column N %	Count	Column N 9
First choice o	of Lot with water, electricity and sewer, but no house	2189	18.9%	836	23.7%	2121	26.2%	5146	22.2%
property	Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it)	5887	50.8%	2133	60.5%	4476	55.3%	12496	53,9%
would most likely to	Single-family house to rent with option to buy	1480	12.8%	188	5.3%	401	5.0%	2070	8.9%
receive	Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex	70	.6%	15	:4%	49	.6%	135	6%
	Condominium apartment (Multi-family building)	36	.3%	36	1.0%	35	496	106	.5%
	Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	121	1.0%	20	6%	55	.7%	197	.8%
	Apartment suited for senior citizens	388	3.4%	42	1.2%	198	2.5%	628	2.7%
	An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list	400	3.5%	40	1.1%	56	7%	496	2.1%
	Don't know/Refused	1010	8.7%	218	6.2%	697	8.6%	1925	8.3%
	Total	11581	100.0%	3528	100.0%	8090	100.0%	23199	100.0%

Table 20. F	First Choice for	an Award by	Qualification	Segment
-------------	------------------	-------------	----------------------	---------

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

The Less Qualified applicants are primarily renters (77%) with relatively low household income. The majority have never applied for a mortgage therefore are likely not familiar with the process. Thirty percent of these households have no one who is employed fulltime. The first choice for this group is a *Tum-key house* (51%) that may be difficult for them to finance. Nineteen percent would like a *lot with utilities, but no house*, and 13 percent would like a *single-family house to rent with option to buy*. As a first-choice seven percent would like *an apartment suited for senior citizens* (3%) or *an affordable rental unit* (4%). The percentage of Less Qualified applicants who want these two options increases at their second and third choice (5% for apartment for seniors, and 13 percent for *an affordable rental unit*). The challenge for this segment of applicants is to provide the level of financial assistance they may need to get the housing unit they desire, and also to help them understand the challenge of qualifying for different options, and how they can get an award they want, but maybe not their first choice.

Likely to Qualify applicants were generally those who currently own their home (89%) and have paid off their mortgage (37%). Fifty-five percent have lived in their home for more than 20 years, suggesting a higher level of home equity. The majority currently live in a single-family house (87%). Most applicants in this segment would like a *Turn-key award* (56%) followed by a *lot with utilities but no house* (26%). The challenge for DHHL to meet the needs of this segment is they are more likely to be picky about location because most of them already have a house in a community they like.

The remainder of the applicants (15%) was categorized as May be Qualified. This group tends to be younger than the other two groups. They have reasonably high household income, and already are spending more for housing. For members of this segment, their ability to afford a DHHL home may be related to the down payment requirement. Sixty-one percent of this group selected a *Turn-key house* as their first choice, followed by 24 percent desiring *a lot with utilities but no house.* Consideration should be given to targeting this group for the pilot down payment assistance program.

To meet the needs of applicants for housing, DHHL will have to not only provide a mix of housing types at different levels of monthly cost, but also will need to work with applicants so they better understand the likelihood of being able to finance the different types of housing. An

applicant with their heart set on a Turn-key home will not accept a Senior Apartment if they continue to believe they can someday get their new Turn-key house.

COMPARISONS BETWEEN LOCAL AND OUT-OF-STATE APPLICANTS

An interesting group of applicants are those that live out-of-state. They make up 13 percent of the applicant total. The question is whether this group is similar or different from applicants who are residents of Hawai'i.

AGE

Figure 14 presents the distribution of age groups among residents and out-of-state applicants. The majority of the local applicants lie in the younger age range compared to the out-of-state applicants. The most notable differences among the two groups of applicants are the age range of 65 to 74 and 75 or older. The out-of-state applicants who are older than 65 are about 15 percentage points higher than the local applicants. The median age for out-of-state applicants is about 58 years old. In contrast, the median age for out-of-state applicants is five years older at age 63.



Figure 14. Comparisons of Age

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

HOUSEHOLD SIZE

Out-of-state applicants' household size tends to be smaller. Slightly more than half of the out-ofstate applicants have a household size of 1 to 2 people (51.8%). Only about 32.5 percent of local applicants have a similar household size. Approximately 35 percent of local applicants have 5 or more members in their households, close to twice the number of out-of-state applicants (18.5%). The average household size for the local applicants and out-of-state applicants are 4.11 persons and 3.16 persons, respectively.



Figure 15. Comparisons of Household Size

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

NUMBER OF ADULTS EMPLOYED FULL-TIME

Out-of-state applicants are significantly more likely to have no adults working full time. Given the age differences most of this group are likely to be retired.



Figure 16. Comparisons of Number of Adults Employed Full-time

Source: DHHL Applicant Survey 2020

In discussions with some of these applicants, many wanted to return to communities where they were raised but were not sure they could afford to buy a home, and whether a community they wanted to live in would have awards available. They are comfortable in their current home and community and see being on the DHHL list a way to maintain their connection with Hawai'i. It is unclear what type of award this group would really be willing to accept that would have them move back to Hawai'i.

APPENDIX A - HOMESTEAD LOCATIONS



Figure A-1. Map of DHHL Homesteads, O'ahu

DHHL Applicant Survey Report, 2020 SMS

Page 46 December 2020

Page 47 December 2020



Figure A-2. Map of DHHL Homesteads, Maui County

© DHHL Applicant Survey Report, 2020 © SMS



Figure A-3. Map of DHHL Homesteads, Kaua'i





APPENDIX B - SURVEY INSTRUMENT

	140	
DAVID Y. IGE COMBINE STATL OF DOWNER		WILLIAM J. AILA JR CHARANN
JOSH GREEN LB CAPULINEW (TATE OF DAWAR)		TYLER I COMES
	STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF HAWAIIAN HOM F.O. BOX 1871 HOWBLULD HAWAII 18885	E LANDS
	September 18, 2020	
<first_name> <l <street> <city>, <state></state></city></street></l </first_name>	LAST_NAME> <suffix> <zip></zip></suffix>	
Dear Hawaiian Hon	me Lands Applicant:	
manage and make native Hawaiian ber every five years. Th an applicant. Pleas	nent of Hawaiian Home Lands (DHHL) e more of our Hawaiian Home Lands av ineficiaries. This study is conducted peri The first step is to gather some informati se fill out this questionnaire and send it be also complete the survey online at the f	vailable for productive use by our odically and occurs approximately on from everyone who is currently ack to us via the enclosed pre-paid
http://e-surve	ey.smshawaii.com/DHHLApplicantSu	rvey.html
Your access of	code:	
Research has been another survey is b you have any questi	esults will be used to make plans to bett a contracted to conduct the survey to gath being conducted with lessees. Individua tions regarding this survey, you can call F If you have questions regarding DHH -9480.	er information from applicants and I replies are <u>strictly confidential</u> . If aith Sereno Rex of SMS Research
	ke the time to read the instructions and a you and return the completed survey by enclosed postage-paid envelo	October 9, 2020 in the
	w	/illiam J. Aila, Jr.
Enc.		

O'ahu Maui Hawa'i Kaua'i Molokeri Läna'i Residential 0<	O'ahu Mauai Hawai'i Kauai'i Molokati Läna'i Residential 0 <td< th=""><th>Do you currently live on DHHL land? YesO NoO What list(s) are you signed up for? (FILL IN THE CIRCLES FOR ALL THAT APPLY)</th><th>10. What is the total monthly payment for rent or mortgage for this housing unit? Home paid for, or no rent paid 0 Less than \$300 0 \$300 to \$499 0 \$500 to \$699 0</th></td<>	Do you currently live on DHHL land? YesO NoO What list(s) are you signed up for? (FILL IN THE CIRCLES FOR ALL THAT APPLY)	10. What is the total monthly payment for rent or mortgage for this housing unit? Home paid for, or no rent paid 0 Less than \$300 0 \$300 to \$499 0 \$500 to \$699 0
		O'ahu Maui Hawai'i Kaua'i Moloka'i Lāna'i Iential 0 0 0 0 0 0 uthural 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 oral 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Including yourself, how many people live in your 0 0 0 0 0	\$1,000 to \$1,199O \$1,200 to \$1,499O \$1,500 to \$1,699O \$1,700 to \$1,899O \$1,900 to \$2,099O
 5. How many families live in your household? 	 5. How many families live in your household? 	II people How many people in your household are related to you by birth, marriage, or adoption (hānai)?	\$2,300 to \$2,499
 5. How many years have you lived in this housing unit? 	 5. How many years have you lived in this housing unit? 		In this survey we define Homestead Family as all the people
Image:	Image:	unit? [] years How many bedrooms and bathrooms are in your	 If you were to move into a home on DHHL land, how many members of this household (including yourself), would move with you?
Single-family house 0 Townhouse, duplex, multiplex 0 Apartment 0 Condominium 0 Public assisted housing 0 Other (specify below) 0 Own 0 Rent 0 Sharing with others, no rent 0	Single-family house 0 Townhouse, duplex, multiplex 0 Apartment 0 Condominium 0 Public assisted housing 0 Other (specify below) 0 Image: Do you own or rent your current home? 14. How many bedrooms and bathrooms will y your new home? Image: Do you own or rent your current home? Own 0 Rent 0 Sharing with others, no rent 0	bedrooms I bathrooms	are under 18 or over 70 years of age?
9. Do you own or rent your current home? 0. Own	9. Do you own or rent your current home? 0. Own	Single-family house O Townhouse, duplex, multiplex O Apartment O Condominium O Public assisted housing O	14. How many bedrooms and bathrooms will you need in your new home?
Occupy without rent payments	Occupy without rent paymentsO	Do you own or rent your current home? OwnO RentO Sharing with others, no rentO	employed full-time or part-time? (WRITE NUMBER IN BOX) (IF NO ONE IS EMPLOYED, PLEASE SKIP TO Q17.) [][] adults employed full-time
			I adults employed part-time

 Indicate whether any adults in your homestead family are employed in any of the following industries. Mark separately for full-time and part-time employment. (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY).

	Adults employed full-time	Aduits employed part-time
Agriculture, forestry, fishing, hunting, and mining	0	0
Construction	o	0
Retail trade	0	0
Transportation, warehousing, and utilities	0	0
Finance and insurance, real estate, rental and leasing	0	0
Professional, scientific, management, and administrative	0	0
Educational services	0	0
Health care and social assistance	0	0
Hotel, accommodations, and food services	0	0
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	0	0
Public administration/Government	0	0
Other services (specify):	0	0

17. Please mark how strongly you agree with the statements below. "Strongly Agree," "Agree," "Disagree," "Strongly Disagree," or "Don't Know."

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't know
Communities work better with strong resident participation	0	0	0	0	0
I regularly participate in my community activities.	0	0	0	0	0
The residents in my community share Hawaiian cultural values.	0	0	0	0	0
I feel safe in my community walking around in the day and night.	0	0	0	0	0
I know and trust my neighbors.	0	0	0	0	0
In our community we look out for each other.	0	0	0	0	0
I am aware of the programs to assist me in financing a house on DHHL land.	0	0	0	0	0
My hope is that my family lives in my future Homestead award for generations.	0	0	0	0	0
I do/will help organize my future Homestead community activities.	0	0	0	0	0
I would like to live in a Homestead community with established rules that everyone follows (a DCCR community).	0	0	0	0	Ó

20.

18. In 2019, what was the total income of all the people in your Homestead family?

Perfection James contraction and a second	
Less than \$20,000	0
\$20,000 to \$24,999	0
\$25,000 to \$29,999	0
\$30,000 to \$34,999	0
\$35,000 to \$39,999	0
\$40,000 to \$44,999	0
\$45,000 to \$49,999	0
\$50,000 to \$59,999	0
\$60,000 to \$69,999	0
\$70,000 to \$79,999	0
\$80,000 to \$89,999	0
\$90,000 to \$99,999	0
\$100,000 to \$124,999	0
\$125,000 to \$149,999	0
\$150,000 to \$199,999	0
\$200,000 or more	0
Don't know/Refused	0
 A set a construction of the set of the set	

19. Do you or any member of your Homestead family receive any of the following types of assistance? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

Section 8
Rental Assistance
Public Assistance (TANF)
SNAP/Food Stamps
WIC0
None of these
Don't know/RefusedO
Have you ever applied for a home mortgage?

Never applied O Applied and received mortgage O Applied and was turned down O Applied and did not accept mortgage O

2020 DHHL Applicant Survey © SMS Page 2 August 2020

Could not qualify to fina	ad lease a	award?	ase award?		
22. If you were offered and lease, what was the rea award? (CHECK ALL T	on you tu	med dow		25.	If you had your choice, in which area of Hawai'i would you like to live?
Was not ready to accept Did not like the location of	f award	**************	0	_	
Did not like the unit offer Income too low to qualify No savings for down pay	for a mort	gage	0	26.	Where would be your second choice?
Price too high			0		
Would have to relocate a Other (specify)	nd find a n			27.	When considering accepting that lease, which of the following is the most important to you?
FOR RESIDENTIAL APPLIC		LY. ALL	OTHER		(CHOOSE JUST ONE)
APPLICANTS, SKIP TO Q28					The location of the community
23. If you were to be offered	a Homeste	ead lease	in 2021.		The price of the unit0 Size of lot0
which of the following t					Ability to qualify to finance the house
most like to receive?					Location near jobs and/or schoolsO
(FILL IN THE CIRCLE					The community amenities nearby
CHOICE [1]. THEN F CHOICE [2] IN THE SE					The community is a DCCR community (has a homeowner association that enforces
FILL IN YOUR THIRD					guidelines)
COLUMN.)	CHOICE	[5] IN 77	L mino		Type of housing unit (Single-family or
	14	2 nd	3rd		Multi-family)O
Lot with water, electricity and	Choice	Choice	Choice	28.	What do you intend to do with the house or land
	0	0	0	20.	in the future?
sewer, but no house		0	0		
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family	0				
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with	0	0	0		Pass it on to my children or relatives
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it)	0	0	0	Π.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30)
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-pley Condominium apartment (Multi-	0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30)
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-ple; Condominium apartment (Multi- family building) Condo or Townhouse Rental unit	0	0	0		Return it back to DHHLO (<i>SKIP TO Q30</i>) Sell it to someone elseO (<i>SKIP TO Q30</i>) Transfer it to someone elseO (<i>SKIP TO Q30</i>) Just hold on to itO (<i>SKIP TO Q30</i>) Don't know/RefusedO (<i>SKIP TO Q30</i>)
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plet Condominium apartment (Multi- family building)	0 0 0	0	0		Return it back to DHHLO (<i>SKIP TO Q30</i>) Sell it to someone else O (<i>SKIP TO Q30</i>) Transfer it to someone else O (<i>SKIP TO Q30</i>) Just hold on to it O (<i>SKIP TO Q30</i>)
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building) Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0		Return it back to DHHLO (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30)
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building) Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building) Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list 24. If you could not qualif	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to?
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building) Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to? Less than 12 ½% O
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-ple: Condominum apartment (Multi- family building) Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list 4. If you could not qualifi choice, how likely wou	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 ce your fit to accep	0 0 0 0 0 irst ot your	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to? Less than 12 ½% O 12 ½ to 24% Q5 to 49% O
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building) Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list 24. If you could not qualifi choice, how likely woo second or third choice Very likely Somewhat likely.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to? Less than 12 ½% O (25 to 49%) 050% or more O (SKIP TO Q30)
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building) Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list 24. If you could not qualifi choice, how likely woo second or third choice Very likely Somewhat likely Somewhat unlikely	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to? Less than 12 ½% O (25 to 49%) 50% or more O Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30)
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building) Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list 24. If you could not qualiff choice, how likely wou second or third choice Very likely Somewhat likely Somewhat likely Very unlikely	0 0 0 0 0 0 v to finance ld you be	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to? Less than 12 ½% O (25 to 49%) 050% or more O (SKIP TO Q30)
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building) Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and retain my place on the waiting list 24. If you could not qualifi choice, how likely woo second or third choice Very likely Somewhat likely Somewhat unlikely	0 0 0 0 0 v to finance Id you be	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to? Less than 12 ½% O (25 to 49%) 050% or more O Don't know/Refused
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building). Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and relain my place on the waiting list 24. If you could not qualify choice, how likely wou second or third choice Very likely Somewhat likely Very unlikely Not sure	0 0 0 0 0 v to finance Id you be	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to? Less than 12 ½% O (25 to 49%) 50% or more O Don't know/Refused O (SUP CONCENT)
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building). Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and relain my place on the waiting list 24. If you could not qualify choice, how likely wou second or third choice Very likely Somewhat likely Very unlikely Not sure	0 0 0 0 0 v to finance Id you be	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to? Less than 12 ½% O (25 to 49%) 050% or more O Don't know/Refused
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building). Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and relain my place on the waiting list 24. If you could not qualify choice, how likely wou second or third choice Very likely Somewhat likely Very unlikely Not sure	0 0 0 0 0 v to finance Id you be	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to? Less than 12 ½% O (25 to 49%) 050% or more O Don't know/Refused
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building). Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and relain my place on the waiting list 24. If you could not qualify choice, how likely wou second or third choice Very likely Somewhat likely Very unlikely Not sure	0 0 0 0 0 v to finance ld you be	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to? Less than 12 ½% O (25 to 49%) 050% or more O Don't know/Refused
Turn-Key (Lot with single-family house on it) Single-family house rent with option to buy Townhouse in a duplex or four-plex Condominium apartment (Multi- family building) Condo or Townhouse Rental unit with option to buy Apartment suited for senior citizens An affordable rental unit and relain my place on the waiting list 24. If you could not qualific choice, how likely wou second or third choice Very likely Somewhat likely Very unlikely Not sure	0 0 0 0 0 v to finance ld you be	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29.	Return it back to DHHL O (SKIP TO Q30) Sell it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Transfer it to someone else O (SKIP TO Q30) Just hold on to it O (SKIP TO Q30) Don't know/Refused O (SKIP TO Q30) Other (specify) O (SKIP TO Q30) What percent Native Hawaiian is the child or relative that you intend to leave your house to? Less than 12 ½% O (25 to 49%) 50% or more O Don't know/Refused O (SUP CONCENT)

Г

30.	In the past five years, have you or a member your household applied and/or receive a serve	vice	34.	What is your gender? Male	0
	from a Native Hawaiian organization? Please			Female	
	indicate which organization provided that			Gender non-conforming	
	service. (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)			Prefer not to answer	
	Office of Hawaiian Affairs	0			
	Kamehameha Schools	.0	35.	What was your age on your last birthday?	
	Lili'uokalani Trust	0		years old	
	Native Hawaiian Chamber of Commerce			II years old	
	Native Hawaiian Healthcare Centers		36.	Do you or other members of your household	use
	Alu Like	0		a device to regularly send e-mails or access	
	Lunalilo Trust			websites on the Internet?	
	A Hawaiian Civic Club			Me alone	0
	Hawaiian focused Charter School			Me and others	
				Others, not me	
	Other (specify) Did not apply or receive any service	0		No one	0
	Don't know/Refused	ŏ		Don't know/Refused	0
31.	which of the following uses should DHHL		37.	What type of devices are being used? (CHEC ALL THAT APPLY)	ĸ
	consider for that land? (CHECK ALL THAT			Desktop computer	
	APPLY)			Smartphone	
	Mālama 'Āina (natural resource managed area).			Tablet	
	Cultural Activities	0		Other specify	
	Community Garden			Don't know/Refused	0
	Commercial Uses		20	What is your current a mail address? (This y	
	Family Gathering Spaces		38.	What is your current e-mail address? (This w only be used to update the DHHL Lessee	VIII
	Light Industrial			Database and future research.)	
	Other (specify)	0		Database and future research.	
	None of these Don't know/Refused		-		_
	Don't know/Keluseo		39.	Can DHHL follow up with you for additional resea	rch
32.	Considering all your interactions with DHHL in		55.	and information?	a ch
	last year, which statement below best describes	your		Yes	0
	communications with them?			No	
	Excellent, they really try to help	0			9
	Good, they do their jobs pretty well.	0	Maha	lo! Please return the completed survey in the	
	Fair, they don't go out of their way to help.			aid return envelope provided.	
	Poor, they don't care about my problems.		h		
	Have not spoken with anyone at DHHL in the				
	past year				
	Don't know/Refused	0			
33.	What is your current marital status?				
	Single, never married	0			
	Married				
	Living with Partner				
	Separated/Divorced				
	Widowed	0			
	Prefer not to answer	.0			
		- 1			

Γ

APPENDIX C - ADDITIONAL DATA TABLES

	-			HU	D Catego	ries			
	Less than 30%	30- 50%	50- 60%	60- 80%	80- 120%	120- 140%	140- 180%	More than 180%	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Household size									
1 to 2 people	37.3%	34.8%	31.8%	24.3%	32.4%	33.8%	37.6%	48.2%	35.1%
3 to 4 people	25.3%	29.5%	26.9%	32.5%	36.4%	38.5%	38.6%	31.1%	32.1%
5 to 6 people	17.5%	21.0%	21.0%	30.6%	18.2%	19.1%	18.1%	12.6%	19.6%
7 or more people	19.9%	14.8%	20.4%	12.6%	13.0%	8.6%	5.8%	8.1%	13.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Household memb	ers under	age 18							
None	42.2%	39.8%	39.8%	39.2%	45.9%	48.4%	52.2%	58.3%	45.7%
1 member	13.4%	20.8%	17.9%	18.2%	19.1%	19.6%	17.6%	15.3%	17.7%
2 members	16.9%	15.7%	16.5%	22.4%	17.9%	18.2%	19.3%	13.7%	17.5%
3 members	12.1%	12.9%	12.0%	11.9%	8.8%	7.8%	7.4%	8.0%	10.1%
4 or more	15.5%	10.8%	13.7%	8.3%	8.3%	5.9%	3.6%	4.7%	8.9%
members Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Household memb							1.7 616 65 10	1.000	
None	70.1%	64.3%	59.1%	63.1%	63.9%	63.9%	66.6%	63.1%	64.3%
1 member	17.3%	19.8%	24.0%	21.9%	20.4%	21.0%	17.5%	21.6%	20.4%
2 members	9.3%	13.4%	13.5%	11.7%	12.7%	11.3%	13.7%	13.0%	12.3%
3 members	1.7%	1.5%	1.2%	1.2%	1.6%	2.8%	1.4%	.3%	1.4%
4 or more	1.6%	1.0%	2.2%	2.0%	1.3%	1.1%	.8%	1.9%	1.5%
members Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		100,078	100.078	100.070	100.076	100.078	100.078	100.078	100.076
Adult employed fu None	54.1%	28.0%	25.0%	16.3%	18.1%	14.0%	11.2%	15.0%	23.5%
1 to 2 adults	41.0%	63.7%	59.6%	66.4%	60.4%	66.5%	70.2%	61.6%	60.6%
3 to 5 adults	41.0%	7.6%	15.4%	16.5%	20.5%	18.6%	18.2%	21.9%	15.3%
6 or more adults	.3%	.6%	0.0%	.9%	1.0%	.8%	.4%	1 1 1 1 1 1 A A	.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Adult employed pa			100.070	100.070	100.070	100.070	100.078	100.070	100.070
None	70.6%	69.2%	68.3%	69.0%	71.8%	74.2%	76.0%	78.4%	72.1%
1 to 2 adults	27.7%	29.8%	30.3%	29.7%	27.3%	23.7%	23.3%	20.8%	26.7%
3 to 5 adults	1.6%	.8%	1.0%	1.3%	.9%	2.2%	.7%	.8%	1.1%
6 or more adults	.1%	.2%	.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table C-1. Applicant Household Characteristics by HUD Income Categories

	Less	30-	50-	-09	80-	120-	140-	More	
	30%	50%	%09	80%	120%	140%	180%	180%	
	Pct.	1							
Adults in household employed full-time									
Agriculture, forestry, fishing, hunting, and mining	6.0%	4.5%	5.0%	4.0%	5.0%	5.2%	4.5%	3.8%	
Construction	19.3%	19.9%	21.2%	24.3%	24.7%	23.2%	23.4%	24.1%	
Retail trade	9.7%	11.1%	12.2%	13.1%	11.1%	11.9%	9.6%	8.6%	
Transportation, warehousing, and utilities	17.9%	17.2%	18.3%	18.1%	15.0%	19.2%	20.1%	16.9%	
Finance and insurance, real estate, rental and leasing	3.2%	5.0%	7.2%	8.1%	6.7%	6.9%	8.9%	14.8%	
Professional, scientific, management, and administrative	7.1%	8.7%	9.2%	9.7%	15.2%	15.1%	16.0%	22.6%	
Educational services	10.3%	12.4%	13.2%	15.5%	18.0%	18.9%	16.9%	16.1%	
Health care and social assistance	18.4%	17.9%	19.2%	18.7%	21.9%	16.0%	19.2%	20.5%	
Hotel, accommodations, and food services	12.1%	12.7%	18.3%	16.6%	14.9%	16.5%	14.4%	15.0%	
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	1.6%	4.6%	3.1%	3.8%	4.1%	2.6%	3.6%	3.2%	
Public administration/Government	10.4%	14.7%	16.0%	14.9%	21.8%	23.1%	22.1%	20.0%	
Other services	27.7%	26.3%	21.0%	22.5%	21.1%	22.8%	19.3%	21.3%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Adults in household employed part-time									
Agriculture, forestry, fishing, hunting, and mining	9.3%	8.3%	4.6%	7.4%	3.8%	8.5%	6.3%	6.2%	-

15.1%

3.4% 18.4% 22.4% 100.0%

6.7%

9.0% 17.3% 8.7%

11.4% 17.0% 6.0%

7.7% 14.9% 11.1% .8% 6.2% 13.1% 18.5% 11.4% .8% .8% .13.2% 100.0%

2.8%

10.9% 17.5% 6.8% 3.9%

7.9% 18.6% 5.8% 5.2% 12.5% 8.6%

8.3%

10.4% 15.9% 11.4% 1.2% 3.2% 7.0% 7.0% 5.7% 5.7% 24.3%

10.4%

15.8% 10.7%

20.9% 8.6%

17.5% 7.8% 4.0%

3.3% 5.2% 16.1% 11.7%

2.6% 8.5% 18.0% 14.7% 15.8% 8.9% 5.3%

> 8.8% 25.4% 8.6% 15.5% 11.7% 1.0%

3.4% 17.9% 9.6% 9.6% 3.4% 20.6%

5.6% 5.4% 15.8% 13.8% 11.4% 6.5%

2.3% 4.0% 14.1% 14.2% 5.8% 4.1% 29.5% (00.0%

Finance and insurance, real estate, rental and leasing Professional, scientific, management, and administrative

Transportation, warehousing, and utilities

Construction Retail trade Hotel, accommodations, and food services

Health care and social assistance

Educational services

Arts, entertainment, and recreation Public administration/Government

Other services

Total

4.7%

Total Pct.

HUD Categories

22.8% 10.9% 7.9% 13.5% 15.5%

es
ori
eg
Cat
e
E
õ
-
Ð
Y F
q
t
Ius
Ind
t
ne
yr
pld
E
H
Applicant Employment Industry by HUD Income Categories
pijd
Ap
Table C-2.
e
ab
F

020	
rt, 2	
Repo	
Survey	
cant S	
Appli	5
HE	SMI:
-	9

100.0%

10.1%

19.4%

100.0%

100.0%

100.0%

100.0%

13.5%

8.8% 3.7% 19.2%

15.2%

18.7%

5.3% 17.3%

8.3%

Table C-3.	Applicant Household	Income by HUD	Income Categories
------------	----------------------------	---------------	-------------------

		HUD Categories											
	Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120- 140%	140- 180%	More than 180%	Total				
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.				
Household Income													
Less than \$20,000	52.2%	3.2%							7.8%				
\$20,000 to \$24,999	19.3%	4.0%							3.2%				
\$25,000 to \$29,999	9.1%	8.9%	.7%						2.5%				
\$30,000 to \$34,999	8.4%	13.2%	1.2%	1.8%					3.3%				
\$35,000 to \$39,999	4.7%	11.1%	2.9%	4.6%					3.0%				
\$40,000 to \$44,999	4.3%	15.4%	3.0%	6.1%	.5%				3.8%				
\$45,000 to \$49,999	2.0%	11.5%	11.8%	6.5%	.9%				4.0%				
\$50,000 to \$59,999		20.1%	21.8%	13.1%	8.8%	.6%			8.1%				
\$60,000 to \$69,999		9.3%	25.4%	14.9%	6.1%	3.4%	.2%		7.2%				
\$70,000 to \$79,999		3.4%	12.5%	10.6%	20.4%	5.0%	3.6%		7.2%				
\$80,000 to \$89,999			9.0%	12.3%	14.1%	7.8%	6.0%	.2%	6.1%				
\$90,000 to \$99,999			4.6%	13.4%	12.1%	12.2%	9.8%	.6%	6.4%				
\$100,000 to \$124,999			7.1%	14.7%	29.2%	50.6%	33.4%	10.9%	17.1%				
\$125,000 to \$149,999				2.1%	7.0%	13.9%	23.2%	13.4%	7.0%				
\$150,000 to \$199,999					.9%	6.4%	20.8%	32.3%	7.3%				
\$200,000 or more							3.0%	42.6%	5.9%				
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				

				1	HUD Categ	ories			
	Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Tenure				1.00					
Own	20.5%	32.3%	47.6%	44.7%	53.1%	61.0%	63.2%	70.7%	48.3%
Rent	59.7%	55.5%	43.5%	42.5%	38.2%	28.7%	29.8%	21.4%	40.6%
Sharing with others, no rent	9.6%	8.6%	100.000	7.4%		5.5%	4.3%	4.8%	6.3%
Occupy without rent payments	6.3%	3.0%	3.3%	5.0%	1.	3.2%	2.2%	2.7%	3.6%
Don't know/Refused	3.9%		1.1.1.1.1.1.1	.3%		1.5%	.5%	.4%	1.2%
Total		100.0%	1	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1.
Unit Type	100.070	100.070	100.070	100.070	100.070	100.070	100.070	100.070	100.07
Single-family house	53.4%	59.1%	69.0%	72.7%	75.2%	77.6%	78.2%	81.5%	70.4%
Townhouse, duplex, multiplex	8.0%	11.7%		10.7%	8.0%	9.0%	8.4%	7.0%	9.0%
Apartment	1.	11. 11. 11. 11. 11.	1	1.	and the second of	the second second			1.00
Condominium	16.9%	17.4%	11.4%	9.5%	9.3%	6.1%	6.1%	4.0%	10.4%
	1.8%		1.	3.2%	the second se	5.2%	5.1%	4.1%	3.6%
Public assisted housing	6.8%	4.4%	1111111111	1.0%	.7%	.6%	.5%	11111111111	2.1%
Other	11.2%	6.4%	4.6%	2.6%	4.2%	1.6%	1.5%	2.0%	4.5%
Don't know/Refused	5.1%	.6%	.9%	1.0%	.4%	.9%	.5%	.9%	1.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Number of Bedrooms									
No bedroom (Studio)	5.4%	1.4%	.9%	1.7%	1.8%	.8%	.7%	.8%	1.8%
One bedroom	13.8%	13.5%	7.2%	5.2%	6.1%	2.9%	5.1%	4.2%	7.5%
Two bedrooms	22.8%	23.2%	20.9%	20.7%	17.3%	18.1%	16.9%	13.5%	19.2%
Three bedrooms	31.2%	39.5%	Contraction (Contraction)	43.4%	and show that	46.1%	100 B 100 B 100 B	44.9%	41.4%
Four or more bedrooms	23.4%	a line of the second	10.400-1	28.3%	1.	31.7%	10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1.	28.9%
Not reported	3.4%		1.6%	.8%		.4%	.7%	11111111111	1.2%
Total	1	100.0%			100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	1. A. 1993
	100.078	100.0 %	100.078	100.0 %	100.078	100.070	100.078	100.078	100.07
Number of bathrooms 1 bathroom	40 40/	41.1%	28.2%	27.2%	27.2%	04 50/	22.40/	45.00/	29.2%
1½ bathrooms	46.4%	111111111111	1.000 0.000		10.000	21.5%	The second second	15.3%	State of the State
	3.2%	3.2%		2.6%	1.000	2.4%		1.6%	2.8%
2 bathrooms	33.4%		1.		1.	49.6%			1.1.1.1.1.1.1
2½ bathrooms	1.9%	100 100		3.7%	1 1 N N 1 1	5.6%	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1		3.7%
3 bathrooms	7.4%	7.1%	1.1.4.1.4.10	14.0%		14.9%	and the second se		14.0%
3½ bathrooms	.3%	100000		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		.6%	.7%	.8%	.5%
4+bathrooms	1.9%	3.1%	4.8%	2.5%	3.7%	3.7%	3.6%	7.0%	3.8%
Not reported	5.5%	2.6%	2.7%	2.7%	2.3%	1.7%	.9%	1.2%	2.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Years in unit	1					1.1.1.1			
Less than 2 years	8.3%	9.2%	5.4%	10.3%	7.4%	3.5%	6.1%	6.3%	7.2%
2 to 5 years	23.5%	and the second s		20.9%		20.6%	20.5%	18.5%	20.7%
6 to 10 years	14.4%	1112 2 2 2 2 2	1.2576.56	15.8%	1	14.9%	1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	14.7%	15.7%
11 to 20 years	19.7%	21.1%	100 C 100 B	20.7%	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	22.0%	and the second sec	27.3%	22.0%
More than 20 years	22.4%	25.5%	A second second second	28.5%	and the second second	35.7%		29.3%	28.9%
Not reported	11.7%	100000000	1	3.8%	1.	3.2%		3.9%	5.5%
Total		100.0%	1.1141 - 0.000	J		100.0%		1.	a second and
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table C-4. Applicant Housing Unit Characteristics by HUD Income Categories

Table C-5. Applicant Housing Unit Characteristics by HUD Income Categories (Continued)

	HUD Categories												
	Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120- 140%	140- 180%	More than 180%	Total				
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.				
Current monthly pay	ment						_						
Home paid for, or no rent paid	16.9%	13.9%	15.0%	15.0%	14.4%	14.6%	11.0%	18.0%	14.9%				
Less than \$300	7.2%	2.7%	.6%	.8%	.8%	.9%	.5%	.8%	1.9%				
\$300 to \$499	7.6%	4.0%	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%	1.5%	1.1%	.5%	2.4%				
500 to \$699	8.9%	8.7%	6.7%	5.3%	3.6%	1.9%	2.1%	2.8%	5.1%				
\$700 to \$999	12.8%	12.6%	6.8%	9.9%	8.9%	6.0%	5.8%	3.7%	8.5%				
\$1,000 to \$1,199	9.1%	11.1%	9.1%	11.0%	7.1%	7.2%	7.9%	5.3%	8.5%				
\$1,200 to \$1,499	10.6%	16.6%	14.0%	13.8%	13.6%	13.9%	15.6%	8.8%	13.3%				
\$1,500 to \$1,699	5.1%	7.3%	10.2%	9.9%	8.6%	7.5%	6.6%	5.4%	7.5%				
\$1,700 to \$1,899	2.9%	3.9%	9.1%	4.5%	6.7%	6.9%	8.6%	6.4%	6.0%				
\$1,900 to \$2,099	2.2%	4.4%	6.6%	4.4%	5.6%	6.4%	5.8%	5.3%	5.0%				
\$2,100 to \$2,299	1.4%	1.9%	3.8%	3.9%	6.2%	6.9%	4.9%	7.1%	4.4%				
\$2,300 to \$2,499	.7%	3.0%	3.5%	4.1%	4.5%	5.2%	7.1%	4.7%	4.0%				
\$2,500 or more	2.7%	4.6%	8.1%	10.0%	12.1%	13.7%	17.3%	23.8%	11.3%				
Don't know/Refused	12.0%	5.4%	5.3%	6.0%	6.5%	7.5%	5.7%	7.3%	7.0%				
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%				

				н	JD Categorie	es			
	Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120- 140%	140- 180%	More than 180%	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Type of DHHL a	pplication				2				
Residential	55.4%	58.2%	61.3%	56.0%	56.7%	58.0%	56.0%	56.6%	57.1%
Agricultural	29.8%	30.1%	26.7%	31.1%	30.2%	29.6%	31.3%	29.5%	29.9%
Pastoral	12.3%	10.2%	10.0%	11.3%	12.2%	11.5%	11.4%	13.0%	11.6%
Not Reported	2.4%	1.5%	2.0%	1.5%	0.9%	0.9%	1.3%	1.0%	1.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Preferred island							A		
Oahu	35.6%	45.7%	48.8%	35.0%	36.2%	40.6%	31.7%	32.1%	37.9%
Maui	19.1%	17.0%	20.5%	14.8%	19.3%	20.1%	21.9%	22.3%	19.3%
Hawaii	29.4%	22.9%	18.2%	34.7%	31.2%	26.5%	34.7%	33.5%	29.2%
Kauai	6.3%	8.6%	5.7%	9.7%	8.2%	7.8%	7.3%	8.0%	7.7%
Molokai	5.6%	3.9%	4.5%	3.5%	3.3%	3.1%	2.4%	1.7%	3.5%
Lanai	1.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.7%	0.9%	0.9%	0.7%	1.3%	0.9%
Not Reported	2.4%	1.5%	2.0%	1.5%	0.9%	0.9%	1.3%	1.0%	1.4%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Preferred bedro	om in next u	init							
1 bedroom	5.0%	2.5%	.7%	.1%	1.1%	.4%	.4%	.6%	1.5%
2 bedrooms	19.4%	19.1%	14.7%	12.2%	13.1%	11.9%	11.4%	12.8%	14.5%
3 bedrooms	36.2%	38.4%	38.5%	42.9%	43.3%	45.9%	47.2%	45.2%	42.0%
4 bedrooms	27.9%	26.3%	33.8%	33.9%	29.5%	31.6%	31.6%	30.5%	30.5%
5+ bedrooms	7.4%	12.3%	11.5%	10.4%	11.8%	9.5%	9.0%	10.3%	10.3%
Not Reported	4.0%	1.3%	.7%	.5%	1.2%	.7%	.4%	.6%	1.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Preferred bathro	om in next	unit							
1 bathroom	13.2%	8.6%	4.9%	3.7%	4.4%	3.4%	2.6%	1.9%	5.5%
1.5 bathrooms	1.5%	1.0%	.6%	.3%	.9%	.4%	.9%	0.0%	.7%
2 bathrooms	63.3%	65.1%	66.1%	69.3%	64.9%	67.1%	64.9%	63.0%	65.3%
2.5 bathrooms	2.0%	2.7%	2.4%	1.0%	2.7%	3.4%	4.7%	3.0%	2.7%
3 bathrooms	12.0%	17.6%	21.7%	22.2%	22.4%	22.4%	21.2%	26.5%	20.6%
3.5 bathrooms	.1%	.2%	.2%	.3%	0.0%	.2%	.6%	.5%	.2%
4+ bathrooms	2.3%	2.7%	2.8%	2.5%	2.9%	2.4%	3.8%	4.1%	2.9%
Not Reported	5.6%	2.1%	1.3%	.7%	1.9%	.7%	1.3%	1.1%	1.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table C-6. Applicant Award Preferences by HUD Income Categories

Table C-7. Previous Award Offers and Considerations by HUD Income Categories

				HL	JD Categori	es			_
	Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Number of times offered a Homestead I	and award					-		C	
None	63.7%	64.3%	66.0%	63.7%	61.4%	66.5%	65.5%	61.8%	63.9%
1 time	16.7%	15.7%	16.6%	16.2%	16.3%	14.5%	14.8%	17.7%	16.1%
2 times	5.1%	7.3%	7.8%	5.1%	6.9%	7.1%	5.5%	6.9%	6.5%
3 times	3.4%	3.3%	2.8%	2.4%	3.8%	3.7%	3.3%	4.5%	3.4%
4 times	1.9%	1.9%	1.7%	2.8%	2.3%	1.0%	1.8%	2.5%	2.0%
5 to 9 times	5.7%	3.6%	3.2%	5.4%	5.6%	2.6%	5.0%	2.5%	4.3%
10+ times	3.5%	3.8%	1.9%	4.3%	3.7%	4.6%	4.1%	4.0%	3.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Number of times Turned Down a Homes	stead land aw	ard							
None	26.9%	22.1%	27.1%	25.2%	24.5%	22.0%	22.1%	22.3%	24.0%
1 time	24.5%	30.6%	30.8%	22.0%	28.8%	30.4%	20.8%	30.3%	27.4%
2 times	13.3%	15.3%	16.9%	12.0%	9.9%	14.9%	13.6%	17.2%	13.9%
3 times	8.1%	8.3%	6.6%	7.1%	8.0%	9.5%	8.4%	9.4%	8.2%
4 times	5.4%	3.9%	5.1%	7.7%	5.7%	3.3%	5.8%	6.1%	5.5%
5 to 9 times	13.5%	8.3%	9.8%	14.2%	14.0%	11 01 20	1.	5.0%	11.0%
10+ times	8.3%	11.5%	3.7%	11.9%	9.0%				10.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Number of times could not qualify to fina									
None	35.1%	33.9%	54.1%	51.3%	61.6%	73.4%	72.4%	82.6%	58.1%
1 time	21.2%	34.8%	18.4%	20.0%	16.3%	10.0%	12.2%	10.3%	18.0%
2 times	12.6%	11.5%	13.8%	7.5%	6.4%	6.0%	4.5%	2.4%	7.8%
3 times	6.4%	3.0%	4.9%	4.4%	3.2%	3.9%	.8%	2.3%	3.6%
4 times	4.9%	3.3%	2.0%	4.3%	3.2%	1.	1.8%	.6%	2.6%
5 to 9 times	11.1%	6.7%	4.9%	5.8%	3.9%		3.7%	0.0%	4.7%
10+ times	8.6%	6.8%	2.0%	6.7%	5.4%	1.		1.	5.1%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	11.2.3.1630	and the second sec	100.0%	100.0%
Reasons turned down an award				_					
Was not ready to accept award	24.9%	31.2%	36.6%	33.1%	37.5%	30.5%	42.3%	38.5%	34.7%
Did not like the location of award	41.0%	39.6%	57.3%	53.8%	47.2%		62.6%	52.3%	50.9%
Did not like the unit offered	12.9%	11.6%	14.0%	16.4%	13.0%	 Discourse 			14.3%
Income too low to qualify for a mortgage	46.3%	45.3%	20.2%	20.9%	17.7%	1 1 2 2 2 2 3	1		22.9%
No savings for down payment	40.1%	43.8%	22.5%	28.8%	22.4%		10. 10. A.C.		24.9%
Price too high	24.9%	28.7%	19.8%	23.6%	18.8%	1 0 0 0 0 0	1		18.3%
Would have to relocate and find a new job	9.7%	24.0%	25.8%	17.9%	21.7%				22.2%
Other	18.4%	13.6%	14.3%	16.8%	14.4%	15.3%	11.0%	11.5%	14.3%
Don't know/Refused	2.6%	3.0%	2.7%	2.8%	2.7%	3.0%	2.3%	3.0%	2.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Table C-8. Previous Award Offers and Considerations by HUD Income Categories (Continued)

	HUD Categories									
	Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80% Pct.	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total	
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.		Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	
Ever applied for a mortgage										
Never applied	72.7%	59.3%	49.0%	40.5%	38.5%	31.6%	25.7%	22.6%	43.3%	
Applied and received mortgage	15.7%	33.1%	43.5%	47.9%	54.6%	62.8%	67.7%	72.4%	48.8%	
Applied and was turned down	4.5%	3.0%	4.3%	5.5%	2.4%	1.1%	1.7%	1.4%	3.0%	
Applied and did not accept mortgage	2.2%	2.0%	1.6%	3.5%	3.1%	1.7%	2.0%	1.6%	2.3%	
Don't know/Refused	4.9%	2.5%	1.6%	2.5%	1.5%	2.8%	2.9%	2.0%	2.6%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
When considering accepting that lease	, which of the	following is	s the most i	mportant to	you?					
The location of the community	20.1%	22.8%	28.0%	31.4%	35.1%	40.2%	38.1%	47.9%	32.7%	
The price of the unit	13.9%	16.2%	13.7%	14.4%	13.4%	13.3%	12.5%	8.0%	13.2%	
Size of lot	6.0%	7.4%	10.8%	9.0%	9.8%	8.4%	10.1%	12.2%	9.2%	
Ability to qualify to finance the house	34.3%	32.3%	23.4%	24.7%	18.7%	14.1%	13.1%	9.8%	21.6%	
Location near jobs and/or schools	3.4%	2.7%	2.3%	2.7%	4.2%	3.6%	3.1%	2.5%	3.1%	
The community amenities nearby	1.1%	.8%	0.0%	.7%	1.5%	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%	1.0%	
The community is a DCCR community (has a homeowner association that enforces guidelines)	1.9%	1.8%	3.9%	1.1%	1.2%	1.5%	5.3%	2.8%	2.4%	
Type of housing unit (Single-family or Multi-family)	10.1%	9.8%	11.0%	12.0%	11.0%	11.0%	10.9%	11.0%	10.8%	
Don't know/Refused	9.3%	6.0%	6.8%	4.1%	5.1%	6.8%	5.7%	4.8%	6.1%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Table C-9. Technology Usage by HUD Income Categories

				н	JD Categori	es			
	Less than 30%	30-50%	50-60%	60-80%	80-120%	120-140%	140-180%	More than 180%	Total
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Use a device to send e-mails or acces	s websites o	on the intern	net						-
Me alone	19.9%	19.1%	14.1%	15.0%	13.8%	11.1%	10.2%	10.2%	14.4%
Me and others	44.0%	54.7%	67.6%	68.7%	70.8%	72.1%	75.3%	78.6%	65.9%
Others, not me	11.5%	13.1%	10.2%	9.0%	10.4%	12.3%	8.9%	6.5%	10.2%
No one	13.4%	9.3%	5.1%	3.4%	2.6%	1.7%	2.9%	3.1%	5.4%
Don't Know/Refused	11.2%	3.8%	3.1%	3.9%	2.3%	2.8%	2.6%	1.6%	4.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Use a device to send e-mails or acces	s websites o	on the interi	net (overall)						
None	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
No one	13.4%	9.3%	5.1%	3.4%	2.6%	1.7%	2.9%	3.1%	5.4%
PC, Laptop, Smartphone, Tablet, Other	75.4%	86.9%	91.8%	92.8%	95.0%	95.5%	94.4%	95.3%	90.6%
Don't know	11.2%	3.8%	3.1%	3.9%	2.3%	2.8%	2.6%	1.6%	4.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Types of devices used									
Desktop computer	44.3%	51.0%	62.7%	65.3%	66.0%	68.7%	71.2%	78.5%	63.7%
Smartphone	84.9%	85.0%	87.0%	88.3%	88.5%	88.1%	88.6%	91.7%	87.9%
Tablet	41.0%	48.9%	53.2%	53.6%	54.7%	56.2%	62.3%	61.8%	54.1%
Other specify	12.5%	11.0%	11.1%	11.8%	11.6%	12.1%	12.8%	11.7%	11.8%
Don't Know/Refused	2.7%	2.8%	1.2%	1.3%	.7%	2.2%	1.2%	1.8%	1.7%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%